



Work–Life Balance and Gender Differences in Self-Employment Income during the Start-Up Stage in Japan

Hiroyuki Okamuro¹

Hitotsubashi University, Japan

Kenta Ikeuchi

Research Institute of Economy, Trade and Industry (RIETI), Japan

Abstract. This study investigates the determinants of the income levels of newly self-employed workers compared to those of employees, while focusing on the effects of gender and childcare. We argue that working mothers with preschool children prefer self-employment to paid employment, as such arrangements offer better work–life balance. Hence, we predict that self-employed working mothers earn lower incomes than their male counterparts, employed counterparts, and female self-employed worker counterparts who do not have preschool children. Empirical results based on anonymous micro data from Japanese employment statistics support our hypotheses, even when we control for the lower number of working hours of self-employed working mothers.

Keywords: self-employment, income, gender gap, female labor, childcare.

JEL classification codes: J13, J16, L26, M13

Funding: This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Acknowledgments. This study was supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (A) (No. 20243018) from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science; the authors appreciate this financial support. The authors are also grateful to the National Statistics Center (NSTAC) for providing them with anonymous micro data from the Employment Status Survey. An early version of this paper was presented at a NSTAC Workshop at the Institute of Statistical Mathematics in Tokyo, Japan, and the 25th Research in Entrepreneurship and Small Business (RENT) Conference in Bodo, Norway, both in November 2011; the 10th Interdisciplinary European Conference on Entrepreneurship Research (IECER) in Regensburg, Germany, in February 2012; and the 2012 Annual Spring Meeting of the Japanese Economic Association (JEA) in Sapporo, Japan, in June 2012. The authors thank the participants of these conferences for their helpful comments and suggestions. Any remaining omissions or errors are the authors' own responsibility.

1. Corresponding author: Hitotsubashi University, Graduate School of Economics, Postal address: Naka 2-1, Kunitachi Tokyo 186-8601, Japan, Telephone number: +81-42-5808792, E-mail address: okamuro@econ.hit-u.ac.jp

1. Introduction

People switch from employment to self-employment by starting up a new business, and they do this for various reasons. Some workers pursue a business opportunity and aim to increase their profits or incomes, while others want to enhance personal utility by improving their work–life balance. The latter pattern is especially distinct among married female workers with preschool children (Hundley, 2000, 2001). Indeed, a number of studies suggest that women select self-employment because they want flexible work arrangements that allow them to balance career and family (e.g., Lombard, 2001; Macpherson, 1988).

Lucas (1978) and Evans and Jovanovic (1989) each propose basic models of occupational choice, in which each worker chooses between paid employment and self-employment, depending on which option will derive a higher expected utility. Assuming that the personal utility includes both pecuniary and nonpecuniary benefits—such as flexibility with respect to working location, hours, and intensity—it is reasonable for female workers engaged in childcare to prefer self-employment, as it will provide greater work flexibility, even though they can often expect lower incomes.

Regarding gender equality and childcare support, some major legal and institutional changes have been introduced in Japan since the 1990s: the formal introduction of childcare leave, in April 1992; partial payment of wages and salaries for employees during childcare leave and a public subsidy for employers that compensates for childcare leave, since October 1995; the amendment of the Equal Employment Opportunity Law, in April 1999; the Basic Law for a Gender-equal Society, in June 1999; and the government's Basic Plan for Gender Equality, in December 2000. Underpinning these changes is a clear trend regarding the Japanese household structure. According to the Labor Force Survey of the Ministry of Internal Affairs and Telecommunications, the number of households in which both husbands and wives work increased drastically in the 1980s, while the number in which only the husband works decreased. The two structure types balanced in the first half of the 1990s. Since 1997, the former type has consistently predominated the latter, and the difference in their numbers has also been growing. However, to the best of our knowledge, few empirical studies have been conducted in Japan on the determinants of self-employment income, while focusing on gender differences and considering these institutional changes.

Therefore, this paper investigates the determinants of self-employment income during the start-up stage of a business, based on anonymous micro data from official Japanese statistics (Employment Status Survey) captured in three waves (1992, 1997, and 2002), comparing male and female self-employed workers and female workers in self-employment and paid employment. We pay special attention to female workers with preschool children who choose self-employment, comparing their income levels to those of self-employed male workers and female employees with preschool children under otherwise similar

conditions. Moreover, we examine changes in the determinants of income differences between these groups during the post-bubble period of the 1990s.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 provides an overview of previous empirical literature on the determinants of self-employment income. Section 3 develops a conceptual framework and presents the hypotheses for empirical analysis. Section 4 explains the data and estimation models. Section 5 provides descriptive statistics of the career choices made by male and female employees, as well as the income levels of self-employed males and females, compared to those of salaried employees. Section 6 reports and discusses the estimation results, and Section 7 concludes this paper.

2. Literature Review

Besides survival and growth, income has been regarded as an important performance measure for start-up firms, in both the theoretical and empirical literature². Using micro data, previous studies have mainly addressed the effects of owners' human capital, particularly formal education and job experience (e.g., Parker and Van Praag, 2006; Robinson and Sexton, 1994; Van Praag et al., 2013). With regard to the effect of education on founders' income levels, numerous studies have been executed in developing and developed countries, as surveyed in the meta-analyses of Van der Sluis et al. (2005) and (2008), respectively. In essence, previous empirical studies in this line suggest that self-employed workers enjoy a higher rate of return to formal education than do paid employees, even after controlling for selectivity and endogeneity bias (Parker, 2009; Iglesias et al., 2016).

Several studies examine gender differences in the performance of new firms (e.g., Fairlie and Robb, 2009; Robb and Watson, 2012). However, empirical studies on the effect of gender and household structure on self-employment income are relatively scarce, in contrast to the rich literature on the gender gap in wages and salaries among employees (e.g., Blau and Kahn, 1997, 2006; Hersch and Stratton, 1997; Stratton, 2001). Using Japanese data, Genda and Kambayashi (2002) show that, after controlling for age and location effects and the sample-selection bias, female workers earn significantly less than male workers, and that this gender difference is larger among self-employed workers than among salaried employees. However, they do not consider the role of childcare for the lack of related data. Bögenhold and Klinglmair (2015) found that women in solo self-employment earn less and have shorter times of involvement while their well-being and happiness are likely to be higher.

With regard to gender differences in the transition into self-employment, a number of studies suggest that women select self-employment because they seek

2. This study focuses on the income levels of newly self-employed workers, to facilitate comparability of returns with employees.

flexible work arrangements that facilitate a balance of career and family (e.g., Lombard, 2001; Macpherson, 1988; Foreman-Peck and Zhou, 2014). Georgellis and Wall (2005) examine the factors of transition into self-employment and find that the determinants significantly differ between male and female employees, suggesting that for women, self-employment is a close substitute for part-time work. Regarding the effect of childcare on women's entry into self-employment, Taniguchi (2002) obtains mixed results while using a longitudinal survey dataset from the United States, calling into question the view that self-employment allows working mothers to balance career and family concerns. Using data from 61 countries, Klyver et al. (2012) find that overall gender equality is positively associated with the gender gap in men's and women's self-employment choices especially in developed countries. They argue that many gender equality initiatives in developed countries are often aimed at providing advantages to women employees, not to self-employed women.

Hundley (2000) provides the first systematic analysis of the gender gap in self-employment income versus wage and salary income. He argues that self-employed women earn less than their male counterparts because they have less energy available to devote to market work. Using a dataset comprising young Americans, he demonstrates that self-employed women's incomes decline with marriage, family size (number of children), and housework hours, while self-employed men's incomes increase with marriage and family size. He further finds that this pattern is similar, but less distinct, for male and female employees, which suggests that in self-employment, the relative incomes of female workers are more sensitive to family size.

Similarly, Hundley (2001) shows that both the number of young children and the number of housework hours negatively correlate with the average hourly earnings of self-employed women, but not of men. In contrast, Walker (2009) demonstrates that the housework efforts of self-employed women, rather than their housework hours, contribute to their lower incomes relative to those of their male counterparts.

Previous studies on gender differences in the choice of self-employment and self-employment income have focused on the United States, and little is known about East Asian countries, including Japan, where institutional environments are quite different from those of Western countries. The few exceptions—e.g., Genda and Kambayashi (2002) and Harada (2003)—do not consider the effects of household structure and childcare; thus, one contribution of the current study is that it extends the representative empirical research by Hundley (2000) to the Japanese labor market and thus applies it to the Japanese context. In addition, we focus more directly on the role of childcare than does Hundley (2000), by comparing the income levels of self-employed women with preschool children to those of their male counterparts and to those of salaried female employees with preschool children. It is also noteworthy that we target the founders of start-up firms (i.e., within five years of operation), rather than self-employed workers in

general, to consider explicitly changes to self-employment that are driven by their devotion to childcare.

Moreover, most studies—including those of Hundley (2000, 2001)—use cross-sectional data from a specific year or a short period. In contrast, a major advantage of the current study is that its dataset covers a relatively long period, in three cohorts. As mentioned, there were many legal improvements in Japan with regard to gender equality and childcare support during the 1990s. By using a dataset of three different cohorts, including two in the 1990s, we can consider the effects of these institutional changes on female workers in self-employment and paid employment, as well as gender differences in self-employment income³.

Thus, the current study offers a number of contributions to the existing literature. First, although our research concept is based on Hundley's work, we focus more directly on the effect of childcare; we do so by comparing income levels, both between male and female self-employed workers with preschool children and between self-employed female workers with preschool children and those in paid employment. Second, our analysis targets the founders of new start-ups (i.e., *newly* self-employed workers), rather than self-employed workers in general. Thus, we also explore in detail the relationship between the occupational choice decision and childbirth/childcare by female employees, while considering the timing of quitting a job or switching to self-employment. Third, we estimate changes in the effects of gender and childcare on relative income levels across three different survey waves, in which the macroeconomic conditions, employment system, and institutions for gender equality and work–life balance changed considerably in Japan. Finally, to the best of our knowledge, this is the first study on this topic to use representative micro data from Japan, and so it may facilitate interesting comparisons to other developed countries.

3. Conceptual Framework and Hypotheses

As mentioned, we focus on differences in income between self-employed male and female workers, both with preschool children, and between self-employed female workers with preschool children and those in paid employment, while taking into consideration the effect of childcare. These research questions are in line with those of Hundley (2000, 2001).

Before examining the factors that contribute to gender differences in self-employment income, we explore the patterns of occupation choice (i.e., continuing as an employee, quitting a job, or switching to self-employment) in

3. Previous studies empirically investigate the effects of the Equal Employment Opportunity Law, which was enforced in 1986 and strengthened in 1999, on gender wage gap (Abe, 2010), as well as the career opportunities and choices of female workers (Abe, 2011; Cannings and Lazonick, 1994). However, to the best of our knowledge, no empirical studies have addressed the impact of these legal improvements on self-employment in Japan.

Japan, while comparing male and female employees and focusing on the effect of preschool children. Several studies suggest that women select self-employment because they seek flexible work that will facilitate work-life balance (e.g., Lombard, 2001; Macpherson, 1988). However, most working mothers in Japan choose to retire from the labor market to concentrate on childcare, rather than switch to self-employment. Therefore, it is important to determine, by using statistical data, how many female employees with preschool children quit their jobs to devote their efforts to childcare, how many switch to self-employment, and when they decide to make these changes. We examine these descriptive statistics later, in Section 5.

Hundley (2000) argues that gender differences in self-employment income are observed because married women and men enter self-employment for different reasons: “self-employment enables married women to devote considerable effort to household production while continuing in market work, albeit with lower earnings. This contrasts with the perspective provided by studies of self-employed men, which see self-employment as a vehicle for entrepreneurship enabling the capture of rewards for extra work and innovation” (p. 96). Thus, the income gap between male and female self-employed workers could be attributed to their different commitments to household and market work.

Based on the Labor Force Survey of Japan’s Ministry of Internal Affairs and Telecommunications, a distinctive feature of the Japanese labor market is the M-form curve in a plot of change in the female labor force participation with age: it decreases considerably as women reach their thirties, as they retire from the labor market to devote themselves to childcare, then increases again after some years of devotion to childcare. In contrast, the labor force participation ratio of the male population is almost consistently 100%, from the late twenties to the early fifties of age.

The M-form curve has been changing since the 1970s, as the female labor force participation as a whole has gradually increased; however, such patterns persist, and distinguish Japan from other developed countries. Moreover, using micro data from the same statistics as used in this study, Nakamura and Ueda (1999) find that access to childcare support and short working hours help married women continue in their work. This suggests that, in Japan, childcare seriously affects the careers of female workers, but not of male workers, although the gender gap in labor force participation has been decreasing.

Indeed, according to a survey of the Ministry of Health, Labor, and Welfare in 2001, around 70% of working mothers retire from their jobs with the birth of their first child. Of those who find new jobs later, 65% work on a part-time basis (Cabinet Office, 2004). Moreover, another survey by the same ministry in 2002 reveals that 64% of mothers (but only 0.3% of fathers) in paid employment took childcare leave. According to this survey, the burden of childcare is unequally shared between the parents: 86% of working mothers (and almost 100% of nonworking mothers) usually take care of their children, mainly by themselves,

while the sharing of housework and childcare with working fathers does not significantly differ between working and nonworking mothers. Given the situation of gender inequality in housework and childcare in Japan, self-employment provides mothers a good opportunity to balance their needs for household work including childcare and market work by flexibly coordinating their work. Hence, we expect that, among the self-employed, female workers are more likely to devote themselves to childcare and thus earn lower incomes than their male counterparts.

We can also argue that female self-employed workers with preschool children earn lower income than those without preschool children, because the latter may have chosen self-employment to pursue business opportunities, while the former likely did so to devote themselves more to childcare. Similar arguments can also be applied to comparisons of self-employed female workers with preschool children and those in paid employment: the latter tend to be more career-oriented, while the former tend to be more family-oriented, and thus devote less effort to market work. Therefore, we propose the following hypotheses:

H1: Female self-employed workers with preschool children tend to earn lower incomes than their male counterparts.

H2: Female self-employed workers with preschool children tend to earn lower incomes than other female self-employed workers.

H3: Female self-employed workers with preschool children tend to earn lower incomes than female workers with preschool children in paid employment.

Finally, involvement in childcare may differentially affect gender income differences among the self-employed and among salaried employees. Female self-employed workers have a comparative advantage in childcare: they can adjust their working hours and intensity more flexibly and according to their childcare needs when self-employed than when in paid employment. Thus, we can predict that the gender income difference in self-employment increases with the number of preschool children, to a larger extent than among employees; this gives rise to our fourth hypothesis:

H4: Male/female income differences among self-employed workers are more sensitive to the number of preschool children than those among employees.

Further, we will compare the estimation results of the same models across the 1992, 1997, and 2002 survey cohorts, using each cohort subsample separately. In this way, we can examine if, and to what extent, the gender gap among self-employed workers and the gap between female workers in self-employment and paid employment changed along with changes in the economic and institutional environment.

As mentioned, several legal and institutional changes were introduced in Japan in the 1990s, regarding gender equality and childcare support (e.g., childcare

leave and compensation). Such improvements may have led to more female employees staying at work during and after childcare, rather than turning to self-employment. However, such changes may not have affected the income levels of self-employed female workers, especially those with preschool children, because improvements in gender equality and childcare support targeted only salaried employees. To the best of our knowledge, few empirical studies have been made on determinants of self-employment income, considering gender differences in childcare, and institutional changes; this study aims to fill this research gap.

4. Data and Empirical Models

We use anonymous micro data from the Employment Status Survey of Japan, in three waves (1992, 1997, and 2002)⁴. This statistical survey targets a random sample of 1 million adults (i.e., those older than 15) in Japan, or approximately 1% of the entire population. For academic use, the data of 80% of the individuals in the sample are made available as anonymous data, in that almost all location information has been stripped out. Individual-level data elements—such as age, income, and family size—are categorized into groups so that no individual can be identified.

Because this study's main objective is to analyze the determinants of income level among newly self-employed individuals and salaried employees, we exclude some individuals from our dataset. First, we exclude from the analysis those who are unemployed or students, and any other individual who is not in the labor market. Second, by focusing particularly on those who are newly self-employed, rather than on those who have been self-employed for some time, we also exclude self-employed workers who have been in their business for more than five years. Third, for clarity of comparison, we exclude self-employed workers with no previous job experience, as well as salaried employees who were previously self-employed. Thus, our final dataset comprises workers who had been self-employed for fewer than five years and salaried employees who had not been previously self-employed. The entire three-cohort sample includes approximately 1.2 million people.

We estimate the incomes of both newly self-employed workers and employees. Because information in our dataset on annual income is not available as absolute values, but only as categories (i.e., interval-coded), we employ interval regression (see Wooldridge 2010, pp. 783–785), rather than ordinary least squares (OLS) regressions⁵. A major advantage of this estimation compared to Ordered Probit

4. This official statistical survey has been carried out almost every three years since 1956 and every five years since 1982 by Japan's Statistical Office of the Ministry of Internal Affairs and Telecommunications. Currently, micro data of these three survey years are available as anonymous data for academic and educational purposes.

estimation is that the estimated parameters contain the partial effects, as in OLS regressions.

Suppose that the natural logarithm of individual i 's unobserved annual income I is determined by

$$(1) \quad \ln I_i = \mathbf{z}_i \boldsymbol{\gamma} + v_i,$$

where \mathbf{z} is a vector of independent variables, $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ is a vector of their coefficients, and v_i is an error term. Assuming that the error term has a standard normal distribution—i.e. $v_i \sim \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{0}, \sigma^2)$ —we estimate $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ and its variance σ^2 . We define the observed ordinal variable $Income_i$, which represents worker i 's income level, as

$$Income_i = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \ln I_i \leq k_1 \\ 1 & \text{if } k_1 < \ln I_i \leq k_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ J & \text{if } \ln I_i > k_j \end{cases},$$

where I is unobservable individual income and $k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_j$ denote the known interval limits; we obtain the conditional probabilities $\Pr(Income_i = j | \mathbf{z}_i)$ for $j = 0, 1, \dots, J$. We estimate the parameter vector $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ in equation (1) jointly with $\ln \sigma^2$ using a maximum likelihood estimator.

The vector of independent variables, \mathbf{z} , includes a dummy that takes the value of 1 for females, and 0 otherwise (*Fem*); the number of preschool children (under six years of age) (*Child*); and their interaction term (*Fem_Child*). In addition, a dummy for new self-employment (*Self*) is included, which takes the value of 1 if the worker has become self-employed within the preceding five years, and 0 otherwise. To test our hypotheses, it is necessary to estimate not only the main effects on the income level, but also the interaction effects of being self-employed (*Self*), gender (*Fem*), and the number of preschool children (*Child*). We therefore include all possible interaction terms of *Self* with *Fem* and *Child* (*Self_Fem*, *Self_Child*, and *Self_Fem_Child*).

Independent variables also comprise some control variables for individual-level characteristics: education level (a dummy for university graduates, *UnivGrad*), age (dummies for 10 age groups), and household and family types (dummies for household head, *HeadHH*; his or her spouse, *Spouse*; and being

5. As a robustness check, we also conduct an Ordered Probit estimation, which is often employed for the analysis of categorical data that feature intervals. Despite several similarities among these estimation models, a major difference is that the cut points are parameters used to estimate for the Ordered Probit model, while with interval regression, the interval endpoints are given. The results of Ordered Probit estimation are available from the authors upon request.

married, *Marriage*). There is also a control variable for regional characteristics (a dummy for the largest agglomeration regions⁶, *Metro*), and survey year (cohort) dummies are also included in the model.

In some specifications, we also include dummy variables for working days and hours (11 categories), to control for the effects of working hours on income level. If we find significant gender income gap or income gap between self-employment and employees even when we control for the effects of working days and hours, it implies that there are some difference in working efficiency or productivity between male and female or mothers in self-employment and wage employment. Detailed definitions and the specifications of the variables are provided in Table 1.⁷

Table 1: Definition of Variables

Variable	Definition
<i>Income</i>	Annual income levels of workers: ordinal variable in 12 categories (less than JPY0.5 million, JPY0.5–0.99 million, JPY1–1.49 million, JPY1.5–1.99 million, JPY2–2.49 million, JPY2.5–2.99 million, JPY3–3.99 million, JPY4–4.99 million, JPY5–6.99 million, JPY7–9.99 million, JPY10–14.99 million, and JPY15 million+)
<i>Self</i>	Self-employment dummy variable: a value of 1 for self-employed workers within five years since start-up, and 0 for employees
<i>Fem</i>	Female dummy variable: a value of 1 for female and 0 for male workers
<i>Child</i>	Number of preschool children (up to five years old)
<i>UnivGrad</i>	Dummy variable: a value of 1 for university graduates, and 0 otherwise
<i>Age</i>	Worker's age in 10 groups (15–24 / 25–29 / 30–34 / 35–39 / 40–44* / 45–49 / 50–54 / 55–59 / 60–64 / 65+), each of them corresponding to a dummy variable
<i>HeadHH</i>	Dummy variable: a value of 1 for heads of households, and 0 otherwise
<i>Spouse</i>	Dummy variable: a value of 1 for spouses of the household heads, and 0 otherwise
<i>Marriage</i>	Dummy variable: a value of 1 if the worker is married, and 0 otherwise
<i>Metro</i>	Dummy variable: a value of 1 for the inhabitants of the three largest metropolitan areas in Japan (Tokyo, Osaka, or Nagoya), and 0 otherwise
<i>Year</i>	Set of year dummies: a value of 1 for each survey year (1992* / 1997 / 2002), and 0 otherwise
<i>Working days</i>	Set of six dummy variables: one for each category of working days (working fewer than 50 days a year* / 50–99 / 100–149 / 150–199 / 200–249 / 250 days or more), and 0 otherwise
<i>Working days/hours</i>	Set of 11 dummy variables: one for each category of working days or hours (nonregular worker working fewer than 50 days a year* / 50–99 / 100–149 / 150+ days / regular worker working fewer than 15 hours a week / 15–21 hours a week / 22–34 / 35–42 / 43–45 / 46–48 / 49–59 / 60 hours+ a week), and 0 otherwise

Notes: The names of the control variables do not appear in the estimation results tables. * indicates the reference category which is not included in regression analyses.

6. Regional characteristics are available only as a distinction between a location in one of the three largest agglomeration regions around Tokyo, Osaka, or Nagoya, and any other locations.
7. The main results are not changed and robust when we additionally control for the characteristics of previous and current jobs among self-employed workers, such as the interaction terms of the self-employment dummy and the dummies for firm age (from the second to the fifth year since start-up), employed years in the previous job (nine categories), employment status in the previous job (five types), firm size of the previous job (11 categories), the industry dummies for 10 sectors, and the dummy for the same sector in the current and previous jobs. Those results will be provided upon request.

The switch from salaried employment to self-employment does not randomly occur, but it is rather endogenous. People select self-employment for various reasons. As mentioned, female employees with preschool children may prefer self-employment to paid employment, to achieve a better balance of career and childcare; if this is the case, the self-selection bias of female workers with preschool children is not negligible in our sample. Specifically, our estimates of the effects of preschool children on the income of self-employment women include the effects of the preference for flexibilities. This is because we argue that female self-employed workers with preschool children prefer flexible work at a low income, so that they can devote themselves to childcare.

Each employed worker with a preschool child has three occupational choices: remain an employed worker, quit the job (i.e., retire from the labor market) and devote himself/herself to childcare, and switch to self-employment to balance work and childcare. In Japan, most female employees quit their jobs around the birth of the first child, while only a small portion start up their businesses; those who remain employees after childbirth are assumed to have relatively good opportunities for a business career (and thus a high opportunity cost associated with a devotion to childcare). In this sense, the wages of female employees with preschool children in our sample may be positively biased, compared to their self-employed counterparts.

5. Descriptive Statistics on Career Choice and Income Level

Before presenting and discussing the estimation results regarding income level, we demonstrate in this section some descriptive statistics pertaining to the career choices of employees, with special attention to female employees with preschool children. We are especially interested in how many choose self-employment as opposed to retirement, and when they make this career choice. Such a detailed description is important, because most female employees in Japan opt to retire from the labor market rather than start up a new business after childbirth, and also because they make this choice at different times.

Table 2 shows the changes in employment status during the preceding year (as a percentage of all observations), according to the age of the first child in the household when the survey was conducted. Most employees have no preschool children, which is regarded as the baseline. The upper rows show the data of the pooled sample, with the data for each survey cohort displayed below. The table also contrasts descriptive statistics for male and female employees.

Table 2: Changes in Employment Status of the Sample Employees during the Preceding Year

		Male				Female				
		Continuing employees (%)	Change to self-employed (%)	Change to jobless (%)	# of obs.	Continuing employees (%)	Change to self-employed (%)	Change to jobless (%)	# of obs.	
Total	Age of the first child	0	99.3	0.3	0.5	9,703	63.8	0.1	36.2	6,022
		1	99.3	0.3	0.4	10,409	68.8	0.1	31.1	5,707
		2	99.3	0.2	0.5	11,137	92.5	0.2	7.3	5,045
		3	99.3	0.2	0.5	11,507	95.0	0.2	4.8	5,290
		4	99.2	0.3	0.5	11,240	95.1	0.5	4.5	5,368
		5	99.3	0.2	0.5	11,553	96.2	0.3	3.5	6,153
	No preschool children	98.1	0.2	1.7	579,441	97.1	0.1	2.8	485,481	
1992	Age of the first child	0	99.3	0.4	0.4	3,284	68.4	0.1	31.5	1,929
		1	99.4	0.3	0.3	3,675	72.7	0.1	27.2	2,005
		2	99.5	0.2	0.4	3,957	92.8	0.1	7.1	1,885
		3	99.5	0.1	0.4	4,173	95.9	0.1	4.0	1,954
		4	99.3	0.4	0.4	4,236	95.9	0.4	3.7	2,072
		5	99.3	0.3	0.4	4,336	97.2	0.2	2.6	2,358
	No preschool children	98.9	0.2	0.9	203,296	98.1	0.1	1.8	171,834	
1997	Age of the first child	0	99.5	0.2	0.3	3,297	68.6	0.1	31.3	1,810
		1	99.4	0.3	0.3	3,442	72.4	0.1	27.6	1,673
		2	99.4	0.2	0.4	3,775	93.9	0.4	5.7	1,601
		3	99.5	0.3	0.2	3,907	95.8	0.5	3.7	1,696
		4	99.4	0.3	0.3	3,656	96.9	0.5	2.6	1,649
		5	99.5	0.2	0.3	3,865	96.7	0.4	2.9	2,012
	No preschool children	98.6	0.2	1.1	198,992	97.9	0.1	2.0	162,362	
2002	Age of the first child	0	99.1	0.2	0.7	3,122	56.0	0.0	44.0	2,283
		1	99.1	0.2	0.8	3,292	62.1	0.0	37.8	2,029
		2	99.0	0.3	0.7	3,405	90.7	0.2	9.1	1,559
		3	98.9	0.1	1.0	3,427	93.0	0.1	7.0	1,640
		4	99.0	0.1	0.9	3,348	92.2	0.5	7.3	1,647
		5	99.0	0.2	0.8	3,352	94.3	0.2	5.5	1,783
	No preschool children	96.6	0.2	3.1	177,153	95.0	0.1	4.9	151,285	

For example, of the total, pooled, sample, 36.2% (31.1%) of the female employees chose to leave the labor market completely, just before (after) the birth of the first child and during the year preceding the survey; meanwhile, only 0.1% of female employees in pregnancy or with newborn babies became self-employed during the same period⁸. However, when they continued to work as employees until the first child became one year or older, they mostly continued to work further as employees. It is noteworthy that the propensity for female employees to become self-employed slightly increased to 0.2% after the child became one

year old, and increased considerably to 0.5% when the child became three years old (i.e., the age to enter kindergarten). This evidence suggests that the occupation choices of female workers are strongly contingent upon childcare, and that most delay becoming self-employed until their children have grown enough to go to a nursery or kindergarten. However, the main choice for working mothers is still whether to remain employee or quit as less than 0.5% switches to self-employment.

In contrast, among male workers, the ratio of changes in employment status does not vary with the age of the first child. Most male employees (more than 99%) continued to be employees in the year preceding the survey. Thus, their occupation choices are not contingent upon childcare.

In comparing these statistics across the survey cohorts, we notice that the ratio of female employees who leave their jobs around childbirth clearly increased between 1997 and 2002. The increase in the ratio of employees who quit their jobs or started up new businesses can also be observed among male workers, but much less distinctively than among female workers.

The descriptive statistics presented here suggest that, unlike with male workers, female workers' occupation choices are strongly contingent upon childcare, but the decision to become self-employed is made at a stage later than that to leave employment altogether to devote themselves to childcare.

Table 3 first compares the average rates (%) of starting up a new business (becoming self-employed) during the five years preceding the survey, between genders and between those with and without preschool children. The second part of the table shows the average income (millions of yen)⁹ for each gender, divided between the employees and the self-employed, and within each group, those with and without preschool children.

-
8. The age of the first child in Table 2 is the age when the survey was conducted. Since the survey asks for the change in occupation status during the preceding year or the tenure of the current occupation, the fact that a female employee had a newborn child (i.e., younger than one year) when the survey was conducted means that she left the occupation or started up a new business during her pregnancy.
 9. As mentioned, we could obtain only income data that were broken into categories, not absolute values. Therefore, when calculating average income, we use the median of each category.

Table 3: Descriptive Statistics of the Ratio of Newly Self-employed and the Average Annual Income of Male and Female Workers

Ratio of newly self-employed (%)			
	Total	Male	Female
Total	1.5	2.1	0.9
No preschool children	1.5	2.0	0.8
1 or more preschool children	1.9	2.2	1.3
Average annual income (millions of yen)			
	Total	Male	Female
Total	3.6	4.8	2.0
Employees	3.6	4.9	2.0
No preschool children	3.6	4.9	2.1
1 or more preschool children	3.8	4.8	1.9
Newly self-employed	2.4	2.7	1.4
No preschool children	2.3	2.6	1.5
1 or more preschool children	2.7	3.2	0.9

The average figures in this table suggest that male workers are more likely to become self-employed than their female counterparts, regardless of whether or not they have preschool children. The table also shows that, for both male and female employees, those with preschool children are more likely to become self-employed than those without preschool children, but the difference between these groups is larger for female than for male workers.

Regarding average income, Table 3 shows that 1) male workers earn more than female workers, among both employees and the self-employed; 2) male workers earn more than female workers, whether with or without preschool children; 3) for both male and female workers, salaried employees earn more than those who are self-employed; and 4) among female workers, both for employees and the self-employed, those with preschool children earn *less* than those without preschool children, but this is not the case for males who are self-employed. In examining income distribution, we find that newly self-employed women with preschool children concentrate in the lowest-income categories, and thus most of them earn less than 1 million yen per year. These findings regarding income level are consistent with the hypotheses presented in the previous section; in the next section, we examine them more precisely, using multiple regression models.

With regard to the distribution of sectors in which newly self-employed workers run their own businesses, it is noteworthy that female self-employed workers concentrate in retail, restaurant, and consumer services, but also in business and professional services, compared to self-employed men. Moreover, large portions of self-employed women with preschool children work in retail (36%) and professional services (20%), while only 4% work in the restaurant

sector. Unfortunately, a more detailed breakdown of sectors from the micro data is not possible.

One may expect that self-employed women, especially those with preschool children, are more likely to run businesses with their husbands as co-founders or co-owners, compared to female employees. However, according to Table 4, the occupation types of the husbands show a similar distribution between female employees and self-employed workers, while the ratio of self-employed husbands is higher among wives in paid employment than in self-employment. Moreover, in both groups, wives with preschool children are more likely to have husbands who work as employees than those without; therefore, we may assume that newly self-employed female workers, especially those with preschool children, are more likely to be supported by husbands who are in (relatively highly) paid employment.

Table 4: Types of Female Workers and Occupation Types of Their Husbands

Types of female workers		Husband's occupation type			
		Self-employed	Family worker	Employee	Un-employed
Employees	without children	19.1	0.8	72.8	7.3
	1 or more children	9.9	2.7	86.2	1.2
Newly self-employed	without children	13.1	2.0	73.1	11.8
	1 or more children	5.9	0.7	93.1	0.3
Total		18.0	1.0	74.3	6.7

However, untabulated results show that in our sample, the husbands of self-employed women do not earn more than those of female employees—nor do we see that the husbands of self-employed female workers with preschool children have higher incomes than those without preschool children. Thus, we cannot argue that the lower incomes of self-employed women, especially of those with preschool children, are supported and supplemented by their husbands' higher incomes. This does not change, even when we observe the distribution of household income instead of that of husbands' income.

6. Estimation Results and Discussion

Table 5 presents the estimation results of the interval regression model for the pooled sample of the surveys in 1992, 1997, and 2002. The dependent variable is an ordinal category variable of income level, *Income*. As defined in equation (1), we assume the natural logarithm of unobserved income is determined by a linear function of the independent variables. Thus, estimated coefficients of independent variables imply the marginal effects of independent dummy variables as percentage-changes in income, given the income distribution of the

pooled sample¹⁰. Model 1 controls for demographic variables such as age and education of workers, and it includes survey cohort dummies. Model 2 additionally controls for working days and hours, and thus estimates proxies for the effects on efficiency or productivity.

Table 5: Estimation Results of Interval Regression Models (Pooled Sample)

Dependent variable: <i>Income (Natural Logarithm)</i>			
	Models	[1]	[2]
Self		−0.721*** [0.00851]	−0.450*** [0.00791]
Female		−0.484*** [0.00183]	−0.387*** [0.00158]
Child		−0.0247*** [0.00126]	−0.0280*** [0.00117]
Self_Fem		0.193*** [0.0174]	0.218*** [0.0160]
Self_Child		0.120*** [0.0128]	0.0601*** [0.0120]
Fem_Child		−0.0288*** [0.00291]	0.0155*** [0.00239]
Self_Fem_Child		−0.290*** [0.0286]	−0.162*** [0.0253]
$\ln(\sigma)$		−0.424*** [0.000835]	−0.582*** [0.000930]
Controls for demographics		Yes	Yes
Working day/hour dummies			Yes
Cohort dummies		Yes	Yes
Number of observations		1,230,234	1,227,298

Notes: Controls for demographics are listed in Table 1. Robust standard errors in brackets.
*** $p < 0.001$.

We can calculate relative income differences among the types of workers directly from the regression coefficients, because they indicate the marginal effects of independent variables in percentage¹¹. For example, in Model 1, the male–female income difference of self-employed workers without preschool children (29.1%: sum of the coefficients of *Female* and *Self_Fem* = −0.291) is

10. In this section, we provide the results of the interval regression assuming the natural logarithm of unobserved income to be determined by a linear function of independent variables, but we conduct also the ordered Probit estimations and the interval regression assuming the income level without logarithm to be determined by a linear function. The results of these estimations, especially those of the ordered Probit model, are quite similar to those of the interval regression analysis. The results of these estimations are available upon request.

11. By differentiating the equation $\ln Y = a_0 + a_1 X$ with regard to Y , we obtain $\frac{1}{Y} = a_1 \frac{dX}{dY}$, and thus $\frac{dY}{Y} = a_1 dX$.

significantly smaller than that of employees without preschool children (48.4%: coefficient of $Fem = -0.484$). At the same time, among female workers without preschool children, the income difference between the self-employed and employees (52.8%: sum of the coefficients of $Self$ and $Self_Fem = -0.528$) is also significantly smaller than that among male workers without preschool children (72.1%: coefficient of $Self = -0.721$)¹². We summarize in Table 6 the calculation of marginal effects as income gaps. For example, the gender income gap among newly self-employed workers without preschool children is 29.1% in Model 1, but it increases to 61.0% with the first (the second row) and to 92.9% (the third row) with the second preschool child. Moreover, the gender income gap of 61.0% for newly self-employed workers with one preschool child is reduced to 31.5% when we control for working days and hours (the second row in Model 2).

Based on these results, we can test our hypotheses. First, Hypothesis 1 is supported, because the sum of the coefficients of Fem , $Self_Fem$, Fem_Child , and $Self_Fem_Child$ is negative and significant in all models (the second row in the case of one child, the third row in the case of two children). Second, Hypothesis 2 is supported, because the sum of the coefficients of $Child$, $Self_Child$, Fem_Child , and $Self_Fem_Child$ is negative in all models (the 13th row). Third, Hypothesis 3 is supported, because the sum of the coefficients of $Self$, $Self_Fem$, $Self_Child$, and $Self_Fem_Child$ is negative in all models (the 8th and 9th rows). Fourth, Hypothesis 4 is supported, because the coefficient of $Self_Fem_Child$ is significantly negative in all models (see Table 5). See also the mutual differences in marginal effects between the first to third rows in Table 6, which are much bigger than the mutual differences between the fourth to sixth rows.

12. The income difference between female workers in self-employment and paid employment can be calculated by differentiating the estimated model with regard to $Self$ while setting $Fem=1$, while the comparable income difference for male workers is obtained by making Fem take a value of 0 and then differentiating the estimated model with regard to $Self$. The resulting difference-in-differences equals the sum of the coefficient of $Self_Fem$ (0.193) and the product of the coefficient of $Self_Fem_Child$ and the number of preschool children ($-0.290*Child$).

Table 6: Estimated Marginal Effects on the Natural Logarithm of Income (Pooled Sample)

Models	[1]	[2]		
Female/male gap within:				
[1] Newly self-employed without preschool children	−0.291***	[0.017]	−0.169***	[0.016]
[2] Newly self-employed with 1 preschool child	−0.610***	[0.027]	−0.315***	[0.024]
[3] Newly self-employed with 2 preschool children	−0.929***	[0.052]	−0.462***	[0.046]
[4] Employees without preschool children	−0.484***	[0.002]	−0.387***	[0.002]
[5] Employees with 1 preschool child	−0.513***	[0.003]	−0.371***	[0.003]
[6] Employees with 2 preschool children	−0.541***	[0.006]	−0.356***	[0.005]
Newly self-employed/employee gap within:				
[7] Female without preschool children	−0.528***	[0.015]	−0.232***	[0.014]
[8] Female with 1 preschool child	−0.698***	[0.024]	−0.334***	[0.021]
[9] Female with 2 preschool children	−0.868***	[0.047]	−0.436***	[0.041]
[10] Male without preschool children	−0.721***	[0.009]	−0.450***	[0.008]
[11] Male with 1 preschool child	−0.601***	[0.012]	−0.390***	[0.011]
[12] Male with 2 preschool children	−0.481***	[0.023]	−0.330***	[0.022]
Effects of the number of preschool children (per child) within:				
[13] Female self-employed	−0.224***	[0.025]	−0.114***	[0.022]
[14] Female employees	−0.053***	[0.003]	−0.012***	[0.002]
[15] Male newly self-employed	0.095***	[0.013]	0.032**	[0.012]
[16] Male employees	−0.025***	[0.001]	−0.028***	[0.001]
Control variables:				
[17] Demographics	Yes		Yes	
[18] Working day/hour dummies			Yes	
[19] Cohort dummies	Yes		Yes	

Notes: Robust standard errors in brackets. ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$.

In Model 2, we consider the effect of working days and/or hours by including in the set of independent variables dummies for working days and weekly working hours. Hence, in these models, the coefficients of the other variables can be interpreted as the effects on productivity, rather than on income itself (assuming that productivity differences are fully reflected by income differences per unit of working time). While the results of Model 2 are generally similar to those of Model 1, the marginal effects of the former models are mostly smaller than those of the latter (see Table 6). These results indicate that the income gap between men and women, between self-employed and employed workers, and between workers with and without preschool children become smaller when we control for working days and hours. Therefore, these results suggest that female workers and newly self-employed people work less than their aforementioned counterparts, i.e. the lower number of working hours explains part of the income gaps found in Model 1.

With regard to the control variables, we obtained the following results, which are mostly consistent with our expectations¹³: University graduates, married

workers, and inhabitants of the largest cities tend to earn higher incomes than their counterparts. Additionally, heads of households are more likely to earn higher incomes than their spouses and other family members¹⁴. Income levels peak when workers are in their fifties.

These estimation results are mostly consistent with those of previous studies, especially those of Hundley (2000, 2001). The effects of gender, self-employment, and the number of preschool children on income level are in general similar between the United States and Japan, despite major differences in the institutional factors pertaining to their respective female labor markets. Unfortunately, however, an exact comparison is not possible, given the different estimation models and controls involved. Moreover, in Hundley (2000), the baseline is an unmarried female worker who is compared to a married female worker with a child, while children are not limited to those of preschool age.

6.1. Robustness Tests

We conduct some robustness analyses as follows¹⁵. First, as mentioned, the sample in the current study comprises workers who have been in self-employment for less than five years. With regard to the effect of childcare, we implicitly assume that several female workers switch from paid to self-employment *after* the birth of the first child, to obtain greater flexibility in work. However, by definition, our sample may also include self-employed workers who started up their businesses *before* the birth of their first child. In this regard, we may argue that self-employed women with preschool children tend to prefer, all else being equal, more flexibility in work to higher income, as such arrangements allow them to devote themselves to childcare, regardless of whether they switched to self-employment before or after the childbirth. Nevertheless, some empirical checks would need to be in place. To cope with this problem empirically, we check whether self-employed workers started up their businesses before or after the childbirth—indeed, we find both patterns—and include in the estimation a dummy variable that takes the value of 1 if they started up *before* childbirth and 0 otherwise, and its interactive term with the female dummy. We use this interactive term to take the gender difference in childcare explicitly into consideration. The results are quite similar to those in Table 5, while the new variables do not show significant effects on income level. These results suggest

13. We omitted these results from the tables to save space; they are available from the authors upon request.

14. With regard to household structure, we did not control for the co-residence of working mothers with their own parents or parents-in-law. Previous studies suggest that such arrangements may strongly affect occupation choice and the work–life balance of female workers who have preschool children (Ogawa and Ermisch, 1996; Sasaki, 2002).

15. Robustness check results can be provided upon request.

that self-employed women with preschool children tend to select the work flexibility that self-employment offers, even if doing so means a lower income, regardless of the timing of the childbirth.

Second, we apply another robustness check by using a question item from the survey regarding the reason for leaving the previous job. Here we pay special attention to two particular reasons—namely, “marriage or childcare” and “to pursue economic opportunity”—and include the related dummy variables and interactive terms with the female dummy and the number of preschool children. The results do not change considerably with these new variables, and that only the interactive terms of the reason “marriage or childcare” and the female dummy and/or the number of preschool children are negative and significant. These results suggest that the *reason* for starting up a business significantly affects income level and that, specifically, self-employed women with preschool children earn lower incomes when they leave their previous jobs to start up a business and devote themselves more to childcare¹⁶.

Finally, we check the structural change in the time series across the three survey cohorts of 1992, 1997, and 2002. We find some differences across time. The gender income gap significantly decreased for workers without preschool children and for employees with preschool children, especially between 1992 and 1997, but not for newly self-employed workers with preschool children. Second, the income gap between employees and newly self-employed workers without preschool children significantly increased between 1992 and 2002, for both male and female workers. Third, the negative effects of the number of preschool children on income significantly decreased for both male and female employees, but not for self-employed women. At least the first and the third pieces of evidence suggest that the institutional and policy changes in the 1990s with regard to childcare leave and gender equality in fact contributed to a reduction in the gender income gap among employees and supporting employees with preschool children¹⁷, but that they did not change the income levels of self-employed women with preschool children relative to their male counterparts, other female self-employed workers, or female workers with preschool children in paid employment¹⁸.

16. We implicitly assume that female self-employed workers intentionally accept a lower income in order to attain better work–life balance. However, in fact, we cannot clearly distinguish between intended and unintended low income from self-employment, where the latter case reflects business failures.

17. Abe (2010) finds no evidence that the Equal Employment Opportunity Law contributed to reductions in the gender wage gap among university graduates. Differences between her results and those of the current study may be attributed to their use of different datasets, estimation methods, observation periods, and units of analysis (i.e., aggregated versus micro data).

18. It is noteworthy that we did not directly analyze the effects of legal, institutional, or macroeconomic changes during the observation period, but estimated changes across three survey cohorts. To address this issue more explicitly, future research may employ different estimation approaches, such as a semiparametric difference-in-differences estimation (Abadie, 2005).

7. Concluding Remarks

In this paper, we investigated the determinants of self-employment income level in the early stages (i.e., within five years) of becoming self-employed. We used anonymous micro data from Japan's Employment Status Survey, focusing in particular on gender differences among self-employed workers and on the difference between self-employed and salaried female workers, while considering the effect of childcare. We argued that female workers with preschool children give priority to work flexibility, and thus their incomes tend to be lower than those of their male counterparts and female workers who do not have any preschool children. This is because they devote less time and effort to market work and more to housework and childcare than do the members of the other groups and also because they have lower efficiency, possibly due to childcare. Moreover, we also predicted that male–female income differences among self-employed workers are more sensitive to the number of preschool children than are those among employees.

We tested our hypotheses using interval regression models and obtained results that support the hypotheses. We found that female self-employed workers with preschool children tend to earn lower incomes than their male counterparts, other female self-employed workers, and female workers with preschool children in paid employment. Moreover, gender income differences among self-employed workers are more sensitive to the number of preschool children than among those of employees. Regarding self-employed workers, we checked the robustness of these results by controlling for working days and hours and using additional information on the timing of childbirth and the reasons for leaving the previous job. Finally, in comparing the results from different cohorts, we saw significant differences in the changes in gender income gap between self-employed and employed workers with preschool children, reflecting institutional and legal changes in the 1990s regarding gender equality and childcare support.

This paper has some shortcomings that can be largely attributed to data constraints. First, precise estimations are impossible, because most variables are available only as categories, rather than as absolute values—although we tried to obtain proxy estimations through interval regression. Second, although we used micro data from the three different cohorts, panel data analysis was not possible, because the sample of workers in each was different from the other two (i.e. our data consisted of repeated cross-sections). Despite these problems, this study contributes to the existing literature as the first in-depth empirical study of self-employment income using Japanese micro data and comparing three different cohorts, while in particular focusing on the role of childcare in decision-making of entering self-employment vis-à-vis staying in employment.

On the whole, our findings suggest that self-employment may provide working mothers with preschool children with work flexibility and an opportunity to achieve a better work–life balance. In this respect, policymakers should be more aware of this role of self-employment as an occupational choice,

when designing support policy that addresses work–life balance and childcare. At the same time, our findings on significantly lower efficiency levels of self-employed working mothers with preschool children relative to their counterparts (reflected by significant income gaps which remain even after controlling for differences in the number of working hours) should be a cause for concern.

References:

- Abadie, A. (2005), "Semiparametric Difference-in-Differences Estimators", *Review of Economic Studies*, 72(1): p 1–19.
- Abe, Y. (2010), "Equal Employment Opportunity Law and the Gender Gap in Japan: A Cohort Analysis", *Journal of Asian Economics*, 21(2): p 142–155.
- Abe, Y. (2011), "The Equal Employment Opportunity Law and Labor Force Behavior of Women in Japan", *Journal of the Japanese and International Economies*, 25(1): p 39–55.
- Blau, F.D. and Kahn, L.M. (1997), "Swimming Upstream: Trends in the Gender Wage Differential in the 1980s", *Journal of Labor Economics*, 15(1): p 1–42.
- Blau, F.D. and Kahn, L.M. (2006) "The US Gender Pay Gap in the 1990s: Slowing Convergence", *Industrial and Labor Relations Review*, 60(1): p 45–66.
- Bögenhold, D. and Klinglmair, A. (2015) "Female Solo Self-Employment: Features of Gendered Entrepreneurship", *International Review of Entrepreneurship*, 13(1): p 47–58.
- Cabinet Office (2004), "White Paper on Gender Equality 2004", Tokyo: Gender Equality Bureau Cabinet Office.
- Cannings, K. and Lazonick, W. (1994), "Equal Employment Opportunity and the 'Managerial Women' in Japan", *Industrial Relations*, 33(1): p 44–69.
- Evans, D.S. and Jovanovic, B. (1989), "An Estimated Model of Entrepreneurial Choice under Liquidity Constraints", *Journal of Political Economy*, 97(4): p 808–827.
- Fairlie, R.W. and Robb, A.M. (2009), "Gender Differences in Business Performance: Evidence from the Characteristics of Business Owners Survey", *Small Business Economics*, 33: p 375–395.
- Foreman-Peck, J. and Zhou, P. (2014), "Cultures of Female Entrepreneurship", *International Review of Entrepreneurship*, 12(1), 1–22.
- Genda, Y. and Kambayashi, R. (2002), "Declining Self-Employment in Japan", *Journal of the Japanese and International Economies*, 16(1): p 73–91.
- Georgellis, Y. and Wall, H.J. (2005), "Gender Differences in Self-Employment", *International Review of Applied Economics*, 19(3): 321–342.
- Harada, N. (2003), "Who Succeeds as an Entrepreneur? An Analysis of the Post-Entry Performance of New Firms in Japan", *Japan and the World Economy*, 15(2): 211–222.
- Hersch, J. and Stratton, L.S. (1997), "Housework, Fixed Effects, and Wages of Married Workers", *Journal of Human Resources*, 32(2): 285–307.
- Hundley, G. (2000), "Male/Female Earnings Differences in Self-Employment: The Effects of Marriage, Children, and the Household Division of Labor", *Industrial and Labor Relations Review*, 54(1): 95–114.
- Hundley, G. (2001), "Why Women Earn Less than Men in Self-Employment", *Journal of Labor Research*, 22(4): 817–829.
- Iglesias, J., Carmona, M. and Ferradás, E. (2016), "Re-Estimating the Returns to Education by Employment Status: Paid Employed vs. Own-Account Workers vs. Employers", *International Review of Entrepreneurship* 14(2): 171–188.
- Klyver, K., Nielsen, S.L. and Evald, M.R. (2012), "Women's Self-Employment: An Act of Institutional (Dis)integration? A Multilevel, Cross-country Study", *Journal of Business Venturing*, 28(4): 474–488.
- Lombard, K.V. (2001), "Female Self-Employment and Demand for Flexible, Nonstandard Work Schedules", *Economic Inquiry*, 39(2): 214–237.
- Lucas, R.E. (1978), "On the Size Distribution of Business Firms", *Bell Journal of Economics*, 9(2): 508–523.
- Macpherson, D.A. (1988), "Self-Employment and Married Women", *Economics Letters*, 28(3): 281–284.
- Nakamura, J. and Ueda, A. (1999), "On the Determinants of Career Interruption by Childbirth among Married Women in Japan", *Journal of the Japanese and International Economies*, 13(1): 73–89.
- Ogawa, N. and Ermisch, J.F. (1996), "Family Structure, Home Time Demands, and the Employment Patterns of Japanese Married Women", *Journal of Labor Economics*, 14: 677–702.

- Parker, S.C. and Van Praag, C.M. (2006), “Schooling, Capital Constraints, and Entrepreneurial Performance: The Endogenous Triangle”, *Journal of Business & Economic Statistics*, 24(4): 416–431.
- Parker, S.C. (2009), *The Economics of Entrepreneurship*, Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Robb, A.M. and Watson, J. (2012), “Gender Differences in Firm Performance: Evidence from New Ventures in the United States”, *Journal of Business Venturing*, 27(5): 544–558.
- Robinson, P.B. and Sexton, E.A. (1994), “The Effect of Education and Experience on Self-Employment Success”, *Journal of Business Venturing*, 9(2): 141–156.
- Sasaki, M. (2002), “The Causal Effect of Family Structure on Labor Force Participation among Japanese Married Women”, *Journal of Human Resources*, 37(2): 429–440.
- Van der Sluis, J., Van Praag, M. and Vijverberg, W. (2005), “Entrepreneurship Selection and Performance: A Meta-Analysis of the Impact of Education in Developing Economies”, *World Bank Economic Review*, 19(2): 225–261.
- Van der Sluis, J., Van Praag, M. and Vijverberg, W. (2008), “Education and Entrepreneurship Selection and Performance: A Review of the Empirical Literature”, *Journal of Economic Surveys*, 22(5): 795–841.
- Van Praag, M., Van Witteloostuijn, A. and Van der Sluis, J. (2013), “The Higher Returns to Formal Education for Entrepreneurs versus Employees”, *Small Business Economics*, 40(2): 375–396.
- Stratton, L.S. (2001) “Why Does More Housework Lower Women’s Wages? Testing Hypotheses Involving Job Effort and Hours Flexibility”, *Social Science Quarterly*, 82(1): 67–76.
- Taniguchi, H. (2002), “Determinants of Women’s Entry into Self-Employment”, *Social Science Quarterly*, 83(3): 875–893.
- Walker, J.R. (2009), “Earnings, Effort, and Work Flexibility of Self-Employed Women and Men: The Case of St. Croix County, Wisconsin”, *Journal of Labor Research*, 30(3): 269–288.
- Wooldridge, J.M. (2010), *Econometric Analysis of Cross Section and Panel Data*, Second Edition, Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press.