



# Prior Experience and Export Performance: The Missing Link of Global Vision

**Anisur R. Faroque**

*School of Business and Management, LUT University, Lappeenranta, Finland*

**Md Imtiaz Mostafiz**<sup>1</sup>

*Sheffield Business School, Sheffield Hallam University, UK*

**Olli Kuivalainen**

*School of Business and Management, LUT University, Lappeenranta, Finland & Alliance Manchester Business School, University of Manchester, UK*

**Sanna Sundqvist**

*School of Business, Aalto University, Espoo, Finland*

**Abstract.** Despite the scholarly interest in the prior experience of entrepreneurs expressed by the field of International Entrepreneurship, empirical investigation linking prior experience with international performance leads to inconclusive and conflicting results. Based on the concept of human capital and resource-based theory, this study provides a supplementary explanation by integrating global vision—the cognitive capital of the entrepreneur related to an international orientation—into this relationship. The study hypothesises that there is no direct relationship between entrepreneurs’ prior experience and export performance; rather, this relationship is mediated by an entrepreneur’s global vision. The hypotheses were tested using structural equation modelling, drawing on a sample of 332 early internationalising SMEs in Bangladesh. To overcome the cognitive inertia resulting from prior experiences, entrepreneurs must focus on their cognitive capabilities, in particular the ability to see the world through a global lens. In order to improve export performance, policymakers must also provide additional support to strengthen entrepreneurs’ global vision.

**Keywords:** cognitive capital; emerging economy; early internationalising SMEs; human capital; resource-based theory.

## 1. Introduction

Issues concerning the role of the entrepreneur in a firm’s formation, growth and performance have spurred a plethora of research in the mainstream

---

1. Corresponding author: Md Imtiaz Mostafiz, Sheffield Business School, Sheffield Hallam University, S1 1WB, Sheffield, United Kingdom. Phone: +44 (0) 7984477334. Email: I.Mostafiz@shu.ac.uk

entrepreneurship literature. This field involves “the study of *sources* of opportunities; the processes of discovery, evaluation, and exploitation of opportunities; and the set of *individuals* who discover, evaluate, and exploit them” (Shane and Venkataraman 2000, p. 218). The entrepreneur has thus become central to the theoretical discussion of and empirical investigation into entrepreneurship. Scholars have found that entrepreneurs’ human capital (HC) — in other words, their prior experience and knowledge— has been instrumental in the formation and performance of firms (Bosma et al. 2004; Darnihamedani and Hessels 2016; Davidsson and Honig 2003). Similarly, the field of International Entrepreneurship (IE) also focuses on entrepreneurs and their HC, thus recognising the role of the individual entrepreneur in the early and gradual internationalisation of firms (Kuivalainen et al. 2007; Andersson and Wictor 2003; Baronchelli and Cassia 2014; Rasmussen and Madsen 2002). The experience and knowledge of entrepreneurs leveraged to create and develop international firms has provoked great interest among IE scholars (Rasmussen and Madsen 2002). Previous studies of IE have mainly emphasised the prior experience of international entrepreneurs (Oura et al. 2016) and its link to the probability of SMEs’ early internationalisation (Reuber and Fischer 1997); they have also differentiated between early and gradually internationalising firms (McDougall et al. 2003). Early internationalising firms include international new ventures, global start-ups and born global firms (Rialp et al. 2005), which are international at inception or from the earliest days of operations (Oviatt and McDougall 1994; Knight and Cavusgil 1996). The owner-managers of early internationalising firms are reported to have achieved international experience and capabilities during their employment prior to their firm’s establishment (Reuber and Fischer 2002; Sharma and Blomstermo 2003), which helped them enter international markets early and rapidly (Cannone and Ughetto 2014; Jones 2001; Reuber and Fischer 1997).

Although prior experience is recognised as one of the most influential determinants of early internationalisation, earlier research unilaterally focused on prior *international* experience of entrepreneurs, at the expense of other forms of experience. Later on, though scholars started to accommodate other forms of experience, they mostly tried to establish a direct link between such experience and internationalisation performance and overlooked the mechanism how prior experience influences export performance. However, such endeavour ended up with inconclusive and conflicting results (Bruneel, Clarysse, and Autio 2018; Domurath and Patzelt 2019). Consequently, we argue that prior international experience or a single form of other experiences (such as education or domestic experience) alone cannot typify an international entrepreneur. This parochial view of HC has limited our understanding of the relationship between an entrepreneur’s HC and internationalisation timing and growth (Cowling, Liu, and Zhang 2016). Therefore, a broader conceptualisation of the entrepreneur’s HC

(specifically, prior experience) is adopted in the present study using HC and resource-based theory (RBT).

We also adopt the cognitive perspective to explain entrepreneurs' global vision—a subset of the global mindset—which has also been shown to differentiate early internationalisers from their gradual counterparts (Harveston et al. 2000; Oviatt and McDougall 1994; Moen and Servais 2002). IE research has limited its relevance by focusing only on the differences between incremental internationalisation and born globalness. From the pertinent research, a stylized view of early internationalising firms has emerged: these firms are initiated by an entrepreneur who has prior international experience and possesses a global vision. However, researchers have overlooked the potential link between an entrepreneur's prior experience (which may be broader than just international experience or another single form of experiences) and global vision and how these two factors combine to create a performance advantage. We argue that prior experience cannot directly affect internationalisation performance; instead, it needs to be translated into an entrepreneur's cognitive thinking—in particular his or her global vision—to achieve performance advantage in international markets.

Hence, the fundamental research question addressed in this study is: *What role does global vision play in the relationship between prior experience and a firm's export performance?* This study propounds that the relationship between prior experience and a firm's export performance heavily depends on the entrepreneur's global vision. Earlier studies are replete with inconsistent results of the relationship between prior experiences and international performance. For instances, Manolova et al. (2002), Watson et al. (2003), Evangelista (2005), Haber and Reichel (2007) and Farmer, Yao, and Kung-Mcintyre (2011) have highlighted positive associations between prior experience and firm performance. In contrast, Davidsson and Honig (2003), Thai and Chong (2008), Federico et al. (2009), Dimov (2010) and Nowiski and Rialp (2013) reported non-significant relationships between prior experience and firm performance. Most recently, both Ahmed and Brennan (2019) and Mostafiz et al. (2019a, 2019b) reported a non-significant role of entrepreneur's prior experience in the low-tech apparel industry context. These inconsistent results proffer that the benefit of having prior experience has not uniformly been the case, and suggest that the relationship of prior experience with firm performance requires interactions.

This study contributes first to the literature on HC by bridging the relationship between prior experience and the global vision of entrepreneurs and second to the literatures on International Business (IB) and International Entrepreneurship (IE) by explaining the mediating mechanism of global vision between prior experience and export performance. Finally, given that research on global vision is mostly focused on developed countries, another contribution is that this study investigates entrepreneurs' prior experience and global vision in a least-developed country, Bangladesh. Given that most of the least-developed countries have common features such as labour-intensive manufacturing

processes, vulnerable economic systems, and unstable governments, the findings of the study could be generalised to other similar economies with labour-intensive manufacturing industries.

Drawing on data from 332 early internationalising SMEs in the low-tech apparel industry in Bangladesh, we found support for our hypotheses. The theoretical background of the research is presented in Section 2 and the specific research hypotheses are developed in the third section. This is followed by a description of the research methodology and test results. After discussing the results and their implications, the article concludes with limitations and suggestions for further research.

## **2. Background Literature**

### **2.1. Prior Experience and Human Capital Theory**

HC theory has become an alternative approach to defining entrepreneurial capability and skills in both entrepreneurship and IE (Terjesen et al., 2016). It suggests that individuals and societies earn substantial economic returns from investment in people (Sweetland 1996). Although initially developed for estimating employees' income distribution from their investments in HC (Becker 1964), it has been adopted by many disciplines, including entrepreneurship (Cooper et al. 1994; Pennings et al. 1998; Li, Rietveld and Van Stel, 2016). HC is defined as the level of skills, knowledge and abilities developed through investments in education, on-the-job training and work-related experiences (Becker 1964; Gimeno et al. 1997). Coleman (1988) offered the following comprehensive definition: "Just as physical capital is created by changes in materials to form tools that facilitate production, human capital is created by changes in persons that bring about skills and capabilities that make them able to act in new ways" (p. S100).

Two categories of HC, general and specific, have been given various definitions by different scholars (Becker 1994). General HC refers to overall education and practical experience, whereas specific HC pertains to education and experience which is limited in scope and applicable to a particular activity or context (Becker 1975). The entrepreneurship literature discusses entrepreneurs' prior entrepreneurial, industry, managerial, and technical experience (Bates 1990). Although entrepreneurial HC has been thoroughly investigated, there are a number of conflicting findings (Unger et al. 2011). While it is assumed that all types of prior experiences ideally have a strong positive impact on firm performance, scholars disagree as to the impacts of different kinds of prior experience (Kennedy and Drennan 2001; Sandberg and Hofer 1987). For example, while Davidsson and Honig (2003) found that prior managerial

experience does not affect entrepreneurial venturing, Jo and Lee (1996) reported that it is negatively related to performance. Perkins and Murmann (2018) agreed, arguing that prior managerial experience does not directly complement firm performance. Entrepreneurship research emphasises the role of the founding entrepreneur's biographical and psychographic profiles and seems to 'offer little hope of finding the key to improving new venture performance' (Sandberg and Hofer 1987, p. 7). Kennedy and Drennan (2001) reported conflicting results in entrepreneurial HC literature published between 1977 and 2000 and suggested that the major rationale for these conflicting results may be that an entrepreneur's prior experience interacts with organisational and environmental variables to influence performance. Research conducted after 2000 in this area also reported conflicting outcomes (e.g. Davidsson and Honig 2003; Dimov and Shepherd 2005; Hmieleski and Carr 2008). Herron and Robinson (1993), in an attempt to explain the failure to link entrepreneurial HC with performance, proposed a structural, causal model of the relationships. Based on Hollenbeck and Whitener (1988) and Sandberg (1986) they derived 'a psychology-based model' of the relationship between entrepreneurs' HC and venture performance which is indirect, mediated by motivation and moderated by abilities and context. They assert that this model could explain why entrepreneurship researchers have failed to link entrepreneurial characteristics to performance. As entrepreneurial HC is both mediated and moderated by other variables in their causal link to performance, they are likely to display only weak direct associations with performance despite the causal link between them. This model therefore explains why entrepreneur characteristics are insufficient predictors of entrepreneurial performance.

Adner and Helfat (2003) conceptualised HC as managerial HC from a dynamic managerial capability perspective. Teece (2012) argued that managerial HC is closely associated with the entrepreneurial capability development process, while Helfat and Martin (2015) highlighted the importance of HC in capability development mechanisms and demonstrated the importance of both prior managerial and entrepreneurial experiences to mobilise resources and competencies. However, to achieve the desired economic outcomes from mobilised resources, entrepreneurs require the cognitive ability to transform experience into actions (Helfat and Martin 2015). Ployhart and Moliterno (2011) defined HC from the managerial cognitive perspective, naming it 'knowledge, skills, cognitive and other abilities' (KSAO). This conceptualisation of HC was also supported by Wright et al. (2014). Although the nature of HC is contingent upon specific organisational strategic situations, the primary concern is that it be derived from knowledge, skills and experience (Kor et al. 2007). Each type of formation of HC is assumed to be of equal importance for performance improvement. Both Gruber et al. (2012) and Campbell et al. (2012) emphasised that managers require diversified HC, which is not only firm- or industry-specific, to create economic value.

In IE, scholars have categorised entrepreneurs' HC as either objective or subjective (Hutchinson et al. 2006; Evers 2011). Objective HC refers to a founder's possession of prior experiential international work experience, prior industry experience and networks. Other objective capabilities include technical, commercial (marketing) and start-up experience (McDougall et al. 2003). Subjective HC, on the other hand, refers to a founder's proactive opportunity-seeking behaviour, global vision, risk-taking and international orientation (Evers 2011). Global vision has been found to be very common among early internationalising entrepreneurs (Karra et al. 2008). Some researchers have highlighted the importance of indirect relationships between HC and international performance. Smith et al. (1995) and Reuber and Fischer (1997) argued that researchers have placed too much emphasis on the direct relationship between entrepreneur or top management team characteristics and firm international performance without articulating any intervening variables. In this study, in response to scholars' call that indirect relationships be investigated, we have included global vision as a subjective capability of the entrepreneur (Evers 2011). We argue that global vision is a type of 'cognitive capital' (Henry 2004) related to an entrepreneur's international orientation.

## 2.2. Global Vision and Cognitive Capital

IB literature focuses on global mindset, while IE focuses on global vision. The main difference—or link—between global vision and global mindset is that the former is considered a subset of the latter. A global mindset comprises attitudinal and behavioural components and can be measured in terms of entrepreneurs' global vision, proactiveness and commitment to internationalisation. Oviatt and McDougall (1994) described the importance of the global mindset while explaining the cognitive ability of an entrepreneur in an early internationalising firm. Later work by Nummela et al. (2004) elucidating the global mindset received considerable attention in IB literature: they postulated the global mindset as being international entrepreneurs' vision of cultural diversification and openness to promote internationalisation, which will increase the volume of international activities by creating synergy among diversity (Nummela et al. 2004). Two pivotal antecedents are critical for a successful strategic global mindset: a) efficient knowledge structure; and b) global vision (belief system) (Ramsey et al. 2016). Global mindset is considered as a resource and positive skill which drives managers to success internationally (Goxe and Belhoste 2018).

Harveston et al. (2000) defined the global mindset in terms of a founder's proactiveness and vision towards leading and managing their new ventures on international markets. We posit that global vision is a type of cognitive capital, which can be shaped by entrepreneurs' previous experiential knowledge and can convert entrepreneurs' prior knowledge into performance advantages in

international business. Global vision as a type of cognitive HC is more strategic in nature (Crook et al. 2011), as the value it produces is greater than its costs and it is difficult for competitors to imitate or purchase it in the strategic factor market for HC (Amit and Schoemaker 1993). Global vision enhances the organisational capability development process (Laurell et al. 2017), which in turn expedites international transactions for long-term strategic success, such as a firm's international footprint. Successful performance is not inevitable if international entrepreneurs are reluctant to nurture their global vision.

### **3. The Conceptual Model and Hypotheses Development**

HC theory and RBT are complementary in that HC itself is a resource (Ployhart and Moliterno 2011). According to RBT, entrepreneurs' prior experiences and knowledge can be considered a valuable, unique, and hard-to-imitate resource that differentiates winners from losers and those who merely survive in global competition (Peng and York 2001). Because resources are context-based, their value depends on the characteristics of a given environment (Zhou and Li 2010). The mere existence of a bundle of resources (such as prior experiential resources) does not necessarily guarantee a sustainable competitive advantage in the international market (Eisenhardt and Martin 2000; Teece et al. 1997). IE, as a complex area of competition, is radically different from domestic entrepreneurship. Therefore, we posit that global vision includes the necessary context to be linked with prior experience and performance outcomes in an international business setting.

The advantages of prior experience include access to capital and markets that are not obvious or available to those who lack it (Ronstadt 1988). However, Starr and Bygrave (1992) argued that prior experience can have both positive and negative effects: its impact depends on its applicability to a new venture. Gasse (1982) argued that experience may exert two different and opposite effects on firm performance. While it can provide the entrepreneur with necessary guidelines and knowledge beneficial to increased performance, at the same time it may generate hard-to-change habits (inertia) that may hinder adaptation and better performance.

Because global vision enables entrepreneurs to look for new opportunities in international markets, prior experience mediated by global vision is unlikely to promote the inertia that may hinder adaptation to changing environments in international business. Skills and resources must be filtered through cognitive capabilities to produce performance outcomes in international business (Manolova et al. 2002; Ruzzier et al. 2007); otherwise there is no guarantee that they will produce positive results—or any results at all. Unmitigated by entrepreneurs' cognitive capabilities, such resources may even produce negative results.

IE is different from domestic entrepreneurship (McDougall et al. 2003). International markets are more dynamic and more environmentally turbulent; the tastes and preferences of overseas customers differ and thus require specific knowledge which cannot be achieved through operating in or experiencing only one's domestic market. Therefore, we argue that HC tailored to domestic market experience as well as to international business-related expertise (such as international work experience) is necessary but not sufficient for an entrepreneur to successfully internationalise. Past experiences are static in nature because they are mostly firm-specific and serve a general purpose (Gibbons and Waldman 2004): An international entrepreneur requires a more specific and cognitive HC to be able to identify and exploit emerging opportunities in international markets (Mostafiz and Goh 2018). We argue that a global vision can better explain this type of cognitive capital. The global vision of the entrepreneur is also dynamic in nature because it is directly linked to the international business context and represents entrepreneurs' cognitive ability, which is needed to convert static resources into performance advantages. We propose that a global vision is the variable needed to successfully transform experiential resources into performance advantages in international business. Such a cognitive perspective is suggested in IE given its correlational research focus and static research design (Zahra et al. 2005). It also reflects the current task-related knowledge and skills (Gibbons and Waldman 2004) applied to international business.

### 3.1. The Relationship Between Prior Experience and Global Vision

Three entrepreneurial capabilities underpin successful IE: international opportunity identification, institutional bridging, and the capacity for cross-cultural collaboration (Karra et al. 2008). At the core of these capabilities is the entrepreneur's global vision (Karra et al. 2008), which stems from the pre-existing experiences he or she accumulated before founding a firm. Prior entrepreneurial, managerial, industrial and technical experience all help an international entrepreneur to do well in the current international business setting. Prior industry experience grants access to previously established networks and broadens an entrepreneur's network (Barringer et al. 2005). Furthermore, entrepreneurs with prior start-up experience have a more complex and realistic view of their role and what it entails (Farmer et al. 2011). It takes time and persistence for an individual interested in becoming an entrepreneur to generate the cognitive knowledge (e.g., Mitchell et al. 2002) and motivational structures required to successfully identify opportunities and shape subsequent action (Shane and Venkataraman 2000). Davidsson and Honig (2003) found that previous entrepreneurial experience was positively related to the probability of entry into nascent entrepreneurship and making progress in exploiting the opportunity. Similarly, Ucbasaran et al. (2008) found that entrepreneurial



experience allows individuals to identify more opportunities and exploit more innovative opportunities. Prior start-up experience provides tacit and explicit knowledge, role familiarity and social networks which can help the entrepreneur develop a broader vision to do business on a global scale.

Functional or task-related prior experience is essential (Unger et al. 2011) because it can be directly utilised in subsequent start-ups. The HC needed to enhance firm performance is more likely to arise from years of managerial experience in industry (preferably the same as that of the start-up) (Haber and Reichel 2007). Managerial skills are essential to strong venture performance: prior managerial experience in a related industry may broaden an entrepreneur's outlook to the global rather than the domestic or regional sphere. Notably, in Bangladesh's apparel export industry, which is the focus of this study, many entrepreneurs started their careers as employees or managers in an apparel exporting company. They were trained in many or all of the relevant organisational functions and managerial positions and had the opportunity to climb the organisational ladder; in the process, they accumulated supervisory experience which they brought with them to their own start-up, demonstrating that tacit knowledge plays a significant role in industry development (Faroque 2015; Mostafa and Klepper 2018). Entrepreneurs must develop their global vision while they are still working as subordinates in the apparel industry. Collectively, entrepreneurs with such prior experience will have a broader perspective on international business; they will also be more alert to new information and international opportunities. Therefore, we hypothesise that:

H1: Prior experience positively influences an entrepreneur's global vision.

### 3.2. The Relationship Between Global Vision and Export Performance

According to Gabrielsson et al. (2008), global vision is a necessary and sufficient condition for early internationalisation and success. Based on case studies of eight international new ventures in Greece, Norway, Finland and Italy, they proposed that a global vision enables a firm to rapidly enter and penetrate international markets, indicating that global vision is directly related to international performance. Andersson (2000) found that proactive international entrepreneurs were the most important factor explaining why new firms expanded internationally. It is individuals, not organisational routines, which play the most significant role in decision-making in early internationalising firms (Oviatt and McDougall 1997). In fact, for export-oriented manufacturing firms, "having international commitment and possessing intensive global vision" (Mostafiz et al., 2019a, p. 358) will secure sustainable long-term international performance. This emphasises the importance of entrepreneurs' global vision and cognitive ability in international market entry and success. Cognitive ability has

been consistently shown to be a valid predictor of job performance (Hunter and Hunter 1984), a finding which can also be extended to internationalisation performance. Based on the discussion above, we hypothesise that:

H2: Entrepreneurs' global vision is positively related to the performance of early internationalising SMEs.

### 3.3. The Mediating Role of Global Vision

HC is directly and positively related to new venture success (Unger et al. 2011). However, research on the relationship between HC and performance has not produced uniformly consistent results (Sandberg and Hofer 1987). Prior experience can influence performance both positively and negatively (Gasse 1982): For example, it can act as a stumbling block when a situation requires radical strategic change. Jo and Lee (1996) found that although industry experience has a positive influence on performance, the impact of managerial experience is negative. Some scholars have suggested that this inconsistency prevails due to the absence of an intervening construct. Reuber and Fischer (1994) argued that the impact of general HC, such as prior managerial or entrepreneurial experience on performance, might be mediated by a third factor, such as entrepreneurial expertise. We also argue that, in terms of prior experience, HC is static in nature and is therefore not directly related to the export performance of early internationalising firms. We propose that an indirect relationship exists between prior experience and performance through the global vision and cognitive capabilities of the entrepreneur.

Global vision can be thought of as 'intermediate goods' generated by entrepreneurs to enhance the productivity of their HC (Amit and Schoemaker 1993)—it is the intermediate input which links the primary input of prior experience to export performance. As a set of prior experiential resources, HC lacks a dynamic dimension (Foss and Ishikawa 2007), but it allows for the proactive development of dynamic cognitive capability (Wernerfelt 1984)—the global vision of the entrepreneur. While these prior experiences can be instrumental to the early and rapid internationalisation of firms, they are not likely to profit the firm unless they are activated by entrepreneurial and managerial cognitive efforts and motivation (Castanias and Helfat 2001). We argue that prior experience and knowledge is necessary but not sufficient to produce performance outcomes in the dynamic international environment. Although experience gained in one situation can be transferred to another (Nerkar and Roberts 2004), this transfer is not likely to be successful unless interposed by a contextual element or agent. Because organisational performance does not depend on 'how much decision makers know, [but] rather on how they use what they know', the mindful use of prior experience enables an entrepreneur to better anticipate and respond to

unexpected changes and opportunities in a dynamic environment (Rerup 2005, p. 452). This is only possible in IE when entrepreneurs' global vision mediates their prior experience.

Mindfulness is characterised by cognition, updating, and action (Louis and Sutton 1991; Sternberg 2000; Ucbasaran et al. 2003) which is represented by the concept of global vision in this study. Mindless use of prior experience (i.e., its direct impact on export performance) may not always produce success because entrepreneurs with prior experience are (a) narrowly focused with regard to how they perceive problems and seek solutions (Miller 1993), (b) most likely to fail to notice meaningful external changes and modify heuristics which worked in the past (March 1991), and (c) overconfident and tend to overestimate likely outcomes based on previously achieved but narrower experiences (Shepherd et al. 2003). This can further be explained by what Prahalad and Bettis (1986) called 'dominant logic', which they defined as 'the way in which managers conceptualise [their] business and make critical resource allocation decisions' and described as being '*stored via schemas and hence can be thought of as a structure*' (p. 490). This is a worldview of businesses and the administrative tools needed to make decisions and accomplish goals therein. Due to the dominant logic developed through prior experiences, relatively inexperienced entrepreneurs tend to anticipate that any environment they face will be very similar to those they have dealt with in the past (Bettis and Prahalad 1995). Dominant logic developed by prior experiences constrains entrepreneurs' ability to learn and adapt to new environments. If their dominant logic matches the current environment, there is little need to change it: in this sense, prior experiences can produce positive performance outcomes. However, in reality, no two situations are entirely identical, and entrepreneurs must be able to adapt their prior experiences to current contexts (Feldman 2000; Szulanski 2003). Prior experiences may thus fail to have significant direct impact on the export performance of early internationalising SMEs in a dynamic international marketplace, although they can have a significant positive impact when channelled through entrepreneurs' cognitive ability—a global vision specific to international business. The utility and applicability of prior experience and knowledge will be even further limited for early internationalising firms than for their gradually expanding counterparts, as the former anticipate more dynamism in the market due to the liability of their newness. International markets are more dynamic and more environmentally turbulent; the tastes and preferences of overseas customers differ and require specific knowledge which cannot be achieved through operating or experiencing in domestic markets alone. This argument leads us to the following hypotheses:

H3: Entrepreneurs' prior experience is not directly related to the export performance of early internationalising SMEs. Therefore, (H4) the global vision

of the entrepreneur mediates the relationship between prior experience and export performance.

## **4. Research Methodology**

### **4.1. Survey Design and Data Source**

We drew data from the export-oriented apparel industry of a South Asian emerging economy, Bangladesh. The industry has emerged as the second-largest apparel exporter in the world after China. While China and its export industries, including apparel, have received much attention in academic research, Bangladesh has been neglected. Compared to China, Bangladesh is a very small country, despite its massive population of 180 million; it has also been beset by political chaos and disorder, and is frequently affected by natural disasters. The country also lacks proper infrastructure and government institutions suitable for the emergence of such a large and thriving industry (Mostafiz et al. 2019b). Despite all this, this industry's success, in the form of the emergence of more than 4500 early internationalising firms ([www.bgmea.com.bd](http://www.bgmea.com.bd)) that are legally bound to make only international sales to benefit from government promotion schemes (Faroque 2015), is indicative of the global vision of local entrepreneurs. This industry provides an exciting platform to study how entrepreneurs' past experience and knowledge is helping them realise performance outcomes by capitalising on their global vision.

Data were collected during face-to-face interviews using a structured questionnaire which we developed based on a literature review and advice from academic experts, and pre-tested with 15 owner-managers. Potential amendments were done based on the experts' comments to achieve consensus. The questionnaire was written in English and translated into Bengali; its accuracy was verified via back translation to ensure 'translation equivalence' (Van de Vijver and Leung 1997). Using a random sampling method, we administered approximately 800 questionnaires among the firms in 2016 and received 390 completed questionnaires from the respondents (49% response rate). Only firms that met the criterion of being an SME (having less than 500 employees) were included. After following this criterion, checking for missing values and going through the rigorous normality test required for structural equation modelling (SEM) using maximum likelihood (ML) estimation, we were left with 332 cases.

To overcome common method variance (CMV), we used several precautions before conducting the survey. The survey instrument was pre-tested; the identities of the respondents were protected; and filtering questions were used to psychologically separate independent and dependent variables (Podsakoff et al. 2003). We also checked for the possibility of CMV using Harman's one-factor

test (Podsakoff et al. 2003) and principal component analysis in SPSS 23. The results of the one-factor analysis revealed a variance of less than 50% (29.32%), indicating that CMV had a minimal effect in this study. We also checked for non-response bias across firm size and age and found no evidence that such bias was present.

The respondents of the study were entrepreneurs (i.e. founders of firms). In some cases, we found it difficult to contact these individuals directly; in these cases, we communicated with the firm's second-in-command, who has the authority to make strategic decisions in the director's absence. These persons hold high positions in the firms, such as managing director, deputy managing director, or general manager. We confirmed that the respondents had sufficient knowledge of the lead entrepreneurs' prior experience and knowledge. Most respondents reported having a close relationship with the entrepreneur. We also conducted a *t*-test to determine whether there were any significant differences between responses provided by lead entrepreneurs and responses by senior managers who answered on the lead entrepreneurs' behalf, but no significant differences were found.

#### 4.2. Measurement

*Prior experience.* Previous research has suggested measuring prior experience by prior entrepreneurial, managerial, technical, industry or international business experience, as well as the entrepreneur's international job experience, before starting the incumbent business (Davidsson and Honig 2003; Freeman et al. 2006; Madsen and Servais 1997; McDougall et al. 2003; Ucbasaran et al. 2008). Respondents were asked to indicate their degree of agreement with the statements representing entrepreneurs' prior experience on a seven-point scale (from strongly disagree to strongly agree) in the following areas: prior entrepreneurial, managerial, industry, technical or functional, and international business experience.

*Global vision.* In the absence of an established multi-item construct of global vision, we used a single-item construct of global vision: The founder(s) consider(s) the whole world as a marketplace rather than the domestic market alone (Gabrielsson et al. 2008; Karra et al. 2008). A seven-point scale was used to indicate respondents' agreement with this statement (from strongly disagree to strongly agree).

*Export performance.* Export performance was measured using the three most commonly used items in the literature: export sales volume, export sales growth and export profitability (Katsikeas et al. 2000). Respondents were asked to rate the performance of their firms over the past three years in terms of these three indicators (seven-point scales ranging from highly dissatisfactory to highly satisfactory).

*Control variables.* We also controlled for several variables by considering their potential influence on export performance. Scholars suggest that a firm's size, age and degree of internationalisation may exert a positive influence on its internationalisation and performance (Zhou et al. 2010). Firm size was operationalised as the number of employees and was log-transformed. Firm age was operationalised as the number of years the firm has been in business. The degree of internationalisation was operationalised by the number of overseas markets the firms export to. The details of the measures used in this study are presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Measurement scales and properties

<i>Constructs / items</i>	<i>Standardized loadings</i>	<i>Critical ratio</i>
<i>Entrepreneurs' prior experience (Alpha=0.742; CR=0.80; AVE=0.50; MSV=0.213)</i>		
The founder(s) has prior entrepreneurial experience before starting this business.	0.600	
The founder(s) has prior managerial experience before starting this business.	0.761	9.315
The founder(s) has previous industry experience before starting this business.	0.656	8.687
The founder(s) has prior experience in a technical or functional area before starting this business.	0.600	7.994
The founder(s) has prior international business experience before starting this business.	0.693	8.193
<i>Global vision</i>		
The founder(s) consider(s) the whole world as a marketplace rather than the domestic market only.*	-	-
<i>Export performance (Alpha=0.71; CR=0.74; AVE=0.49; MSV=0.268)</i>		
Export sales growth	0.761	
Export sales volume	0.710	5.844
Export profitability	0.502	5.271

Notes:

All standardized coefficient loadings are significant at  $p < 0.01$ .

CR=Composite reliability; AVE=Average variance extracted

\* *Single item, not applicable.*

## 5. Data Analysis and Results

The hypothesised relationships between and among the constructs require that all the constructs be measured simultaneously. We therefore deemed structural equation modelling (SEM) to be appropriate, as it allows a variable to act both dependently and independently. We used AMOS 23 software for this purpose. SEM requires two steps in data analysis: (a) measurement model estimation, which relates the constructs to the measures; and (b) structural model estimation, which relates the constructs to each other.

### 5.1. Measurement Model Estimation

Convergence in the measurement model is considered a prerequisite of structural estimation as a matter of logical necessity (Bagozzi 1981). Scholars have suggested various fit indices for SEM analysis; of these, chi-square for the measurement model is significant ( $\chi^2 = 201.167$ ,  $df = 64$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ) which is very common for large sample sizes (Bentler and Bonett 1980). We also used the following additional fit indices: goodness-of-fit (GFI = 0.943), comparative fit (CFI = 0.914) and incremental fit (IFI = 0.916). All of the fit indices achieve the minimum level of adequacy (fit indices > 0.90) (Sharif et al. 2018). Furthermore, we calculated the root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA), which assesses fit and incorporates a penalty for lack of parsimony, thereby preventing the false impression of good model fit which may result from other fit indices (Sharif et al. 2018). An RMSEA below 0.08 indicates a good fit (MacCallum et al. 1996); our measurement model has an RMSEA of 0.058.

Construct validity is a necessary condition for theory development and testing (Peter 1981), and reliability is a necessary condition for construct validity (Peter 1979). We assessed construct reliability using composite reliability (CR) and confirmed that a minimum value of 0.70 is desirable (Bagozzi 1981) (Table 1). Convergent validity was confirmed by the large and significant standardised loadings of each item on the corresponding construct. Discriminant validity was determined (Table 2) using the procedure suggested by Fornell and Larcker (1981).<sup>2</sup>

Table 2. Correlations between constructs, means, and standard deviations

Construct	Mean	SD	(1)	(2)	(3)
(1) Prior experience	4.331	1.05	<b>0.71</b>		
(2) Global vision	5.447	1.04	0.270	-	
(3) Export performance	4.83	0.935	0.348	0.193	<b>0.70</b>
Control variables					
Firm age	8.35	5.693	0.271	0.230	0.248
Firm size	234.27	161.09	0.227	0.211	0.208
Market coverage	1.801	0.856	0.250	0.302	0.314

Notes:

- Diagonal is the square root of the average variance extracted.
- Correlations greater than 0.13 are significant at the 0.05 level.
- Correlations greater than 0.17 are significant at the 0.01 level.
- Number of observations is 332.

2. Issues of discriminant validity could be eliminated if the AVE (Average Variance Extracted) value of the construct is higher than MSV (Maximum Shared Variance) values (Fornell and Larcker 1981).

## 5.2. Structural Model Estimation

All the constructs used in this study were simultaneously estimated in a structural equation model using the ML estimation method in AMOS 23. Despite a significant chi-square value ( $\chi^2 = 127.391$ ,  $df = 46$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ), the other fit indices (GFI = 0.936, CFI = 0.908 and IFI = 0.910) and an RMSEA of 0.048 demonstrated that the final structural model fits the data well (Sharif et al. 2018). The data presented in Table 3 represent the ML parameter estimates, including the direct, indirect and total effects estimated using the bootstrapping method with 1000 re-samples.

Table 3. Standardized direct, indirect and total effects of exogenous variables in the model

	Type of Effect	Global vision		Export performance	
		Beta	c.r.	Beta	c.r.
Prior experience	Direct	0.443	4.873	0.080	0.906
	Indirect	-	-	0.085	1.70
	Total	0.443	4.873	0.165	1.99
Global vision	Direct	-	-	0.192	2.149
	Indirect	-	-	-	-
	Total	-	-	0.192	2.149
Control variables					
Firm age				0.014	0.836
Firm size				0.207	2.379
Market coverage				0.315	2.983

Note: Critical t-value (5%, one-tailed) = 1.645. Hence, a c.r. (critical ratio) higher than 1.645 means significant at 5% level (one-tailed).

Number of observations is 332.

All the hypothesised relationships were supported in this study. As predicted by hypothesis H1, the results confirmed that prior experience is directly related to an entrepreneur's global vision ( $\beta = 0.443$ ;  $p < 0.05$ ). Hypothesis H2, which predicted that global vision is positively related to export performance, was also confirmed ( $\beta = 0.192$ ;  $p < 0.05$ ). Hypothesis H3 predicted that there is no direct significant relationship between prior experiences and export performance and was confirmed ( $\beta = 0.080$ ;  $p > 0.05$ ). Finally, hypothesis H4, which predicted an indirect relationship between prior experience and export performance through the global vision of the entrepreneur, was also confirmed ( $\beta = 0.085$ ;  $p < 0.05$ ). These results confirm that entrepreneurs' global vision mediates the relationship between prior experience and export performance. With regard to the control variables, firm size and market coverage were found to be significantly related to export performance ( $\beta$ 's are 0.207 and 0.315;  $p < 0.05$  respectively); and the effect of firm age on performance was found to be non-significant ( $\beta = 0.014$ ;  $p > 0.05$ ).



## 6. Discussion and Implications

The results provide important and interesting implications for the understanding of theory pertaining to early internationalising firms in IE. The fundamental question we addressed in this study is: *What role does global vision play in the relationship between prior experience and a firm's export performance?* We propose that the global vision of entrepreneurs mediates the relationship between prior experiences and export performance. *First*, the results show that there is no significant direct relationship between prior experiences and export performance, which confirms the previous findings of Ahmed and Brennan (2019), who also reported a non-significant direct relationship between general HC (e.g. founder's education and international experience) and the early internationalisation of start-ups. From a strategic management perspective, Staw et al. (1981) argued that previous work or entrepreneurial experience builds habitual rather than adaptive behaviours. More experienced entrepreneurs with significant prior entrepreneurial, managerial, industrial, technical/functional, international business and job experience tend to be more cautious when it comes to exploiting opportunities. *Second*, our results suggest that such prior experience does not guarantee performance in international markets: cognitive capabilities specific and tailored to the international business setting are preferable. The global vision of entrepreneurs acts as a mediating variable (intermediate input) to produce the final output (export performance). Prior experiences might give rise to strategic inertia on the part of the entrepreneur—a habitual reliance on a previously successful business recipe or success formula (Wright and Goodwin 1999). Therefore, a non-significant or even a negative relationship between prior experience and export performance may prevail (Gasse 1982; Jo and Lee 1996). To overcome cognitive inertia resulting from prior experiences, entrepreneurs must focus on their cognitive capability: global vision, the capability to see the world through a global lens. As Hodgkinson and Wright (2002, p. 950) suggested: "... strategists should periodically engage in processes of reflection and dialogue, in order to challenge their otherwise taken-for-granted beliefs and assumptions regarding the strategic imperatives confronting their organisations, with a view to broadening their perceptions. The aim of such an exercise is to attain the requisite variety in mental models necessary in order to anticipate the future and develop a strategically responsive organisation, thus mediating the potentially deleterious impact of cognition on action."

### 6.1. Implications

This study provides potential implications for managers as well as policymakers. Our results indicate that entrepreneurs and managers in early internationalising firms need to engage in regular dialogue and reflection within their organisation

in order to challenge their assumptions and broaden their perspective to achieve export performance. Gupta and Govindarajan (2002) suggested that companies can cultivate a global vision by facilitating the development of knowledge of diverse cultures and markets at the individual level and by building diversity in terms of a company's workforce. The former will bring cognitive diversity to the orientation of individuals, while the latter will assemble a diverse organisation-wide knowledge base. Entrepreneurs should recruit managers with a background in and knowledge of diverse cultures and markets to ensure an organisation-wide impact. If entrepreneurs find a potential manager with no sufficient knowledge of multiple cultures but with prior experience, such candidates should be given proper training and orientation within the organisation to cultivate a global vision at the individual level—a process which is slow compared to organisation-wide change (Gupta and Govindarajan 2002).

As entrepreneurs' prior experience is positively related to global vision, which is of utmost importance for achieving performance outcomes, government export assistance can be targeted to potential entrepreneurs who have sufficient prior experience. Our results support Hempel and Sue-Chan's (2010) idea that prior experience enables firms to evaluate how they assimilate information in order to optimise their performance. To directly influence export performance, public policymakers must also provide support in those areas in which entrepreneurs lack expertise—especially in strengthening the global vision of entrepreneurs. Academic institutes could offer IE courses and training programmes, which could in turn broaden entrepreneurs' confined vision. Several types of specific assistance programmes, such as trade fairs and foreign trade missions, can also be instrumental in extending apparel manufacturers' global vision. Denis and Depelteau (1985) reported that participation in trade fairs and trade missions is associated with export market diversification. Participation in such fairs and missions can help upgrade apparel entrepreneurs' cognitive ability and global vision. Interaction with potential foreign buyers will help entrepreneurs and managers develop their global vision because this vision is developed through the process of interacting with people and the environment (Gupta and Govindarajan 2002).

## **7. Conclusion, Limitations, and Future Research Directions**

This study aimed to bridge the disconnection between prior experience, global vision and the export performance of early internationalising SMEs. We examined the apparel exporting SMEs of Bangladesh, a least-developed country in South Asia, which is currently the world's second-largest apparel exporter. This study contributes to the existing literature on HC in IB and IE, especially in the early internationalisation of firms, by providing empirical evidence on the

impact of prior experience on entrepreneurs' global vision, which in turn enhances their firms' export performance.

Although this study offers several contributions to the study of export and manufacturing, it is not free from limitations, which leaves space for future research. First, due to the lack of a well-developed multi-item and multidimensional global vision construct, we used a single-item construct which may be limited in scope. Scholars can examine specific cognitive abilities, which may better reflect entrepreneurs' wider and holistic 'global vision'. In addition to entrepreneur's prior experiences, other entrepreneur- and firm-specific factors may also have an impact on early internationalising firms' performance. While we controlled for some key firm-related factors, other factors relating to entrepreneurs' HC and performance, such as environmental dynamism and industry dynamics (Lumpkin and Dess 2001), may also be considered in future studies. As most governments offer a wide range of assistance programmes for exporters—especially Bangladesh to its apparel export businesses (Faroque and Takahashi 2015)—future research should also control for government assistance and assess how governmental assistance moderates the relationship between entrepreneurs' HC and performance. In low-tech industries such as apparel, networking and process innovation also play an important role (Faroque, Morrish and Ferdous 2017), which could be linked to entrepreneurs' prior experience and global vision. Furthermore, domestic environmental conditions characterized by high uncertainty may encourage entrepreneurs to become international at an early stage (Dimitratos et al. 2004), as could high export market dynamism and uncertainty (Boso et al. 2013; Lisboa et al. 2013), which would be an interesting future research agenda in such a research setting. Kennedy and Drennan (2001) also noted that conflicting results of prior experience may be due to the fact that an entrepreneur's prior experience interacts with organisational and environmental variables to influence performance. Hence, future research should investigate the moderating influence of organisational and environmental variables.

Finally, this study employed a cross-sectional research design which cannot fully capture the dynamic aspects of the constructs used. A longitudinal research design is therefore suggested. Although Crook et al. (2011) used a meta-analysis to show that cross-sectional research appears to capture the HC-performance relationship as effectively as longitudinal research, this does not mean that longitudinal studies do not provide valuable insights.

**References:**

- Adner, R., & Helfat, C.E. (2003), "Corporate effects and dynamic managerial capabilities", *Strategic Management Journal*, 24(10), 1011-1025.
- Ahmed, F.U., & Brennan, L. (2019), "The impact of founder's human capital on firms' extent of early internationalisation: Evidence from a least-developed country", *Asia Pacific Journal of Management*, 36(3), 615-659.
- Amit, R., & Schoemaker, P.J.H. (1993), "Strategic assets and organizational rent", *Strategic Management Journal*, 14(1), 33-46.
- Andersson, S. (2000), "The internationalisation of the firm from an entrepreneurial perspective", *International Studies of Management & Organization*, 30(1), 63-92.
- Andersson, S., & Wictor, I. (2003), "Innovative internationalisation in new firms: Born globals—the Swedish case", *Journal of International Entrepreneurship*, 1(3), 249-275.
- Bagozzi, R.P. (1981), "Evaluating structural equation models with unobservable variables and measurement error: A comment", *Journal of Marketing Research*, 18(3), 375-381.
- Barringer, B.R., Jones, F.F., & Neubaum, D.O. (2005), "A quantitative content analysis of the characteristics of rapid-growth firms and their founders", *Journal of Business Venturing*, 20(5), 663-687.
- Baronchelli, G., & Cassia, F. (2014), "Exploring the antecedents of born-global companies' international development", *International Entrepreneurship and Management Journal*, 10(1), 67-79.
- Bates, T. (1990), "Entrepreneur human capital inputs and small business longevity", *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 72(4), 551-559.
- Becker, G.S. (1964), *Human Capital: A Theoretical and Empirical Analysis with Special Reference to Education*. New York: Columbia University Press.
- Becker, G.S. (1975), *Human Capital: A Theoretical and Empirical Analysis with Special Reference to Education*, Second edition. Cambridge, MA: National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Becker, G.S. (1994), "Human capital revisited", In: Becker, G.S., *Human Capital: A Theoretical and Empirical Analysis with Special Reference to Education*, Third edition (pp. 15-28). Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.
- Bentler, P.M., & Bonett, D.G. (1980), "Significance tests and goodness of fit in the analysis of covariance structures", *Psychological Bulletin*, 88(3), 588-606.
- Bettis, R.A., & Prahalad, C.K. (1995), "The dominant logic: Retrospective and extension", *Strategic Management Journal*, 16(1), 5-14.
- Bosma, N., Van Praag, M., Thurik, R., & De Wit, G. (2004), "The value of human and social capital investments for the business performance of startups", *Small Business Economics*, 23(3), 227-236.
- Boso, N., Cadogan, J.W., & Story, V.M. (2013), "Entrepreneurial orientation and market orientation as drivers of product innovation success: A study of exporters from a developing economy", *International Small Business Journal*, 31(1), 57-81.
- Bruneel, J., Clarysse, B., & Autio, E. (2018), "The role of prior domestic experience and prior shared experience in young firm internationalization", *International Small Business Journal*, 36(3), 265-284.
- Campbell, B.A., Coff, R., & Kruscynski, D. (2012), "Rethinking sustained competitive advantage from human capital", *Academy of Management Review*, 37(3), 376-395.
- Cannone, G., & Ughetto, E. (2014), "Born globals: A cross-country survey on high-tech start-ups", *International Business Review*, 23(1), 272-283.
- Castanias, R.P., & Helfat, C.E. (2001), "The managerial rents model: Theory and empirical analysis", *Journal of Management*, 27(2), 661-678.
- Coleman, J. S. (1988), "Social capital in the creation of human capital", *American Journal of Sociology*, 94(1), S95-S120.
- Cooper, A.C., Gimeno-Gascon, F.J., & Woo, C.Y. (1994), "Initial human and financial capital as predictors of new venture performance", *Journal of Business Venturing*, 9(5), 371-395.
- Cowling, M., Liu, W., and Zhang, N. (2016), "The world is your oyster: The effects of knowledge, human capital, technology and entry timing on international growth", *International Review of Entrepreneurship*, 14(2), 147-170.

- Crook, T.R., Todd, S.Y., Combs, J.G., Woehr, D.J., & Ketchen Jr, D.J. (2011), "Does human capital matter? A meta-analysis of the relationship between human capital and firm performance", *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 96(3), 443-465.
- Darnihamedani, P., & Hessels, J. (2016), "Human capital as a driver of innovation among necessity-based entrepreneurs", *International Review of Entrepreneurship*, 14(1), 1-23.
- Davidsson, P., & Honig, B. (2003), "The role of social and human capital among nascent entrepreneurs", *Journal of Business Venturing*, 18(3), 301-331.
- Denis, J.E., & Depelteau, D. (1985), "Market knowledge, diversification and export expansion", *Journal of International Business Studies*, 16(3), 77-89.
- Dimitratos, P., Lioukas, S., & Carter, S. (2004), "The relationship between entrepreneurship and international performance: The importance of domestic environment", *International Business Review*, 13(1), 19-41.
- Dimov, D. (2010), "Nascent entrepreneurs and venture emergence: Opportunity confidence, human capital, and early planning", *Journal of Management Studies*, 47(6), 1123-1153.
- Dimov, D.P., & Shepherd, D.A. (2005), "Human capital theory and venture capital firms: Exploring 'home runs' and 'strike outs'", *Journal of Business Venturing*, 20(1), 1-21.
- Domurath, A., & Patzelt, H. (2019), "Founder non-international experience and venture internationalization", *Journal of International Entrepreneurship*, 17(4), 494-519.
- Eisenhardt, K.M., & Martin, J.A. (2000), "Dynamic capabilities: What are they?", *Strategic Management Journal*, 21(10/11), 1105-1121.
- Evangelista, F. (2005), "Qualitative insights into the international new venture creation process", *Journal of International Entrepreneurship*, 3(3), 179-198.
- Evers, N. (2011), "International new ventures in 'low tech' sectors: A dynamic capabilities perspective", *Journal of Small Business and Enterprise Development*, 18(3), 502-528.
- Farmer, S.M., Yao, X., & Kung-Mcintyre, K. (2011), "The behavioral impact of entrepreneur identity aspiration and prior entrepreneurial experience", *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 35(2), 245-273.
- Faroque, A.R. (2015), "Strategic orientations and international opportunity recognition and development in emerging country born globals: The moderating role of environmental dynamism", *International Journal of Entrepreneurship and Small Business*, 24(2), 163-186.
- Faroque, A.R., Morrish, S.C., & Ferdous, A.S. (2017), "Networking, business process innovativeness and export performance: The case of South Asian low-tech industry", *Journal of Business & Industrial Marketing*, 32(6), 864-875.
- Faroque, A.R., & Takahashi, Y. (2015), "Export marketing assistance and early internationalizing firm performance: Does export commitment matter?", *Asia Pacific Journal of Marketing and Logistics*, 27(3), 421-443.
- Federico, J.S., Kantis, H.D., Rialp, A., & Rialp, J. (2009), "Does entrepreneurs' human and relational capital affect early internationalisation? A cross-regional comparison", *European Journal of International Management*, 3(2), 199-215.
- Feldman, M.S. (2000), "Organizational routines as a source of continuous change", *Organization Science*, 11(6), 611-629.
- Fornell, C., & Larcker, D.F. (1981), "Evaluating structural equation models with unobservable variables and measurement error", *Journal of Marketing Research*, 18(1), 39-50.
- Foss, N.J., & Ishikawa, I. (2007), "Towards a dynamic resource-based view: Insights from Austrian capital and entrepreneurship theory", *Organization Studies*, 28(5), 749-772.
- Freeman, S., Ron, E., & Bill, S. (2006), "How smaller born-global firms use networks and alliances to overcome constraints to rapid internationalization", *Journal of International Marketing*, 14(3), 33-63.
- Freeman, S., Edwards, R., & Schroder, B. (2006), "How smaller born-global firms use networks and alliances to overcome constraints to rapid internationalization", *Journal of International Marketing*, 14(3), 33-63.
- Gabrielsson, M., Kirpalani, V., Dimitratos, P., Solberg, C.A., & Zucchella, A. (2008), "Born globals: Propositions to help advance the theory", *International Business Review*, 17(4), 385-401.
- Gasse, Y. (1982), *Commentary Elaboration: Elaborations on the Psychology of the Entrepreneur*, Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.

- Gibbons, R., & Waldman, M. (2004), "Task-specific human capital", *American Economic Review*, 94(2), 203-207.
- Gimeno, J., Folta, T.B., Cooper, A.C., & Woo, C.Y. (1997), "Survival of the fittest? Entrepreneurial human capital and the persistence of underperforming firms", *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 42(4), 750-783.
- Goxe, F., & Belhoste, N. (2018), "Be global or be gone: Global mindset as a source of division in an international business community", *European Management Review*, 16(3), 617-632.
- Gruber, M., MacMillan, I.C., & Thompson, J.D. (2012), "From minds to markets: How human capital endowments shape market opportunity identification of technology start-ups", *Journal of Management*, 38(5), 1421-1449.
- Gupta, A.K., & Govindarajan, V. (2002), "Cultivating a global mindset", *Academy of Management Perspectives*, 16(1), 116-126.
- Haber, S., & Reichel, A. (2007), "The cumulative nature of the entrepreneurial process: The contribution of human capital, planning and environment resources to small venture performance", *Journal of Business Venturing*, 22(1), 119-145.
- Harveston, P.D., Kedia, B.L., & Davis, P.S. (2000), "Internationalization of born global and gradual globalizing firms: The impact of the manager", *Journal of Competitiveness Studies*, 8(1), 92-99.
- Helfat, C.E., & Martin, J.A. (2015), "Dynamic managerial capabilities: Review and assessment of managerial impact on strategic change", *Journal of Management*, 41(5), 1281-1312.
- Hempel, P.S., & Sue-Chan, C. (2010), "Culture and the assessment of creativity", *Management and Organization Review*, 6(3), 415-435.
- Henry, P. (2004), "Hope, hopelessness, and coping: A framework for class-distinctive cognitive capital", *Psychology & Marketing*, 21(5), 375-403.
- Herron, L., & Robinson, R.B. (1993), "A structural model of the effects of entrepreneurial characteristics on venture performance", *Journal of Business Venturing*, 8(3), 281-294.
- Hmieleski, K.M., & Carr, J.C. (2008), "The relationship between entrepreneur psychological capital and new venture performance", *Frontiers of Entrepreneurship Research*, 28(4), 1-17.
- Hodgkinson, G.P., & Wright, G. (2002), "Confronting strategic inertia in a top management team: Learning from failure", *Organization Studies*, 23(6), 949-977.
- Hollenbeck, J.R., & Whitener, E.M. (1988), "Reclaiming personality traits for personnel selection: Self-esteem as an illustrative case", *Journal of Management*, 14(1), 81-91.
- Hunter, J.E., & Hunter, R.F. (1984), "Validity and utility of alternative predictors across studies", *Psychological Bulletin*, 96(1), 72-98.
- Hutchinson, K., Quinn, B., & Alexander, N. (2006), "The role of management characteristics in the internationalisation of SMEs: Evidence from the UK retail sector", *Journal of Small Business and Enterprise Development*, 13(4), 513-534.
- Jo, H., & Lee, J. (1996), "The relationship between an entrepreneur's background and performance in a new venture", *Technovation*, 16(4), 161-211.
- Jones, M.V. (2001), "First steps in internationalisation: Concepts and evidence from a sample of small high-technology firms", *Journal of International Management*, 7(3), 191-210.
- Karra, N., Phillips, N., & Tracey, P. (2008), "Building the born global firm: Developing entrepreneurial capabilities for international new venture success", *Long Range Planning*, 41(4), 440-458.
- Katsikeas, C.S., Leonidou, L.C., & Morgan, N.A. (2000), "Firm-level export performance assessment: Review, evaluation, and development", *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 28(4), 493-511.
- Kennedy, J., & Drennan, J. (2001), "A review of the impact of education and prior experience on new venture performance", *International Journal of Entrepreneurship and Innovation*, 2(3), 153-169.
- Knight, G.A., & Cavusgil, S.T. (1996), "The born global firm: A challenge to traditional internationalization theory", *Advances in International Marketing*, 8(1), 11-26.
- Kor, Y.Y., Mahoney, J.T., & Michael, S.C. (2007), "Resources, capabilities and entrepreneurial perceptions", *Journal of Management Studies*, 44(7), 1187-1212.

- Kuivalainen, O., Sundqvist, S., & Servais, P. (2007), "Firms' degree of born-globalness, international entrepreneurial orientation and export performance", *Journal of World Business*, 42(3), 253-267.
- Laurell, H., Achtenhagen, L., & Andersson, S. (2017), "The changing role of network ties and critical capabilities in an international new venture's early development", *International Entrepreneurship and Management Journal*, 13(1), 113-140.
- Li, E., Rietveld, C.A., & Van Stel, A. (2016), "The returns to field of study in college: A comparison between entrepreneurs and wage-workers", *International Review of Entrepreneurship*, 14(3), 343-360.
- Lisboa, A.C.C., Skarneas, D., & Lages, C. (2013), "Export market exploitation and exploration and performance: Linear, moderated, complementary and non-linear effects", *International Marketing Review*, 30(3), 45-62.
- Louis, M.R., & Sutton, R.I. (1991), "Switching cognitive gears: From habits of mind to active thinking", *Human Relations*, 44(1), 55-76.
- Lumpkin, G.T., & Dess, G.G. (2001), "Linking two dimensions of entrepreneurial orientation to firm performance: The moderating role of environment and industry life cycle", *Journal of Business Venturing*, 16(5), 429-451.
- MacCallum, R.C., Browne, M.W., & Sugawara, H.M. (1996), "Power analysis and determination of sample size for covariance structure modeling", *Psychological Methods*, 1(2), 130-149.
- Madsen, T.K., & Servais, P. (1997), "The internationalization of born globals: An evolutionary process?", *International Business Review*, 6(6), 561-583.
- Manolova, T.S., Brush, C.G., Edelman, L.F., & Greene, P.G. (2002), "Internationalization of small firms: Personal factors revisited", *International Small Business Journal*, 20(1), 9-31.
- March, J.G. (1991), "Exploration and exploitation in organizational learning", *Organization Science*, 2(1), 71-87.
- McDougall, P., Oviatt, B.M., & Shrader, R.C. (2003), "A comparison of international and domestic new ventures", *Journal of International Entrepreneurship*, 1(1), 59-82.
- Miller, D. (1993), "The architecture of simplicity", *Academy of Management Review*, 18(1), 116-138.
- Mitchell, R.K., Busenitz, L., Lant, T., McDougall, P., Morse, E.A., & Smith, J.B. (2002), "Toward a theory of entrepreneurial cognition: Rethinking the people side of entrepreneurship research", *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 27(2), 93-104.
- Moen, Ø., & Servais, P. (2002), "Born global or gradual global? Examining the export behavior of small and medium-sized enterprises", *Journal of International Marketing*, 10(3), 49-72.
- Mostafa, R., & Klepper, S. (2018), "Industrial development through tacit knowledge seeding: Evidence from the Bangladesh garment industry", *Management Science*, 64(2), 613-632.
- Mostafiz, I., & Goh, S.K. (2018), "International women entrepreneurs and international opportunity recognition skills for start-up ventures", *Journal for International Business and Entrepreneurship Development*, 11(3), 201-220.
- Mostafiz, I., Sambasivan, M., & Goh, S.K. (2019a), "Impacts of dynamic managerial capability and international opportunity identification on firm performance", *Multinational Business Review*, 27(4), 339-363.
- Mostafiz, I., Sambasivan, M., & Goh, S.K. (2019b), "The antecedents and the outcomes of foreign market knowledge accumulation – the dynamic managerial capability perspective", *Journal of Business and Industrial Marketing*, 34(4), 902-920.
- Nerkar, A., & Roberts, P.W. (2004), "Technological and productmarket experience and the success of new product introductions in the pharmaceutical industry", *Strategic Management Journal*, 25(8-9), 779-799.
- Nowiski, W., & Rialp, A. (2013), "Drivers and strategies of international new ventures from a Central European transition economy", *Journal for East European Management Studies*, 18(2), 191-231.
- Nummela, N., Saarenketo, S., & Puimalainen, K. (2004), "A global mindset – a prerequisite for successful internationalization?", *Canadian Journal of Administrative Sciences*, 21(1), 51-64.
- Oviatt, B.M., & McDougall, P.P. (1994), "Toward a theory of international new ventures", *Journal of International Business Studies*, 25(1), 45-64.

- Oviatt, B.M., & McDougall, P. (1997), "Challenges for internationalization process theory: The case of international new ventures", *MIR: Management International Review*, 37(1), 85-99.
- Oura, M.M., Zilber, S.N., & Lopes, E.L. (2016), "Innovation capacity, international experience and export performance of SMEs in Brazil", *International Business Review*, 25(5), 921-932.
- Peng, M.W., & York, A.S. (2001), "Behind intermediary performance in export trade: Transactions, agents, and resources", *Journal of International Business Studies*, 32(2), 327-346.
- Pennings, J.M., Lee, K., & Van Witteloostuijn, A. (1998), "Human capital, social capital, and firm dissolution", *Academy of Management Journal*, 41(4), 425-440.
- Perkins, G., & Murmann, J.P. (2018), "What does the success of Tesla mean for the future dynamics in the global automobile sector?", *Management and Organization Review*, 13(3), 471-480.
- Peter, J.P. (1979), "Reliability: A review of psychometric basics and recent marketing practices", *Journal of Marketing Research*, 16(1), 6-17.
- Peter, J.P. (1981), "Construct validity: A review of basic issues and marketing practices", *Journal of Marketing Research*, 18(2), 133-145.
- Ployhart, R.E., & Moliterno, T.P. (2011), "Emergence of the human capital resource: A multilevel model", *Academy of Management Review*, 36(1), 127-150.
- Podsakoff, P.M., MacKenzie, S.B., Lee, J.Y., & Podsakoff, N.P. (2003), "Common method biases in behavioral research: A critical review of the literature and recommended remedies", *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 88(5), 879-903.
- Prahalad, C.K., & Bettis, R.A. (1986), "The dominant logic: A new linkage between diversity and performance", *Strategic Management Journal*, 7(6), 485-501.
- Ramsey, J.R., Abi Aad, A., Jiang, C., Barakat, L., & Drummond, V. (2016), "Emergence of cultural intelligence and global mindset capital: A multilevel model", *Multinational Business Review*, 24(2), 106-122.
- Rasmussen, E.S., & Madsen, T.K. (2002), "The born global concept", Paper presented at the 28th EIBA conference, Athens, Greece.
- Rerup, C. (2005), "Learning from past experience: Footnotes on mindfulness and habitual entrepreneurship", *Scandinavian Journal of Management*, 21(4), 451-472.
- Reuber, A.R., & Fischer, E.M. (1994), "Entrepreneurs' experience, expertise, and the performance of technology-based firms", *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*, 41(4), 365-374.
- Reuber, A.R., & Fischer, E. (1997), "The influence of the management team's international experience on the internationalization behaviors of SMEs", *Journal of International Business Studies*, 28(4), 807-825.
- Reuber, A.R., & Fischer, E. (2002), "Foreign sales and small firm growth: The moderating role of the management team", *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 27(1), 29-45.
- Rialp, A., Rialp, J., & Knight, G.A. (2005), "The phenomenon of early internationalizing firms: What do we know after a decade (1993-2003) of scientific inquiry?", *International Business Review*, 14(2), 147-166.
- Ronstadt, R. (1988), "The corridor principle", *Journal of Business Venturing*, 3(1), 31-40.
- Ruzzier, M., Antoncic, B., Hisrich, R.D., & Konecnik, M. (2007), "Human capital and SME internationalization: A structural equation modeling study", *Canadian Journal of Administrative Sciences*, 24(1), 15-29.
- Sandberg, W.R. (1986), *New Venture Performance: The Role of Strategy and Industry Structure*, Lexington, MA: Lexington Books.
- Sandberg, W.R., & Hofer, C.W. (1987), "Improving new venture performance: The role of strategy, industry structure, and the entrepreneur", *Journal of Business Venturing*, 2(1), 5-28.
- Shane, S., & Venkataraman, S. (2000), "The promise of entrepreneurship as a field of research", *Academy of Management Review*, 25(1), 217-226.
- Sharif, S.P., Mostafiz, I., & Guptan, V. (2018), "A systematic review of structural equation modelling in nursing research", *Nurse Researcher*, 26(2), 28-31.
- Sharma, D.D., & Blomstermo, A. (2003), "The internationalization process of born globals: A network view", *International Business Review*, 12(6), 739-753.
- Shepherd, D.A., Zacharakis, A., & Baron, R.A. (2003), "VCs' decision processes: Evidence suggesting more experience may not always be better", *Journal of Business Venturing*, 18(3), 381-401.



- Smith, K.G., Carroll, S.J., & Ashford, S.J. (1995), "Intra-and interorganizational cooperation: Toward a research agenda", *Academy of Management Journal*, 38(1), 7-23.
- Starr, J.A., & Bygrave, W.D. (1992), "The second time around: The outcomes, assets, and liabilities of prior start-up experience", In: S. Birley and I.C. MacMillan (eds.), *International Perspectives on Entrepreneurship Research* (pp. 340-363), Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- Staw, B.M., Sandelands, L.E., & Dutton, J.E. (1981), "Threat rigidity effects in organizational behavior: A multilevel analysis", *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 26(4), 501-524.
- Sternberg, R.J. (Ed.) (2000), *Handbook of Intelligence*, Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Sweetland, S.R. (1996), "Human capital theory: Foundations of a field of inquiry", *Review of Educational Research*, 66(3), 341-359.
- Szulanski, G. (2003), *Sticky Knowledge: Barriers to Knowing in the Firm*, London: Sage Publications.
- Teece, D.J. (2012), "Dynamic capabilities: Routines versus entrepreneurial action", *Journal of Management Studies*, 49(8), 1395-1401.
- Teece, D.J., Pisano, G., & Shuen, A. (1997), "Dynamic capabilities and strategic management", *Strategic Management Journal*, 18(7), 509-533.
- Terjesen, S., Hessels, J., & Li, D. (2016), "Comparative international entrepreneurship: A review and research agenda", *Journal of Management*, 42(1), 299-344.
- Thai, M.T.T., & Chong, L.C. (2008), "Born-global: The case of four Vietnamese SMEs", *Journal of International Entrepreneurship*, 6(2), 72-98.
- Ucbasaran, D., Westhead, P., Wright, M., & Binks, M. (2003), "Does entrepreneurial experience influence opportunity identification?", *Journal of Private Equity*, 7(1), 7-14.
- Ucbasaran, D., Westhead, P., & Wright, M. (2008), "Opportunity identification and pursuit: Does an entrepreneur's human capital matter?", *Small Business Economics*, 30(2), 153-173.
- Unger, J.M., Rauch, A., Frese, M., & Rosenbusch, N. (2011), "Human capital and entrepreneurial success: A meta-analytical review", *Journal of Business Venturing*, 26(3), 341-358.
- Van de Vijver, F., & Leung, K. (1997), "Methods and data analysis of comparative research", In: Berry, J.W., Poortinga, Y.H., and Pandey, J. (Eds.), *Handbook of Cross-Cultural Psychology*, second edition (pp. 257-300), Boston, MA: Allyn & Bacon.
- Watson, W., Stewart, W.H., & BarNir, A. (2003), "The effects of human capital, organizational demography, and interpersonal processes on venture partner perceptions of firm profit and growth", *Journal of Business Venturing*, 18(2), 145-164.
- Wernerfelt, B. (1984), "A resource-based view of the firm", *Strategic Management Journal*, 5(5), 171-180.
- Wright, G., & Goodwin, P. (1999), "Future-focussed thinking: Combining scenario planning with decision analysis", *Journal of Multi-Criteria Decision Analysis*, 8(6), 311-321.
- Wright, P.M., Coff, R., & Moliterno, T.P. (2014), "Strategic human capital crossing the great divide", *Journal of Management*, 40(2), 353-370.
- Zahra, S.A., Korri, J.S., & Yu, J.F. (2005), "Cognition and international entrepreneurship: Implications for research on international opportunity recognition and exploitation", *International Business Review*, 14(2), 129-146.
- Zhou, K.Z., & Li, C.B. (2010), "How strategic orientations influence the building of dynamic capability in emerging economies", *Journal of Business Research*, 63(3), 224-231.
- Zhou, L., Barnes, B.R., & Lu, Y. (2010), "Entrepreneurial proclivity, capability upgrading and performance advantage of newness among international new ventures", *Journal of International Business Studies*, 41(5), 882-905.

