



Working Paper No. 287

April 2009

The Behaviour of the Irish Economy: Insights from the
HERMES macro-economic model

**Adele Bergin, Thomas Conefrey, John FitzGerald and
Ide Kearney**

ESRI working papers represent un-refereed work-in-progress by members who are solely responsible for the content and any views expressed therein. Any comments on these papers will be welcome and should be sent to the author(s) by email. Papers may be downloaded for personal use only.

The Behaviour of the Irish Economy: Insights from the *HERMES* macro-economic model

1. Introduction

This paper explores the medium-term behaviour of the *HERMES* model of the Irish economy. This analysis provides important insights on key behavioural characteristics of the Irish economy. By changing the values of key variables in the model we can trace how these changes percolate through the model. This in turn throws light on how the economy is likely to behave in the face of changes in key external variables, such as world growth, and important policy variables, such as taxes and public expenditure.

Because of the complicated dynamics of the Irish economy we trace the effects of changes in these key variables over a period of 5 to 10 years. This allows time for the economy to return towards its long-term equilibrium level following a “shock” or perturbation in a key variable. Ultimately the speed of adjustment of the economy to changes in driving variables depends on how rapidly the capital stock adjusts to changing expectations about the future. The short-term adjustment process is also affected by the speed of adjustment of the labour market to changing circumstances.

HERMES is a medium-term macro-economic model of the Irish economy and, as such, includes a necessarily summary representation of how the key mechanisms in the economy actually work. The effects of key factors that drive the economy are estimated by perturbing a range of important variables in the model. The effects of these changes (or shocks) are then traced through the model, measuring the effects over time on key aggregates in the economy such as employment, GNP and government borrowing.

Table 1: Impact of changes to policy and world variables in *HERMES* model

Variable		GNP	GDP
Wages		%	%
5% fall in nominal wage rates	Impact Effect	-0.6	-0.1
	Long-run effect	0.6	1.4
Standardised impact of change in policy instruments of € billion			
Income Tax	Impact Effect	-0.2	-0.2
	Long-run effect	-0.4	-0.5
Carbon Tax	Impact Effect	0.1	-0.1
	Long-run effect	0.1	-0.4
Property Tax	Impact Effect	-0.2	-0.2
	Long-run effect	-0.3	-0.3
Cut in public sector pay rates	Impact Effect	-0.3	-0.2
	Long-run effect	-0.4	-0.4
Cut in Employment	Impact Effect	-0.9	-0.7
	Long-run effect	-0.8	-0.6
Cut in Government Investment	Impact Effect	-0.3	-0.3
	Long-run effect	0.0	-0.1
External variables			
1% increase in world growth	Impact Effect	0.0	0.0
	Long-run effect	1.1	1.1
1% improvement in competitiveness	Impact Effect	-0.2	0.1
	Long-run effect	0.1	0.5

In developing the *HERMES* model precedence has been given to ensuring that the behaviour of the model accords with economic theory rather than to optimising its short-term forecasting behaviour. It is a structural model of the economy where the main national accounting relations and identities are explicitly specified. It assumes optimising behaviour by firms. This makes the model particularly suitable for research on the likely medium-term impact of economic policy. It is also a more suitable framework for medium-term forecasting than the simplified models that are frequently used to forecast the immediate future. The latest version of the model used here has been estimated and tested using data from the 1970s through to 2005 or 2006. The testing of the latest version of the model is described in Appendix 1 of FitzGerald *et al.*, 2008. Our results are summarised in Table 1.

The paper is structured as follows. In Section 2 of this paper the key characteristics of the *HERMES* model are outlined. A full description of the model is given in Bergin *et al* 2009. Section 3 briefly outlines the methodology adopted to estimate the impact of changes in different variables. Section 4 presents the results from perturbing a range of different variables in the model. Conclusions are set out in Section 5.

2. The *HERMES* Model

The *HERMES* macro-economic model of the Irish economy was first developed in the late 1980s (Bradley, FitzGerald, Hurley, O’Sullivan and Storey, 1993) ¹. Since its inception the model has undergone substantial further development to improve its treatment of how the Irish economy works, taking account of advances in economic research, and also to keep pace with the changing structure of the economy. The detailed specification of the supply side of the *HERMES* model made it particularly suitable for tasks such as modelling the impact of the EU Structural Funds and related investment on the Irish economy (Bradley, FitzGerald and Kearney, 1992) as well as studying the impact of EMU on the economy (Baker, FitzGerald and Honohan, 1996 and FitzGerald, 1998). It has also proved to be a very suitable tool for developing consistent medium-term forecasts for the economy and for analysing the long-term impact of major policy variables.

HERMES is a model of the supply side of a small open economy. The determination of output is modelled separately for the manufacturing sector and the services sector. In the manufacturing sector the share of world output located in Ireland is modelled as a function of Irish competitiveness, broadly defined, relative to Ireland’s competitors. This specification encompasses both Irish firms who are competing for market share on what is essentially a world market and foreign firms who choose where to locate their production to service the world market. In this model of manufacturing the demand for labour, materials and capital is then a function of Irish output, the costs of these factors of production in Ireland and technical progress.

In the original version of *HERMES* output in the services sector² was driven solely by domestic demand. More recently this specification has been revised as set out in Conefrey and FitzGerald, 2008 to reflect the rapid growing importance of traded services. This revision to the business and financial sub-sector of the market services sector mirrors the specification of the manufacturing sector, with Irish output of business and financial services being sensitive to world demand and Ireland’s international competitiveness, broadly defined.

¹ *HERMES* – Ireland was originally developed jointly with the Department of Finance and it replaced an earlier model, MODEL80 (FitzGerald and Keegan, 1982), used by the Department for policy analysis in the early 1980s.

² See Bradley, FitzGerald and Kearney, 1991 for details.

The factor demand equations for the supply side of the economy together determine the aggregate demand for labour. The supply of labour is then determined by a series of equations modelling migration³ and labour force participation. Wages are endogenous⁴ and the specification of the wage determination mechanism reflects the fact that labour supply is elastic through migration.

While this model of the labour market fitted the behaviour of the economy reasonably well in the 1980s and the 1990s, there have been changes in the behaviour of the labour market in recent years. In particular, the changing composition of migration and the constraints in the housing market have resulted in a change in the shape of the supply curve for labour making it more inelastic.⁵ These changes mean that increasing unemployment now puts some downward pressure on domestic wage rates rather than all flowing out through migration (and vice versa for falling unemployment).

More recently a detailed model of the energy sector of the economy has been added to *HERMES*.⁶ This contains detail on the demand for energy by sector and by fuel. It also produces estimates of emissions of carbon dioxide. This sub-sector of the model is designed to feed into the Isus environmental model which, in turn, produces detailed estimates of a wide range of environmental emissions (O'Doherty and Tol, 2007).

The specification of the housing market, which is based on earlier work by Murphy, 1999, has been changed in recent years.⁷ Housing completions are a function of the price of housing and the cost of building. The price of housing takes account of income, the user cost of housing, and demographic factors.

In *HERMES* the Irish economy is modelled as being output driven. The incomes (wages and profits) resulting from production are then spent on investment and consumption. When expenditure does not equal output in any year the difference shows up in the balance of payments current account through imports. In turn, the flows of funds into and out of Ireland through the balance of payments affect Ireland's net asset position and resulting future flows of factor income.

³ Based on Honohan, 1992.

⁴ The model used is set out in Curtis and FitzGerald, 1996 and FitzGerald, 1999 and FitzGerald and Hore, 2002.

⁵ The changes in the latest version of the model to reflect this move to a more inelastic supply of labour are described in Duffy, FitzGerald and Kearney, 2005 and FitzGerald *et al.*, 2008.

⁶ See FitzGerald, Hore and Kearney, 2002, for details.

⁷ This is described in Duffy, FitzGerald and Kearney, 2005.

This specification does not ensure the long run sustainability of the economy through the balance of payments. For example, in a shock where world output is increased the current account of the balance of payments experiences a permanent improvement relative to the base. This would imply that Ireland would accumulate an ever-increasing stock of foreign assets (or repayment of foreign debts) relative to the base. This is implausible long run behaviour and it reflects the fact that in the consumption function there is no wealth effect.⁸ Similarly where a shock leads to a disimprovement in the current account balance of payments this is not sustainable indefinitely as it would imply a continuing increase in borrowing abroad or running down the stock of overseas assets. In practise these limitations are dealt with through adjusting the model results in an ad hoc fashion to impose sustainability. However, no such adjustments have been made in the simulations reported in this paper.

In the model the exchange rate and interest rates are treated as exogenous. To examine the effects of different scenarios on monetary policy, exchange rates, oil prices etc., it is first necessary to simulate their effects on the external (world) economy. This is done by using the NiGEM world model (Al-Eyd, Barrell, and Holland, 2006). The results from such model simulations for key external variables affecting the Irish economy are then fed into *HERMES* to estimate the impact on Ireland. This procedure is appropriate because of the small size of the Irish economy which means that whatever happens in Ireland has no appreciable effect on the Euro area economy represented in the NiGEM model.

This approach ensures that simulations that involve changes to key external variables are internally consistent. For example, a change in international oil prices will affect prices and wages in different ways in the major world economies. In turn, this will affect interest rates and exchange rates. By first simulating such a change in NiGEM the fact that an oil price change will affect Ireland through many different international channels is fully taken into account.

There are three options in the model for handling public sector behaviour. In the first option tax rates and expenditures are indexed to suitable prices so that the model reproduces a neutral fiscal policy where the government neither stimulates nor deflates the economy through fiscal policy.

⁸ . If there were a wealth effect, as households' wealth increased there would eventually be an increase in consumption, moving the balance of payments back towards balance.

In the second option, normally used for medium-term forecasting, key rates of expenditure and taxation are treated as exogenous policy variables, which are chosen by the government. In this case tax revenue depends on the exogenously determined tax rate and changes in the endogenous tax base. Similarly, where the benefit rate for those unemployed is treated as a policy variable, the total cost of benefit payments depends on the exogenous payment rate and the endogenous base (the number unemployed).

The third option forces the borrowing requirement as a share of GNP to track a specified benchmark. If that benchmark imposes long run sustainability on the public finances then, when the model is shocked or perturbed, a specified tax rate or expenditure is varied so as to ensure that the borrowing requirement tracks the sustainable path in the benchmark.

A number of important factors are not taken into account in the model. In particular, expectations in the model are backward looking. This would be an important restriction if the monetary and financial sectors were modelled as being endogenous but, as discussed above, the NiGEM model is used for this purpose which incorporates options on forward looking expectations.

A second omission from the model is the unquantifiable effect on “confidence” of changes in key aggregates. For example, a rapid deterioration in the government’s financial position could have confidence effects affecting, for example, interest rates. Such effects are not currently modelled and the results from the simulations shown below must be considered in this light. This issue is handled in an ad hoc fashion when modelling future scenarios.

Finally, it has been argued (Giavazzi and Pagano, 1990) that the cut in public expenditure in the late 1980s generated an “expansionary fiscal contraction” (EFC) as households and firms responded to improved confidence about the future arising from the return of the public finances to a sustainable path. However, as Bradley and Whelan, 1997, show this hypothesis is not sustained by the data. They demonstrated that EFC behaviour is not likely, even when households and firms have forward-looking rational expectations and there are no liquidity constraints.

However, expectations of consumers and investors can still change as a result of new information changing future behaviour. Such changes in consumer behaviour are not explicitly modelled in the consumption function. These limitations must be taken into account when interpreting the results.

3. Methodology

The approach adopted in this paper is first to prepare a benchmark forecast to 2025. In this case the benchmark is based on the “credit crunch” scenario in the last *Medium-Term Review: 2008-2015* (FitzGerald *et al.*, 2008). This scenario has been further updated to take account of more recent developments. This approach has been used in the past (Bradley *et al.*, 1993) to examine the behaviour of the model.

An alternative approach to developing a benchmark, which is sometimes adopted, is to forecast forward all exogenous variables (including time) unchanged to generate the base. Then the changes are superimposed on this artificial base. While this approach has the advantage that the results are not affected by changing levels of key variables in the base, it raises difficulties as to how to handle inflation rates and rates of return, including interest rates. Generally in such cases interest rates and rates of return should be held fixed in real terms. Because of these problems this paper favours the benchmark approach – superimposing shocks to the model on a baseline forecast. In that regard, past experience in using the model indicates that the results of shocks or perturbations are relatively invariant to changes in the benchmark.

To consider the impact on the economy of changes in chosen variables the relevant variables are changed one at a time by a fixed amount (for example, 1% of GNP). The model is then simulated with this one change, holding all other exogenous variables unchanged at their baseline levels. The resulting simulation results are then compared to the baseline showing the effect of the change in the relevant variable.

In carrying out these simulations all exogenous variables and parameters, other than those being perturbed, are held at benchmark levels. Of course, in the real world many exogenous variables change continuously. For example, when examining the effects of a particular government budget package many different exogenous variables may change and some crucial parameters may also be affected, for example, through the effect of fiscal policy on consumer confidence. As a result, when using the model to examine real life policy changes or exogenous shocks to the Irish economy, it is necessary to carry out a full simulation, considering the effects of the policy change (or shocks) on all the exogenous variables and parameters in the model.

Finally there are four technical considerations which are important when interpreting the results:

1. Unless otherwise specified, it is assumed that the government borrowing requirement will change as a result of the perturbation in the model. For example, where a tax rate is increased it is assumed that the additional revenue is used to reduce borrowing rather than to reduce other taxes.
2. The results shown in this note are affected by the absolute level of the interest rate in the benchmark simulation. The results, especially for GNP, are sensitive to this assumption, especially where government foreign indebtedness is high. For example, the higher the rate of interest the more important the negative offset to GNP from the debt interest arising from an increase in government expenditure. In the simulations reported here a risk premium of two percentage points is applied to Irish government borrowing for the period 2009-2010. It is assumed to decline thereafter. This reduces the impact of a change in world output and enhances the savings to the government sector when taxes or raised or expenditure is cut.
3. As discussed earlier the balance of payments is not constrained to balance in the long run and this must be taken into account when interpreting the results.
4. for 2009 and 2010 the personal savings rate is assumed to reach an exceptionally high level of around 13 percent. It falls over the period 2011-2015 back to a more normal level. The affect of this assumption is to significantly reduce the multiplier effect on the Irish economy of shocks, such as an increase in world output.

4. Results from perturbing the model

The results for each of the different shocks are presented for a standard set of variables in a series of tables.⁹ In each case the results are presented as changes compared to the benchmark, e.g. the change in GNP resulting from the shock. These changes, unless otherwise stated, are changes in levels. Thus a change of one per cent in GNP in 2015 is a change in the level of GNP in that year.¹⁰

Unless otherwise specified, each shock is initiated in 2009 and the results are then examined for the period to 2015, holding the shock unchanged over that period. Generally, the discussion centres on the medium term impacts of the different shocks

⁹ The effects on all the other variables in the model are available, on request, from the authors.

¹⁰ It does not necessarily represent a change in the growth rate in 2015 – the change in growth could have occurred in an earlier year.

concentrating on the results for 2015. However, each of the tables shows the impact effects for 2009 and the results for the intervening years.

In interpreting the results it should be noted that a positive sign denotes a reduction in the balance of payments deficit (or, equivalently, an increase in the surplus) and a reduction in the government borrowing requirement (or, equivalently, an increase in the financial surplus).

The first set of results looks at how changes in wage costs impact on the economy. The second set of results examines the effects from changing key policy variables – taxes and public expenditure. The final set of results considers changes in key world variables and how they affect the Irish economy. All of the shocks to the policy variables are calibrated in such a way as to generate €1 billion in tax revenue in 2009 from each of the instruments.

4.1 Wage Rates

Even prior to the current crisis there was extensive evidence that Ireland was losing competitiveness on world markets. Labour costs had risen continuously relative to Ireland's neighbours even before the recent fluctuations in exchange rates. Because of the building boom the tell-tale signs of impending trouble were heavily masked. However, once the building bubble burst the cumulative effects of the deterioration in competitiveness became clear. With the beginning of a world recession in 2008 the vulnerability of the Irish economy has been cruelly exposed.

At this stage it is not possible to quantify just how much costs in Ireland, including labour costs, need to be reduced to restore Ireland to full employment in the medium term. To get some feel for the likely response of the economy to a reduction in labour costs we consider an "illustrative" change in wage rates of 5 per cent compared to a base-line forecast.

With the exception of the public sector, wage rates are not a policy variable that the government can control or vary. On past experience, wage bargaining in the Irish labour market takes place in terms of real after tax wages and wage rates adjust over two or three years to their equilibrium value (Curtis and FitzGerald, 1996 and FitzGerald, 1999). In recent years there is evidence (Fitz Gerald et al., 2008) that the elasticity of labour has fallen and that, as a result, the market clearing wage rate is also affected by the state of the domestic labour market: a very tight labour market or, more recently, rapidly rising unemployment affects wage rates.

The extreme nature of the current international recession and its severe consequences in Ireland in terms of unemployment may mean that wage rates in Ireland may change more rapidly than in the past to restore competitiveness. Also one of the key benefits of social partnership in the past was that it promoted a shared understanding of Ireland's problems and helped the economy adjust more rapidly and with less pain to changed circumstances. If the partnership process could hasten the inevitable adjustment of labour costs and other domestic costs, it could also hasten the return of the economy to full employment. This simulation explores how such a rapid adjustment would impact on the economy.

The prospective substantial fall in the price level this year raises additional questions about how rapidly wage rates will adjust in the economy. On the basis of the past behaviour of the labour market, the prospect of a substantial decline in the price level would hold out the promise of a significant fall in nominal wage rates, as participants in the labour market bargain in terms of real after tax wage rates. Past experience would also suggest that this would take a number of years to complete. However, while this is the implication of the standard model, we have not previously experienced a period when the price level fell by a significant amount. There is, as a result, considerable uncertainty as to whether nominal wage rates will actually fall as the existing model would suggest. There is very limited experience in OECD countries of this happening in the post-war years. If instead of nominal wage rates falling they were to mark time till equilibrium was restored to the labour market, this could take some considerable time, given the underlying low rate of inflation in the Euro area. Such a delay in adjustment in wage rates would shift the burden of adjustment onto employment leading to higher unemployment.

In this simulation we consider the impact of a change in the level of non-agricultural wage rates in 2009 with this reduction in the level of 5% relative to the base being maintained for the full period. If instead of being concentrated in 2009 the change was spread over a period of five years the long-term beneficial impact on employment and unemployment would be delayed two or three years compared to the results shown here.

Table 2: 5% fall in nominal wage rates, change relative to baseline.

		2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Percentage change relative to the benchmark								
GDP	%	-0.1	0.7	1.0	1.1	1.2	1.3	1.4
GNP	%	-0.6	0.0	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.6
Consumption	%	-1.7	-1.6	-1.9	-1.9	-1.9	-1.9	-1.9
Labour Force	%	0.0	-0.6	-0.8	-0.9	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0
Total Employment	%	0.9	1.5	2.0	2.2	2.2	2.3	2.3
Consumption Deflator	%	-0.8	-0.8	-0.8	-0.8	-0.8	-0.8	-0.8
Non-Agricultural Wages	%	-5.0	-5.0	-5.0	-5.0	-5.0	-5.0	-5.0
Output manufacturing	%	0.2	1.5	2.2	2.6	2.7	2.9	3.0
Output services	%	0.1	0.9	1.2	1.3	1.4	1.4	1.5
Absolute change relative to the benchmark								
Balance of Payments	% of GNP	0.0	0.6	0.7	0.9	0.9	1.0	1.0
Unemployment Rate	Percentage Points	-0.8	-1.7	-2.4	-2.7	-2.9	-3.0	-3.1
Borrowing Requirement	€million	626	1016	1207	1310	1425	1524	1645
Borrowing Requirement	% of GDP	0.1	0.4	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6

The simulation takes no account of any wider confidence effects which might arise from urgent action being taken to tackle Ireland's twin problems of competitiveness and government borrowing. If, for example, the risk premium on government borrowing were to fall as a result of this action, this would magnify the long-term economic benefits shown here.

The potential impact of this cut in wage rates on a range of key variables is shown in Table 2. The economy would take time to adjust to such a sudden change. Firstly Irish and foreign firms would take time to realise that Ireland is a better place to do business as a result of the change. It would take time for the cut in wage rates to feed through into the prices of domestic inputs – e.g. energy. Then it would take time for businesses, foreign or domestic, to decide to expand in Ireland. Finally, it would take time to put in place the new capital – offices, factories and equipment - so that the new businesses could get up and running. As a result, the long-term effects are best considered by concentrating on the results for 2013-2015.

The cut in wage rates would have a positive impact on economic activity, employment and the public finances in the medium term. The simulation indicates that the improvement in competitiveness brought about by the wage cut would increase GNP by around 0.6 percentage points by 2015. As indicated above, the initial

impact would be very small (with an actual fall in the first year) as it would take time for the economy to adjust. The bigger drop in GNP relative to GDP in the first year would reflect the fact that the initial impact of a fall in wage rates on foreign owned firms would be to increase their profitability (or reduce their loss of profitability). However, in the longer run this increased profitability would drive increased investment, output and employment in Ireland.

Improved competitiveness would result in increased output in manufacturing and market services. Ultimately GDP arising in manufacturing would rise by around 3.0 per cent as a result of the improvement in competitiveness. The increase in the output of the market services sector would be around 1.5 per cent by 2015.

In turn, the rise in output would give rise to an increase in employment. Total employment would be up by 2.3 per cent by 2012. The effect on labour supply would be quite uncertain because of the unusual external environment – a recession in all other labour markets. In this simulation a fall in the labour force of 1.0 per cent is shown because of increased net emigration (or reduced net immigration) and reduced labour force participation, consequent on the assumed reduction in real after tax wage rates in Ireland. The model assumes that the lower unemployment rate would partly offset the reduction in real after tax wage rates in Ireland, moderating the impact on migration. This is taken into account in the results in Table 2.

The fall in unemployment of around 3 percentage points by 2013 would reduce government welfare payments. The across the board cut in wage rates would also reduce the cost of the public sector pay bill, as public sector wage rates are assumed to adjust in line with wage rates in the private sector. On the revenue side, while initially lower incomes would reduce income tax receipts, the growth in employment and business activity would result in a substantial rise in government revenue from a range of taxes in the medium term. The exchequer borrowing requirement as a percentage of GDP would fall by around 0.6 percentage point by 2015.

There would be a substantial improvement in the balance of payments as a result of this shock (1.0 percentage point of GNP). In the very long run this would imply an increase in wealth for the Irish private sector as it accumulates assets abroad (see Box in Winter 2008 *Quarterly Economic Commentary*). This would imply that the long-term benefits for the economy would be greater than shown here, especially if there were wider confidence effects.

Finally, the cut in wage rates would result in a fall in consumer prices of around 1.2%. This fall, though limited, would moderate the fall in real wages as a result of the cut in nominal wage rates.

4.2 Once off cut in public sector pay rates

In this simulation we consider the impact of a 3.8% fall in nominal wage rates in the public sector, all implemented in 2009. This reduces the public sector pay bill by €1 billion in 2009. Wage rates in the private sector are assumed to be unaffected by the fall in public sector rates. As in the previous simulation, the reduction in expenditure is used to moderate the increase in the national debt. In this simulation we also assume that there is no “demonstration” effect on wage rates in the private sector. In practise, if the partnership process produced an agreement on such a cut in wage rates in the public sector it is quite possible that it would have some influence on wage formation in the private sector. If such a knock-on effect were to occur, the results for the economy would lie somewhere between the results presented here and the results for an economy wide wage cut presented in Section 4.1.

The cut in public sector wage rates would result in lower incomes and would reduce government tax revenue. The overall impact would be a net improvement in the exchequer borrowing requirement of just over €600 million by 2015 or 0.2 per cent of GDP.

The fall in wage rates would lead to a reduction in consumption and a lower level of demand in the economy. In turn, this would impact on the output of the market services sector. Assuming that there is no response by private sector wages, there will be no direct impact on competitiveness. As a result, output in the rest of the economy would not respond and the cut in wage rates would lead to a reduction in GNP of 0.4% by 2015. With tax rates held constant there would be no impact on consumer prices. The reduction in consumption would see an improvement in the balance of payments by 2015 of 0.4 percentage points of GNP. This increased balance of payments surplus, implying an accumulation of foreign assets by the private sector, would have a further long-term positive impact on the economy which is not taken into account here. In addition, there could be wider confidence effects not taken into account here.

Table 3: 5% Reduction in public sector wage rates, change relative to baseline.

		2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Percentage change relative to the benchmark								
GDP	%	-0.2	-0.2	-0.3	-0.3	-0.4	-0.4	-0.4
GNP	%	-0.3	-0.4	-0.4	-0.5	-0.4	-0.4	-0.4
Consumption	%	-0.8	-0.9	-1.1	-1.1	-1.1	-1.1	-1.1
Labour Force	%	0.0	-0.2	-0.4	-0.4	-0.4	-0.5	-0.5
Total Employment	%	-0.1	-0.1	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	-0.3	-0.3
Consumption Deflator	%	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Non-Agricultural Wages	%	-1.4	-1.4	-1.4	-1.3	-1.2	-1.1	-1.1
Output manufacturing	%	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.1	-0.1
Output services	%	-0.3	-0.4	-0.4	-0.5	-0.5	-0.5	-0.5
Absolute change relative to the benchmark								
Balance of Payments	% of GNP	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4
Unemployment Rate	Percentage Points	0.1	-0.1	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2
Borrowing Requirement	€million	676	646	559	509	514	542	606
Borrowing Requirement	% of GDP	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
Public Sector Wage Bill	€million	-1,000	-979	-953	-966	-1,007	-1,067	-1,136

The public sector pay bill amounts to almost one third of the total pay bill for the economy as a whole. As a result, average wage rates for the economy would be reduced by 1.1% in 2013. Lower real after tax earnings in Ireland relative to the rest of the world would tend to encourage emigration (reduce immigration). It would also adversely affect labour force participation. Hence the labour force would fall by 0.5 percentage points. While total employment would fall by 0.3 percentage points by 2015, the net effect would be a small reduction in the unemployment rate in 2015 of 0.2 percentage points. To the extent that emigration proved impossible because of the external environment the impact on unemployment would be less benign.

As mentioned earlier, it is assumed in this simulation that there is no demonstration effect whereby private sector wage rates follow the lead of the public sector. To the extent that there was such a demonstration effect, the eventual impact on the economy would lie between the numbers shown in Table 3 and those shown above in Table 2 for an economy-wide reduction in wage rates.

As shown below the negative effects on GNP of cutting public expenditure through reducing pay rates for the public sector are likely to be significantly smaller than

would be the case if a similar improvement in the borrowing requirement were achieved through cutting public sector employment and the related services.

4.3 Increase in average rate of income tax

In this shock the average rate of income tax was increased by an amount sufficient to raise €1 billion in income tax revenue in 2009. This would reduce purchasing power and consequently the volume of consumption would be 1.1 per cent below the base (Table 4). As a result output in market services would be reduced by 0.6 per cent in the medium run. Overall GNP would fall by close to 0.4 percentage points and GDP by 0.5 percentage points.

The model assumes that workers bargain in terms of their real after-tax wage rate. As a result some of the increase in the tax rate is passed on to employers in terms of higher wage demands. By 2015 wage rates would rise by 0.5 per cent. This would result in a loss of competitiveness in the Irish economy and consequently output in the manufacturing sector would fall by 0.3 per cent by 2015. Lower levels of activity in manufacturing and market services would reduce total employment by 0.4 per cent in 2009 and this would lead to an increase in the unemployment rate of 0.2 percentage points.

The increase in the tax rate would lead to a lower level of demand in the economy and would reduce consumption and output. As a result there would be a reduction in tax revenue due to lower profits and consumption. However, the increase in the tax rate would lead directly to an increase in government revenue. The overall impact would be a net improvement in the exchequer borrowing requirement of €746 million in 2009 or 0.4 percentage points of GDP. By 2015 the reduction in government borrowing would be 0.3 per cent of GDP.

Table 4: Increase in personal tax rate, change relative to benchmark.

		2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Percentage change relative to the benchmark								
GDP	%	-0.2	-0.3	-0.4	-0.4	-0.5	-0.5	-0.5
GNP	%	-0.2	-0.3	-0.4	-0.4	-0.4	-0.4	-0.4
Consumption	%	-0.7	-0.8	-0.9	-1.0	-1.1	-1.1	-1.1
Labour Force	%	0.0	-0.1	-0.1	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2
Total Employment	%	-0.1	-0.2	-0.3	-0.4	-0.4	-0.4	-0.4
Consumption Deflator	%	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Non-Agricultural Wages	%	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
Output manufacturing	%	0.0	-0.1	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	-0.3
Output services	%	-0.3	-0.4	-0.5	-0.6	-0.6	-0.6	-0.6
Absolute change relative to the benchmark								
Balance of Payments	% of GNP	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.5
Unemployment Rate	Percentage Points	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
Borrowing Requirement	€million	746	689	643	627	681	753	853
Borrowing Requirement	% of GDP	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3
Income Tax Revenue	€million	1002	966	1007	1063	1165	1282	1394

In the long run the balance of payments would improve by 0.5 percentage points of GNP as a result of the shock. This would reflect the deflationary impact of the tax increase. In the long run this improvement in the balance of payments would hold out the potential for offsetting gains in terms of GNP.

4.4 Increase in carbon tax on non-tradable sector¹¹

In this perturbation we increased the carbon tax applicable to the non-tradable sector by €34 per tonne of carbon dioxide so as to generate €1 billion in carbon tax revenue in 2009 (Table 5). The approach taken here assumes that the tax is imposed unilaterally by Ireland and allowance has been made for the negative competitiveness effects of such a change. Details of how this negative competitiveness effect is implemented in the model are given in Conefrey, *et al.*, 2008.

The immediate effect of this shock would be to raise the price of energy for consumers and producers. The price of energy would rise by 5.3 per cent for consumers and by 19 per cent in manufacturing by 2015. The price rise for consumers

¹¹ Here non-tradable refers to that part of the economy not covered by the EU Emissions Trading Scheme – electricity and a few industrial sub sectors such as the manufacture of cement.

is less due to the pre-existing excise taxes on motor fuels and the larger distribution margin. As a result consumer prices would rise by 0.3 per cent by 2015.

Table 5: Increase in carbon tax on non-tradable sector

		2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Percentage change relative to the benchmark								
GDP	%	-0.1	-0.3	-0.4	-0.4	-0.4	-0.4	-0.4
GNP	%	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
Consumption	%	-0.4	-0.3	-0.3	-0.3	-0.3	-0.2	-0.2
Labour Force	%	0.0	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1
Total Employment	%	-0.1	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	-0.1	-0.1
Consumption Deflator	%	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3
Non-Agricultural Wages	%	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Output manufacturing	%	-0.1	-0.5	-0.6	-0.7	-0.8	-0.8	-0.8
Output services	%	-0.1	-0.2	-0.3	-0.3	-0.3	-0.2	-0.2
Absolute change relative to the benchmark								
Balance of Payments	% of GNP	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4
Unemployment Rate	Percentage Points	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0
Borrowing Requirement	€million	901	839	828	871	927	999	1071
Borrowing Requirement	% of GDP	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4
Carbon Tax Revenue	€million	1011	1010	1009	1031	1052	1077	1100

Since workers bargain in terms of their real after-tax earnings, the rise in inflation would result in a rise in economy wide wage rates of 0.1 per cent. The manufacturing sector is a price taker on world markets. It cannot pass on domestic cost increases as increases in output prices. As a result Ireland would suffer a loss in competitiveness and some production would shift from Ireland to other locations. Because of the rise in domestic costs there would be a loss of competitiveness on world markets. The volume of gross output (and of value added) in manufacturing would fall by 0.8 per cent. In addition to manufacturing output, the rest of the industrial sector would also be affected. In particular, there would be a small reduction in the output of the building sector, additional to the more significant reduction in manufacturing output. Unlike manufacturing, the market services sector would pass through the rise in its costs as an increase in the price of its output, by 0.2 per cent. This increase in the price of services would have two effects. It would add to the impact on consumer prices (this additional impact is included in the estimated impact on consumer prices shown above). It would also raise the price of services exports so that the price

deflator for exports of goods and services would rise by 0.2 per cent by 2015. This rise in the price of services exports would have an adverse impact on the volume of such exports. The volume of services exports would fall by 0.2 per cent below the baseline in 2015.

As a consequence of the fall in exports of services, and also because of the fall in the volume of consumption, there would be a fall in the volume of services output of 0.2 per cent by 2015. In turn, this would contribute to a fall in total employment of 0.1 percent by 2015.

Overall the fall in industrial and services output would cause GDP to fall by 0.4 per cent by 2015. GNP would be marginally higher as a result of the reduction in profit repatriations by the manufacturing sector and a reduction in government borrowing and accumulated foreign debt. While the lower level of economic activity would offset some of the increase in government revenue from the carbon tax, the government borrowing requirement would still be reduced by €900 million in 2009 or 0.6 per cent of GDP. By 2015 the reduction in borrowing would be around 0.4 percent of GNP.

Table 6: Property tax on households from 2009, % Change relative to baseline

		2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Percentage change relative to the benchmark								
GDP	%	-0.2	-0.2	-0.3	-0.3	-0.3	-0.3	-0.3
GNP	%	-0.2	-0.3	-0.3	-0.4	-0.3	-0.3	-0.3
Consumption	%	-0.9	-0.9	-1.1	-1.2	-1.2	-1.2	-1.2
Labour Force	%	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.1
Total Employment	%	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	-0.1
Consumption Deflator	%	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Non-Agricultural Wages	%	0.0	0.0	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1
Output manufacturing	%	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1
Output services	%	-0.3	-0.4	-0.4	-0.4	-0.4	-0.4	-0.4
Absolute change relative to the benchmark								
Balance of Payments	% of GNP	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.6
Unemployment Rate	Percentage Points	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Borrowing Requirement	€million	812	799	740	734	786	857	970
Borrowing Requirement	% of GDP	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.4
Property Tax Revenue	€million	-1000	-1009	-1035	-1105	-1190	-1281	-1376

4.5 Lump Sum Tax on Households (Property Tax)

In this simulation we consider the impact of a property tax on households introduced from 2009. The shock is calibrated so that the immediate impact of the shock would be to raise €1 billion in additional tax revenue in 2009. The increase in taxation would reduce household disposable income and, as a result, consumption would fall by 1.2 per cent by 2015. Lower consumption would reduce the level of demand in the economy with the result that output in market services would fall by 0.4 per cent.

As a consequence of the fall in output, and also because of the fall in consumption, total employment would fall by 0.1 per cent and the unemployment rate would increase slightly by 0.1 percentage points.

Overall the fall in industrial and services output would cause GNP to fall by 0.3 per cent and GDP to fall by 0.3 per cent by 2015. While the lower level of economic activity would offset some of the increase in government revenue from the property tax, the government borrowing requirement would still be reduced by €812 million in 2009 or 0.4 per cent of GDP. By 2015 the reduction in borrowing would be close to 0.4 percent of GDP.

This simulation takes no account of a possible impact of a property tax on house prices and, through house prices, on the wider economy.

4.6 Reduction in Employment in Health and Education

In this simulation the numbers employed in health and education were reduced by around 17,000 from 2009. This reduction in the numbers at work in the sector would reduce the wage bill by €1 billion in 2009. The reduction in employment is assumed to be maintained at about 17,000 below the benchmark level until 2015. This shock would directly affect the volume of GNP and GDP by reducing public consumption by the amount of the fall in the public sector wage bill. This would reflect the loss of public welfare as a result of the major reduction in the level of public services.

As shown in Table 7, the shock would have a significant impact on the volume of GNP and GDP, which would fall by 0.8 and 0.6 per cent respectively by 2015. The second round effects of this shock would arise from the reduction in purchasing power due to the reduction in the public sector pay bill. As a result the volume of consumption would fall by 1.1 per cent by 2015.

The reduction in health and education employment would affect the economy through a range of channels. Firstly the unemployment rate would initially rise by 0.9

percentage points. However, with extensive emigration it would eventually fall back to 0.3 percentage points by 2015. This effect on the labour supply would be uncertain because of the unusual international environment. In this simulation there is a reduction in the labour force of 0.5 per cent due to an increase in net emigration and reduced labour force participation. However, if the external environment were to continue to be very difficult such a level of emigration might not materialise resulting in higher unemployment in the medium term.

The reduction in wage rates of 0.5 per cent in 2015 as a result of the higher unemployment would improve industrial competitiveness, resulting in a gradual increase in the volume of industrial output and employment. By 2015 manufacturing output would rise to almost 0.3 per cent above the benchmark with employment in that sector 1 per cent higher. The increase in employment in the industrial sector would reflect the “crowding in” of the private sector and it would help offset some of the loss of employment elsewhere in the economy.

By 2015 the reduction in the government borrowing requirement arising from the cut in employment would amount to around €500 million, which corresponds to less than half of the saving in the public pay bill of around €1250 million.

Table 7: 10% reduction in health and education employment, % change from benchmark

		2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Percentage change relative to the benchmark								
GDP	%	-0.7	-0.8	-0.8	-0.7	-0.6	-0.6	-0.6
GNP	%	-0.9	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	-0.9	-0.8	-0.8
Consumption	%	-0.5	-0.9	-1.1	-1.1	-1.1	-1.1	-1.1
Labour Force	%	0.0	-0.1	-0.3	-0.4	-0.4	-0.5	-0.5
Total Employment	%	-1.0	-1.0	-0.9	-0.8	-0.8	-0.8	-0.8
Consumption Deflator	%	0.0	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1
Non-Agricultural Wages	%	0.0	-0.4	-0.6	-0.7	-0.6	-0.6	-0.5
Output manufacturing	%	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3
Output services	%	-0.2	-0.3	-0.3	-0.3	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2
Absolute change relative to the benchmark								
Balance of Payments	% of GNP	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.3
Unemployment Rate	Percentage Points	0.9	0.7	0.6	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3
Borrowing Requirement	€million	492	488	412	382	401	438	502
Borrowing Requirement	% of GDP	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2
Wage Bill, Health and Education	€million	-1000	-1061	-1071	-1094	-1133	-1188	-1252

4.7 Reduction in Government Investment

In this simulation we consider the impact of a €1 billion reduction in expenditure on public investment under the National Development Plan. These results only take account of the demand side impact of the change in investment. They take no account of the longer-term supply side impact reducing national output and productivity as a result of the reduced stock of infrastructure. Previous research (FitzGerald and Morgenroth, 2006) has emphasised the importance of this omitted supply side channel on national output. Thus the longer-term impact of this cut on output and employment would be substantially greater than shown here.

Table 8 shows that the demand side impact of this shock has a relatively minor effect on output and employment in the economy in the short to medium term. The primary incidence of the shock is on the building sector where output, employment and investment all fall by over 2.5 per cent. The lower level of demand in the building sector leads to a small reduction in total employment and a rise in the unemployment rate of 0.1 percentage points. As a result there is a slight reduction of 0.2 per cent in wage rates by 2015.

In the medium term, the contraction of the building sector and the reduction in wage rates helps to crowd in other sectors of the economy and this helps to offset the direct loss to the economy from the reduction in government NDP expenditure. Output in the manufacturing sector rises by 0.2 per cent while services output remains largely unchanged as a result of the shock. Employment in both sectors also rises by a small amount, which leads to higher consumption by the end of the period. Overall, the positive effect on the economy from the crowding in of the manufacturing and services sectors cancels out the negative effect of lower government investment so that by the end of the period GNP and GDP are broadly unchanged as a result of the shock.

Table 8: Cut in Public Investment of €1 billion compared to benchmark

		2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Percentage change relative to the benchmark								
GDP	%	-0.3	-0.3	-0.2	-0.2	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1
GNP	%	-0.3	-0.3	-0.2	-0.2	-0.1	-0.1	0.0
Consumption	%	0.0	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.2
Labour Force	%	0.0	0.0	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1
Total Employment	%	-0.4	-0.3	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2
Consumption Deflator	%	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Non-Agricultural Wages	%	0.0	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2
Consumption per head	%	0.0	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.2
Output manufacturing	%	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
Output services	%	-0.2	-0.2	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
Absolute change relative to the benchmark								
Balance of Payments	% of GNP	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.3
Unemployment Rate	Percentage Points	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Borrowing Requirement	€million	711	769	734	774	857	963	1071
Borrowing Requirement	% of GDP	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.5
Expenditure on NDP	€million	-1000	-966	-872	-894	-950	-1021	-1095

The €1 billion cut in NDP expenditure has a big effect on the public finances with the exchequer borrowing requirement as a percentage of GDP falling by around 0.5 percentage points in the long run.

4.8 Standardised policy multipliers

Here we summarise the results set out in the previous sections where each of the policy variables are shocked so that their effect is to directly raise revenue or cut expenditure by €1000 million in the first year of the intervention. For each policy instrument Table 9 shows what the overall impact of the *ex ante* change of €1000 million would be on the borrowing requirement both in €million and as a share of GNP. The Table also summarises both the short-run and the long-run impact of each measure on GDP and GNP.

Table 9: Standardised impact of changes in policy instrument of €1000 million

Variable		Government borrowing		GNP	GDP	GDP	GNP
		€million	Percentage points	%	%	€million	€million
Income Tax	Impact Effect	-746	-0.4	-0.2	-0.2	-329	-245
	Long-run effect	-853	-0.3	-0.4	-0.5	-987	-613
Carbon Tax	Impact Effect	-901	-0.6	0.1	-0.1	-156	104
	Long-run effect	-1071	-0.4	0.1	-0.4	-763	152
Property Tax	Impact Effect	-812	-0.4	-0.2	-0.2	-345	-317
	Long-run effect	-970	-0.4	-0.3	-0.3	-619	-449
Cut in pay rates	Impact Effect	-676	-0.3	-0.3	-0.2	-335	-442
	Long-run effect	-606	-0.2	-0.4	-0.4	-774	-684
Cut in Employment	Impact Effect	-492	-0.2	-0.9	-0.7	-1179	-1165
	Long-run effect	-502	-0.2	-0.8	-0.6	-1175	-1346
Cut in Government Investment	Impact Effect	-711	-0.4	-0.3	-0.3	-485	-432
	Long-run effect	-1071	-0.5	0.0	-0.1	-176	-44

Table 9 shows the effect of changing each of the policy measures so that their effect is to directly raise revenue or cut expenditure by €1000 million in the first year of the intervention. (Table 1 shows what the overall impact of this change of €1000 million would be on the borrowing requirement both in €million and as a share of GDP). The Table also summarises both the short-run and the long-run impact of each measure on GDP and GNP.

Cuts in public sector employment have the biggest negative impact on GDP and GNP both in the short term and the long term. This assumes that the cuts in employment result in a cut in public services. Obviously, to the extent that efficiency gains are made without impacting on public services the ultimate negative impact on welfare would be reduced.

Generally an increase in income tax has quite a negative effect impact on output in the medium term. This is because wage bargaining takes place around real after tax wage rates so some of the incidence of the tax is passed forward to employers as higher nominal wage rates. This would impact negatively on competitiveness, as well as reducing the purchasing power of the household sector.

Property tax and a carbon tax have a relatively low impact on GDP in the long run because they do not affect wage cost competitiveness. The model suggests that the competitiveness effects of an increase in energy prices, consequent on a carbon tax, would be quite limited.

If the supply side impact of a cut in public investment is ignored, the short-term negative demand side impact is relatively limited.

Finally, as shown in the detailed Tables 2 to 8 when the government uses a policy instrument to reduce expenditure or increase revenue the eventual impact on the balance of payments is at least as great as the impact on the government borrowing requirement.

4.9 One per cent increase in world output

Given the openness of the Irish economy the domestic rate of growth is very dependent on developments in the outside world. Developments in the US probably have a greater significance than would be suggested by its weighting in trade. In this shock we simulate the effects of an increase in the world output of 1 percentage points from 2009. This shock illustrates how a recovery in world output would impact on the Irish economy.

Table 10: 1% increase in world growth, change relative to benchmark.

		2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Percentage change relative to the benchmark								
GDP	%	0.0	0.8	1.2	1.3	1.2	1.2	1.1
GNP	%	0.0	0.7	1.1	1.2	1.2	1.1	1.1
Consumption	%	0.0	0.1	0.4	0.7	0.8	0.9	0.8
Labour Force	%	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4
Total Employment	%	0.0	0.6	0.7	0.6	0.4	0.3	0.3
Consumption Deflator	%	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
Non-Agricultural Wages	%	0.0	0.0	0.7	1.1	1.3	1.4	1.3
Output manufacturing	%	0.0	2.1	2.4	2.3	2.2	2.1	2.0
Output services	%	0.0	0.4	1.1	1.2	1.2	1.1	1.1
Absolute change relative to the benchmark								
Balance of Payments	% of GNP	0.0	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.4
Unemployment Rate	Percentage Points	0.0	-0.5	-0.5	-0.4	-0.2	0.0	0.1
Borrowing Requirement	€million	0.0	377	530	612	659	693	706
Borrowing Requirement	% of GDP	0.0	0.3	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.4

The results of this shock are presented in Table 10 which shows the deviations of variables from their benchmark values. The shock to world output would increase the

volume of net output (GDP arising) in the industrial and market services sectors in Ireland. With the bulk of output in the manufacturing sector being destined for export it is not surprising that the medium-term impact (in 2015) on the output of that sector would be 2%, double the shock to world output. While a growing share of market services sector output is exported, the bulk of the output still goes to satisfy domestic demand. Thus the impact on the output of the market services sector would be less than that for manufacturing at +1.1 per cent

The overall rise in employment arising from the shock to world output would be less than the increase in output. Total employment would be up by 0.6 per cent in 2012 while the unemployment rate would fall by 0.4 percentage points. As a result of the tightening in the labour market wage rates would end up around 1.3 per cent higher than in the base. This would have some offsetting effect on Irish output and employment through reducing Irish competitiveness. However, if a similar rise took place in prices and wages outside Ireland this negative offset would not occur and the long run effects on output and employment would remain around the level shown for 2012.

It is assumed that there is no change in fiscal policy other than through indexation. The increase in output and employment in the economy would increase government revenue from a range of taxes while the fall in the unemployment rate would reduce government welfare payments. The net effect on the public finances would be a substantial reduction in the government borrowing requirement as a percentage of GDP of 0.4 percentage points by 2015.

There would also be a significant positive impact on the balance of payments current account (a 0.4 percentage points reduction in the deficit or increase in the surplus) as a result of the foreign stimulus. While such an improvement in the balance of payments could endure for quite a number of years, in the long term it would result in higher domestic consumption. If this long-term wealth effect on consumption were taken into account, so that the balance of payments was unchanged, then the positive impact on growth and the public finances would be enhanced.

Consumer prices would increase by close to 0.2 per cent as a result of higher wage rates in the economy. This would act as only a partial offset to the rise in wage rates so that real personal disposable income would be substantially increased. This would sustain an increase in consumption of 0.8 per cent compared to the base in 2015.

Overall GNP in Ireland would be increased by 1.1 percentage points in 2015 as a result of this shock to world output.

4.10 Competitiveness improved by one per cent through higher foreign prices and wages

In this exercise we simulate an improvement in Irish competitiveness by increasing wage rates and prices in Ireland's main competitor economies, including the US, the UK and the EU, by 1 percentage point compared to the benchmark. This can be seen as replicating a change in the external value of the currency. The effects shown in this simulation differ from those shown earlier for a cut in wage rates in that external prices also change. Changing external prices affect the economy in two ways. Firstly, the rise in the output price of manufacturing firms as a result of the rise in foreign prices in Ireland increases their profitability by more than a similar reduction in wage rates (Irish manufacturing is a price taker on the world market). Secondly, it affects the relative returns to working in Ireland and abroad and hence it affects labour supply through migration.

It is through the output of the industrial and market services sectors that the improvement in competitiveness affects the Irish economy. Irish manufacturing firms export over 80 per cent of their output and are price takers in international markets. As shown in this simulation (Table 11), Irish manufacturing output shows a supply elasticity of unity when faced with a one percentage point improvement in competitiveness. The market services sector still produces the majority of its output for the domestic market and thus shows less responsiveness to an improvement in Irish competitiveness. Net output (GDP arising) in services would increase by 0.5 per cent in 2015 as a result of the shock.

The increase in output in both industry and services would lead to an increase in total employment of 0.3 per cent and a reduction in the unemployment rate of 0.2 percentage points. As a result, Irish wage rates would be around 0.3 per cent above base by 2015.

The reduction in the unemployment rate in Ireland would make Ireland more attractive for immigrants so there would be a slight increase in the labour force of 0.1 per cent. Higher levels of economic activity and employment would increase government revenue from taxation with the result that the government borrowing requirement as a percentage of GDP would fall by almost 0.3 percentage points.

Overall GNP would increase by 0.1 per cent in volume terms by 2015 while GDP would be up by around 0.5 per cent.

Table 11: 1% improvement in Irish competitiveness, change relative to benchmark.

		2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Percentage change relative to the benchmark								
GDP	%	0.1	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.5
GNP	%	-0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1
Consumption	%	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1
Labour Force	%	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Total Employment	%	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3
Consumption Deflator	%	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Non-Agricultural Wages	%	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3
Output manufacturing	%	0.3	0.6	0.8	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9
Output services	%	0.1	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.5
Absolute change relative to the benchmark								
Balance of Payments	% of GNP	0.1	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
Unemployment Rate	Percentage Points	-0.1	-0.1	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2
Borrowing Requirement	€million	77	183	268	319	371	432	501
Borrowing Requirement	% of GDP	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3

Higher exports would lead to an improvement in the balance of payments of close to 0.5 percentage points while consumer prices would increase slightly by 0.1 per cent. As in the case of the previous shock the improvement in the balance of payments would hold out the prospect of additional benefits in the longer term.

5. Conclusions

In this paper we have used the *HERMES* model to explore how the Irish economy would react to changes in key exogenous variables, most particularly to changes in policy variables. These results are valid for the current environment that the Irish economy faces. However, the values could be rather different if that environment were to change significantly. Thus these multipliers or shocks need to be rerun if there is any major change in the general economic environment.

These results can be of use in developing policy measures, allowing an assessment to be made of their wider economic impact. In general, as shown in these simulations, the *ex ante* change in government expenditure or revenue from a given policy instrument is greater than the eventual impact on the borrowing requirement. This is due to the indirect impact of policy instruments on government revenue and expenditure under other headings. The extent to which revenue is lost or expenditure rises as a consequence of a policy change aimed at cutting borrowing varies significantly depending on the instrument actually used.

The fact that the model does not explicitly handle how households' expectations are formed and how they affect consumption and household investment means that it may not fully capture the short-term response of households to fiscal policy. For example, if households expect the government to tighten fiscal policy in the future they may react by increasing savings in the expectation of future tax increases. Thus it is possible that the deflationary impact of a rise in taxes this year may already have been partly discounted by households as reflected in the dramatic increase in the savings rate. This factor must be taken into account when interpreting the results shown here.

The simulations suggest that when the government takes fiscal policy measures to reduce the borrowing requirement they will have a counterpart in the form of a change in the balance of payments of at least a similar magnitude. Thus a major tightening of fiscal policy this year would be likely to substantially reduce the balance of payments deficit (or increase the surplus).

The impact of the different policy measures on unemployment in the short term must be considered particularly uncertain because of uncertainty about the pattern of migration in the immediate future. We have not experienced an occasion in the past when all world labour markets simultaneously faced rapidly rising unemployment. This may make the migration flows, which would normally occur under current circumstances, unlikely. This reduction in migration in the immediate future could then be countered by a rapid increase in flows once there is a world recovery. What this means is that where an increase in emigration (reduction in immigration) is expected to occur because of a change in fiscal policy the reality may be different and unemployment may, as a consequence, rise by more than expected in the short term.

These simulations do not take account of the significant positive supply side effects from public investment.

As outlined earlier, the model does not fully take account of changes in perceptions about the Irish economy arising from concerted policy changes. For example, a budget that was seen to restore the economy to a sustainable growth path could enhance confidence. In turn this could lead to a reduced risk premium on borrowing and a reduction in the personal savings rate.

These simulations can also help us understand the effects of major changes in key exogenous variables such as world growth. However, when a number of different important variables or policy parameters change simultaneously it is important to undertake a full model run to take account of interaction effects between the simultaneous changes in many variables or instruments.

Finally, while competitiveness, both measured in terms of labour costs and a much broader definition, is not a policy lever available to governments, the simulations shown here illustrate how it impacts on output, employment, and the public finances in the longer term.

References

- Al-Eyd, A., Barrell, R. and Holland, D. (2006) 'The role of financial markets' openness in the transmission of shocks in Europe', NIESR Discussion Paper No. 271.
- Baker, T., J. Fitz Gerald and P. Honohan, 1996, *Economic Implications for Ireland of EMU*, Policy Research Series No. 28, The Economic and Social Research Institute, Dublin.
- Bergin, A. Conefrey, T., Fitz Gerald, I. Kearney (2009) "Description of the *HERMES* 2009 Model", ESRI mimeo, forthcoming.
- Bossier, F., I. Bracke, P. Stockman, and F. Vanhorebeek, 2000, "A Description of the *HERMES* II Model for Belgium", Brussels: Federal Planning Bureau Working Paper 5-00.
- Bradley, J., J. Fitz Gerald, and I. Kearney, 1991, "The Irish Market Services Sector: An Econometric Investigation", *The Economic and Social Review*, 22, pp. 287-310.
- Bradley, J., J. Fitz Gerald, and I. Kearney eds. , 1992, *The Role of the Structural Funds: Analysis of Consequences for Ireland in the Context of 1992*, Policy Research Series, Paper No. 13, The Economic and Social Research Institute, Dublin.

- Bradley, J., J. Fitz Gerald, and I. Kearney, 1993. "Modelling Supply in an Open Economy Using a Restricted Cost Function", *Economic Modelling*, Vol. 10, No. 1, pp. 11-21, January.
- Bradley, J., J. Fitz Gerald, D. Hurley, L. O'Sullivan and A. Storey, 1993. "*HERMES: A Macrosectoral Model for the Irish Economy*", in Commission of the European Communities (ed.), *HERMES: Harmonised Econometric Research for Modelling Economic Systems*, North Holland.
- Bradley, J. and K. Whelan, 1997, "The Irish expansionary fiscal contraction: A tale from one small European economy", *Economic Modelling*, vol. 14 pp.175-201.
- Conefrey, T, J. Fitz Gerald, L. Malaguzzi Valeri, and R. Tol, 2008, "The Impact of a Carbon Tax on Economic Growth and Carbon Dioxide Emissions in Ireland", ESRI Working Paper No. 251
- Conefrey, T. and J. Fitz Gerald, 2009, The Macro-Economic Impact of Changing the Rate of Corporation Tax, ESRI Working Paper 273.
- Curtis, J. and J. Fitz Gerald, 1996. "Real Wage Convergence in an Open Labour Market", *The Economic and Social Review*, Vol. 27, No. 4.
- Duffy, D., J. Fitz Gerald and I. Kearney, 2005. "Rising House Prices in an Open Labour Market", *The Economic and Social Review*, Vol. 36, No 3, Winter.
- Fitz Gerald, J., 1998, "Comment on "Dangers for Ireland of an EMU Without the UK: Some Calibration Results", *The Economic and Social Review*, Vol. 29 No. 1, January.
- Fitz Gerald, J., 1999. Wage Formation and the Labour Market, in F. Barry (ed.), *Understanding Irish Economic Growth*, London: Macmillan.
- Fitz Gerald, J., J. Hore and I. Kearney, 2002. "A Model for Forecasting Energy Demand and Greenhouse Gas Emissions in Ireland", ESRI Working Paper No. 146, Dublin: The Economic and Social Research Institute.
- Fitz Gerald J., and J. Hore, 2002, "Wage Determination in Economies in Transition: Ireland, Spain and Portugal", ESRI Working Paper No. 147.
- Fitz Gerald, J., and E. Morgenroth eds., 2006, *Ex Ante Evaluation of the Investment Priorities for the National Development Plan 2007-2013*, Policy Research Series No. 59, Dublin: The Economic and Social Research Institute.
- Fitz Gerald, J. ed., 2008, *Medium-Term Review: 2008-2015*, Dublin: The Economic and Social research Institute.

- Giavazzi, F. and M. Pagano, 1990, “Can severe fiscal contractions be expansionary? Tales of two small European countries”, in: O.J. Blanchard and S. Fischer, eds., NBER Macroeconomics annual 1990 (The MIT Press, Cambridge) pp.75-111.
- Honohan, P., 1992. “The Link Between Irish and UK Unemployment”, Quarterly Economic Commentary, Spring, pp. 33-44, Dublin: The Economic and Social Research Institute.
- Murphy, A, 1998, “Appendix E: Econometric modelling of the Irish Housing Market” in Bacon, P., F. MacCabe and A. Murphy, Economic Assessment of recent House Price Developments”, April
- O’Doherty J. and R. Tol, 2007, “An Environmental Input–Output Model for Ireland”, *Economic and Social Review*, Vol. 38, No. 2, Summer/Autumn, 2007, pp. 157–190.

Year	Number	Title/Author(s) ESRI Authors/Co-authors <i>Italicised</i>
2009		
	286	Mapping Patterns of Multiple Deprivation Using Self-Organising Maps: An Application to EU-SILC Data for Ireland Maurizio Pisati, <i>Christopher T. Whelan</i> , Mario Lucchini and <i>Bertrand Maitre</i>
	285	The Feasibility of Low Concentration Targets: An Application of FUND <i>Richard S.J. Tol</i>
	284	Policy Options to Reduce Ireland's GHG Emissions Instrument choice: the pros and cons of alternative policy instruments Thomas Legge and <i>Sue Scott</i>
	283	Accounting for Taste: An Examination of Socioeconomic Gradients in Attendance at Arts Events <i>Pete Lunn</i> and <i>Elish Kelly</i>
	282	The Economic Impact of Ocean Acidification on Coral Reefs Luke M. Brander, Katrin Rehdanz, <i>Richard S.J. Tol</i> , and Pieter J.H. van Beukering
	281	Assessing the impact of biodiversity on tourism flows: A model for tourist behaviour and its policy implications Giulia Macagno, Maria Loureiro, Paulo A.L.D. Nunes and <i>Richard S.J. Tol</i>
	280	Advertising to boost energy efficiency: the Power of One campaign and natural gas consumption <i>Seán Diffney</i> , <i>Seán Lyons</i> and <i>Laura Malaguzzi Valeri</i>
	279	International Transmission of Business Cycles Between Ireland and its Trading Partners <i>Jean Goggin</i> and <i>Iulia Siedschlag</i>
	278	Optimal Global Dynamic Carbon Taxation <i>David Anthoff</i>
	277	Energy Use and Appliance Ownership in Ireland <i>Eimear Leahy</i> and <i>Seán Lyons</i>
	276	Discounting for Climate Change <i>David Anthoff</i> , <i>Richard S.J. Tol</i> and Gary W. Yohe
	275	Projecting the Future Numbers of Migrant Workers in

the Health and Social Care Sectors in Ireland
Alan Barrett and Anna Rust

274 Economic Costs of Extratropical Storms under Climate Change: An application of FUND
Daiju Narita, Richard S.J. Tol, David Anthoff

273 The Macro-Economic Impact of Changing the Rate of Corporation Tax
Thomas Conefrey and John D. Fitz Gerald

272 The Games We Used to Play
An Application of Survival Analysis to the Sporting Life-course
Pete Lunn

2008

271 Exploring the Economic Geography of Ireland
Edgar Morgenroth

270 Benchmarking, Social Partnership and Higher Remuneration: Wage Settling Institutions and the Public-Private Sector Wage Gap in Ireland
Elish Kelly, Seamus McGuinness, Philip O'Connell

269 A Dynamic Analysis of Household Car Ownership in Ireland
Anne Nolan

268 The Determinants of Mode of Transport to Work in the Greater Dublin Area
Nicola Commins and Anne Nolan

267 Resonances from *Economic Development* for Current Economic Policymaking
Frances Ruane

266 The Impact of Wage Bargaining Regime on Firm-Level Competitiveness and Wage Inequality: The Case of Ireland
Seamus McGuinness, Elish Kelly and Philip O'Connell

265 Poverty in Ireland in Comparative European Perspective
Christopher T. Whelan and Bertrand Maitre

264 A Hedonic Analysis of the Value of Rail Transport in the Greater Dublin Area
Karen Mayor, Seán Lyons, David Duffy and Richard S.J. Tol

263 Comparing Poverty Indicators in an Enlarged EU

Christopher T. Whelan and Bertrand Maitre

- 262 Fuel Poverty in Ireland: Extent, Affected Groups and Policy Issues
Sue Scott, Seán Lyons, Claire Keane, Donal McCarthy and Richard S.J. Tol
- 261 The Misperception of Inflation by Irish Consumers
David Duffy and Pete Lunn
- 260 The Direct Impact of Climate Change on Regional Labour Productivity
Tord Kjellstrom, R Sari Kovats, Simon J. Lloyd, Tom Holt, *Richard S.J. Tol*
- 259 Damage Costs of Climate Change through Intensification of Tropical Cyclone Activities: An Application of FUND
Daiju Narita, Richard S. J. Tol and David Anthoff
- 258 Are Over-educated People Insiders or Outsiders? A Case of Job Search Methods and Over-education in UK
Aleksander Kucel, Delma Byrne
- 257 Metrics for Aggregating the Climate Effect of Different Emissions: A Unifying Framework
Richard S.J. Tol, Terje K. Berntsen, Brian C. O'Neill, Jan S. Fuglestedt, Keith P. Shine, Yves Balkanski and Laszlo Makra
- 256 Intra-Union Flexibility of Non-ETS Emission Reduction Obligations in the European Union
Richard S.J. Tol
- 255 The Economic Impact of Climate Change
Richard S.J. Tol
- 254 Measuring International Inequity Aversion
Richard S.J. Tol
- 253 Using a Census to Assess the Reliability of a National Household Survey for Migration Research: The Case of Ireland
Alan Barrett and Elish Kelly
- 252 Risk Aversion, Time Preference, and the Social Cost of Carbon
David Anthoff, Richard S.J. Tol and Gary W. Yohe
- 251 The Impact of a Carbon Tax on Economic Growth and Carbon Dioxide Emissions in Ireland
Thomas Conefrey, John D. Fitz Gerald, Laura Malaguzzi

Valeri and Richard S.J. Tol

- 250 The Distributional Implications of a Carbon Tax in Ireland
Tim Callan, Sean Lyons, Susan Scott, Richard S.J. Tol and Stefano Verde
- 249 Measuring Material Deprivation in the Enlarged EU
Christopher T. Whelan, Brian Nolan and Bertrand Maitre
- 248 Marginal Abatement Costs on Carbon-Dioxide Emissions: A Meta-Analysis
Onno Kuik, Luke Brander and *Richard S.J. Tol*
- 247 Incorporating GHG Emission Costs in the Economic Appraisal of Projects Supported by State Development Agencies
Richard S.J. Tol and Seán Lyons
- 246 A Carbon Tax for Ireland
Richard S.J. Tol, Tim Callan, Thomas Conefrey, John D. Fitz Gerald, Seán Lyons, Laura Malaguzzi Valeri and Susan Scott
- 245 Non-cash Benefits and the Distribution of Economic Welfare
Tim Callan and Claire Keane
- 244 Scenarios of Carbon Dioxide Emissions from Aviation
Karen Mayor and Richard S.J. Tol
- 243 The Effect of the Euro on Export Patterns: Empirical Evidence from Industry Data
Gavin Murphy and Iulia Siedschlag
- 242 The Economic Returns to Field of Study and Competencies Among Higher Education Graduates in Ireland
Elish Kelly, Philip O'Connell and Emer Smyth
- 241 European Climate Policy and Aviation Emissions
Karen Mayor and Richard S.J. Tol
- 240 Aviation and the Environment in the Context of the EU-US Open Skies Agreement
Karen Mayor and Richard S.J. Tol
- 239 Yuppie Kvetch? Work-life Conflict and Social Class in Western Europe
Frances McGinnity and Emma Calvert
- 238 Immigrants and Welfare Programmes: Exploring the Interactions between Immigrant Characteristics,

- Immigrant Welfare Dependence and Welfare Policy
Alan Barrett and Yvonne McCarthy
- 237 How Local is Hospital Treatment? An Exploratory Analysis of Public/Private Variation in Location of Treatment in Irish Acute Public Hospitals
Jacqueline O'Reilly and Miriam M. Wiley
- 236 The Immigrant Earnings Disadvantage Across the Earnings and Skills Distributions: The Case of Immigrants from the EU's New Member States in Ireland
Alan Barrett, Seamus McGuinness and Martin O'Brien
- 235 Europeanisation of Inequality and European Reference Groups
Christopher T. Whelan and Bertrand Maitre
- 234 Managing Capital Flows: Experiences from Central and Eastern Europe
Jürgen von Hagen and Iulia Siedschlag
- 233 ICT Diffusion, Innovation Systems, Globalisation and Regional Economic Dynamics: Theory and Empirical Evidence
Charlie Karlsson, Gunther Maier, Michaela Trippl, Iulia Siedschlag, Robert Owen and Gavin Murphy
- 232 Welfare and Competition Effects of Electricity Interconnection between Great Britain and Ireland
Laura Malaguzzi Valeri
- 231 Is FDI into China Crowding Out the FDI into the European Union?
Laura Resmini and Iulia Siedschlag
- 230 Estimating the Economic Cost of Disability in Ireland
John Cullinan, Brenda Gannon and Seán Lyons
- 229 Controlling the Cost of Controlling the Climate: The Irish Government's Climate Change Strategy
Colm McCarthy, Sue Scott
- 228 The Impact of Climate Change on the Balanced-Growth-Equivalent: An Application of *FUND*
David Anthoff, Richard S.J. Tol
- 227 Changing Returns to Education During a Boom? The Case of Ireland
Seamus McGuinness, Frances McGinnity, Philip O'Connell
- 226 'New' and 'Old' Social Risks: Life Cycle and Social Class Perspectives on Social Exclusion in Ireland

Christopher T. Whelan and Bertrand Maitre

- 225 The Climate Preferences of Irish Tourists by Purpose of Travel
Seán Lyons, Karen Mayor and Richard S.J. Tol
- 224 A Hirsch Measure for the Quality of Research Supervision, and an Illustration with Trade Economists
Frances P. Ruane and Richard S.J. Tol
- 223 Environmental Accounts for the Republic of Ireland: 1990-2005
Seán Lyons, Karen Mayor and Richard S.J. Tol
- 2007** 222 Assessing Vulnerability of Selected Sectors under Environmental Tax Reform: The issue of pricing power
J. Fitz Gerald, M. Keeney and S. Scott
- 221 Climate Policy Versus Development Aid
Richard S.J. Tol
- 220 Exports and Productivity – Comparable Evidence for 14 Countries
The International Study Group on Exports and Productivity
- 219 Energy-Using Appliances and Energy-Saving Features: Determinants of Ownership in Ireland
Joe O'Doherty, Seán Lyons and Richard S.J. Tol
- 218 The Public/Private Mix in Irish Acute Public Hospitals: Trends and Implications
Jacqueline O'Reilly and Miriam M. Wiley
- 217 Regret About the Timing of First Sexual Intercourse: The Role of Age and Context
Richard Layte, Hannah McGee
- 216 Determinants of Water Connection Type and Ownership of Water-Using Appliances in Ireland
Joe O'Doherty, Seán Lyons and Richard S.J. Tol
- 215 Unemployment – Stage or Stigma? Being Unemployed During an Economic Boom
Emer Smyth
- 214 The Value of Lost Load
Richard S.J. Tol
- 213 Adolescents' Educational Attainment and School Experiences in Contemporary Ireland
Merike Darmody, Selina McCoy, Emer Smyth

- 212 Acting Up or Opting Out? Truancy in Irish Secondary Schools
Merike Darmody, Emer Smyth and Selina McCoy
- 211 Where do MNEs Expand Production: Location Choices of the Pharmaceutical Industry in Europe after 1992
Frances P. Ruane, Xiaoheng Zhang
- 210 Holiday Destinations: Understanding the Travel Choices of Irish Tourists
Seán Lyons, Karen Mayor and Richard S.J. Tol
- 209 The Effectiveness of Competition Policy and the Price-Cost Margin: Evidence from Panel Data
Patrick McCloughan, *Seán Lyons* and William Batt
- 208 Tax Structure and Female Labour Market Participation: Evidence from Ireland
Tim Callan, A. Van Soest, J.R. Walsh
- 207 Distributional Effects of Public Education Transfers in Seven European Countries
Tim Callan, Tim Smeeding and Panos Tsakloglou