### Accepted Manuscript

Improvement of Mechanical Properties of Graphene Oxide / Poly(allylamine) Composites by Chemical Crosslinking

Amro Satti, Patrick Larpent, Yurii Gun'ko

PII:S0008-6223(10)00367-2DOI:10.1016/j.carbon.2010.05.030Reference:CARBON 5923

Carbon

To appear in:

Received Date:20 November 2009Accepted Date:17 May 2010



Please cite this article as: Satti, A., Larpent, P., Gun'ko, Y., Improvement of Mechanical Properties of Graphene Oxide / Poly(allylamine) Composites by Chemical Crosslinking, *Carbon*(2010), doi: 10.1016/j.carbon.2010.05.030

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

# Improvement of Mechanical Properties of Graphene

# Oxide / Poly(allylamine) Composites by Chemical

Crosslinking

Amro Satti<sup>\*</sup>, Patrick Larpent and Yurii Gun'ko

School of Chemistry and Centre for Research on Adaptive Nanostructures and Nanodevices (CRANN),

Trinity College Dublin, Dublin 2, Ireland

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author Fax: +353 1 896 3037 Email : <u>sattia@tcd.ie</u> (A. Satti)

#### Abstract

Graphite oxide was prepared by oxidation of graphite using the Hummers method, and its ultrasonication in water yielded dispersed graphene oxide (GO) sheets. These sheets were then crosslinked with a water soluble polymer, namely poly (allylamine) hydrochloride (PAH), by cabodiimide coupling. Free standing composite films were obtained by filtration. These crosslinked composites showed better mechanical properties than unmodified GO films and those of composites that were made by simple mixing of GO and PAH. The filtration process was optimized to produce strong GO films which were subsequently crosslinked with PAH in-situ to produce very strong composites ANU with tensile strengths up to146 MPa.

#### 1. Introduction

Theoretical and experimental studies of graphene predicted that graphene and graphene based materials may possess superior mechanical and electrical properties [1-5]. Hence graphene-based materials may be used in a wide range of application, such as hydrogen storage [6], displays [7] and solar cells [8]. Graphene sheets are expected to have tensile modulus and ultimate strength values similar to those of SWCNTs [9]. However, obtaining single graphene layers from graphite is a major obstacle. There are reported methods on the production of graphene such as graphitization of SiC [10] micromechanical cleavage [11] and solution exfoliation of graphite in organic solvents [12]. However, these methods produce a poor yield of graphene layers. Another method is the oxidation of graphite to graphite oxide which can be easily exfoliated into graphene oxide (GO) layers in solution [13-15] and readily converted back to graphene by chemical reduction [15-20]. Unfortunately the reduction does not completely restore the graphitic structure. Oxidation is achieved by treating graphite flakes or powder in strong acid and oxidizing agents. This process introduces oxygen containing functional groups to the surface of graphite including carboxylic acid and epoxy groups [21]. This functionality makes the graphite hydrophilic and allows further modification of the resulting graphite oxide structure for further

applications [22, 23]. It has been shown before the preparation GO composites with various materials including GO/polyelectrolyte layered nanostructure [24, 25]. Such structures were made conductive after subsequent reduction of GO. Moreover, Dekany and co-workers have shown the nature of interaction between aliphatic amines and GO in various solvents and how they intercalate between the GO sheets [26, 27]. GO papers made by filtration have shown to have excellent mechanical properties [28]. Ruoff and co-workers have shown a way of crosslinking pre-made GO paper using divalent ions to increase the mechanical properties of such films [29]. Recently the same group showed a solution based approach where GO was crosslinked to poly (allylamine) in solution to produce strong composites [30]. Here we report the preparation of GO/poly (allylamine) composites by chemical crosslinking using carbodiimide coupling. Free standing films were then prepared by filtration and characterized using several techniques. The mechanical properties of the free standing films were investigated

NP

#### 2. Experimental

All chemicals were purchased from Aldrich unless otherwise stated. Field emission scanning electron microscopy (SEM) studies were performed using a Hitachi S-4300, which was operated at 5.0 kV, on gold coated fracture surfaces. Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) measurements were performed in transmission and reflectance mode using a Digilab FTS-6000 spectrometer using Perkin-Elmer micro-sampling attachment. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) measurements were carried out in air for all the samples using a Perkin-Elmer Pyris 1 TGA with a temperature scan rate of 10 °C per min. The ultrasonic bath used was a Grant XB6 at 50-60 Hz. The ultrasonic processor used was Model GEX-750 ultrasonic processor operated at 20 %. Mechanical tests were performed using a Zwick tensile tester Z100 using a 100 N load cell with a cross-head speed of 0.5 mm/min. Centrifugations were performed on a Hettich Zentrifugen, Universal 32. X-ray diffraction (XRD) was performed on Bruker D8 X-ray diffractometer.

#### 2.1 Preparation of graphite oxide and graphene oxide/PAH composites

Graphite oxide was prepared according to the Hummers method [31]. Powdered graphite (0.50g) and Sodium nitrite (0.25 g,  $3.6 \times 10^{-3}$  mol) were mixed together in Sulfuric acid (16.5 mL). After 10 min of stirring, KMnO<sub>4</sub> (1.5 g,  $9.5 \times 10^{-3}$  mol) was slowly added over 30 min to the solution at 0 °C in an ice bath. The mixture was then allowed to stir for 30 min at 35 °C in an oil bath. Millipore water (23 mL) was added slowly which increased the temperature to 98 °C followed by more Millipore water (70 mL). Next H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (1.75 mL) was added to the stirring solution. The resulting dark solution was then divided into 4 plastic centrifuge tubes for centrifugation (10 min, 3000 t/min). Black Graphite oxide which deposited on the bottom of the tubes was then washed 3 times with HCl solution (10 %) followed with ethanol. Each washing was followed by centrifugation and removal of the supernatant using a Pasteur pipette. The powder was left drying overnight (30 °C in oven) and graphite oxide was collected as a dark black solid.

Graphite oxide (10 mg) was dispersed in Millipore water (20 mL) using tip sonication (2 min) leading to dispersed graphene oxide sheets in solution. N-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-N•-ethylcarbodiimide hydrochloride, EDAC, (50 mg, 2.6x10<sup>4</sup> mol ) was added to the dispersion and allowed to stir for 10 min. PAH (20 mg, ~56,000 Mwt) was then added to the mixture as well as excess dimethylamino pyridine (DMAP). The dispersion was stirred overnight. It was then sonicated in a sonic bath for 10 min and filtered through an Alumina membrane (Whatman, 0.2 µm pore size). After washing with Millipore water (2x150 mL) and drying (in oven, 30 °C), graphene oxide/PAH (GO/PAH) composite paper was peeled off the membrane. Characterization techniques were performed on all the composites collected. Control experiments were performed where the composites were prepared as above but without the use of EDC. For optimized preparation of strong GO composites via slow filtration, all composites were made filtered on Alumina membrane (Whatman, 0.02 µm pore size).

#### 2.2 In-Situ preparation of GO/PAH composites

In-situ crosslinking was prepared by slow filtration of GO suspension in water (0.5 mg/mL) on an alumina membrane (Whatman, 0.02 µm pore size). Next an aqueous solution of EDAC (50 mg, 2.6x10<sup>-4</sup> mol) was deposited on the prepared film and left to stand for 10 min before filtering slowly through. 20 mL of an aqueous solution of PAH (1 mg/mL) was deposited on the GO film and slowly filtered over 30 min. The GO/PAH film was washed with copious amount of water to remove excess PAH and side products. The films were dried and peeled off the membrane and the mechanical properties were measured as before.

#### 3. Results and Discussion

The proposed mechanism for this reaction is that an amide linkage is formed between the amine groups of the polymer and the carboxylic acid groups which are predominantly at the edges of the GO sheets. Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectra was recorded for the composites as well as unmodified GO (Figure 1). IR of the crosslinked composites showed characteristic stretch at ~ 1650 cm<sup>-1</sup> assigned for the amide C=O stretch. For the crosslinked composites, a sharp peak appears at ~ 1460 cm<sup>-1</sup> indicating the formation of the amide bond. Moreover, the broad O-H stretch at ~3300 cm<sup>-1</sup> is not as broad or possibly indicates N-H stretch of the polymer and the success of the crosslinking reaction.



Fig. 1. FT-IR spectra of crosslinked GO/PAH composites, GO and PAH.



Fig. 2. D-TGA plot of GO, GO/PAH composite and PAH.

Figure 2 shows differential TGA (D-TGA) curves of unmodified GO, GO/PAH composite and pure PAH. GO/PAH composite shows the same decomposition peaks for unmodified GO representing decomposition of oxygen functionality at ~200 °C and  $sp^3$  and  $sp^2$  carbons at ~800 and 900 °C respectively. However, decomposition peaks for PAH were also present between ~ 380-430 °C which were shifted to lower temperatures by ~ 20 °C compared to the pure polymer. This may be explained by the fact that graphene oxide sheets may provide better heat transfer to the polymer in the composites causing it to decompose at lower temperatures.



Fig. 3. XRD of GO/PAH composite

Figure 3 shows an XRD of GO/PAH crosslinked composite. The *d*-spacing was found to be  $d_{002} = 0.667$  nm with crystal size of 8.6 nm.



Fig. 4. SEM images of crosslinked (*left*) and non-crosslinked (*right*) composites. The polymer is visible at the surface of the crosslinked composites where it is washed away in the non-crosslinked one.

Figure 4 presents SEM images of the surface morphology of the composites. In the case of crosslinked composites the polymer is visible on the surface of the graphene oxide surface. On the other hand the non-crosslinked composites seem to have a smoother surface with no evidence of polymer presence very similar to that of pure graphene oxide films. This is further evidence that the polymer in chemically linked to the graphene oxide sheets in the crosslinked composites and is not affected by the washing step

during film preparation, where the polymer is washed away in the non-crosslinked composites. This however does not rule out that some polymer maybe still be present in between the sheets of the non-crosslinked composites. In fact TGA analysis of the non-crosslinked composites showed presence of PAH in the composite. It is worth mentioning here that GO loses some of its solubility in water after the crosslinking reaction. This may be due to the reduction of hydrophilic groups on the GO sheets. This reduction is solubility makes it difficult to exfoliate the composites in water after free standing films have been formed.



Fig. 5. Stress-strain curves of crosslinked and non-crosslinked GO/PAH composites and GO

The mechanical properties of all composites prepared were tested. The composite films obtained after filtration were cut into strips of 2.25 mm wide and several centimeters long. The strips thickness varied between 9 -12  $\mu$ m. Pure graphene oxide films made by filtration were found to have an average tensile strength of 17.24 MPa and Young's modulus of 5.32 GPa. The mechanical properties of the crosslinked and non-crosslinked composites were compared. Figure 5 shows the tensile strength of crosslinked, non-

crosslinked and pure GO. Comparing the crosslinked and non-crosslinked composites it is clear that the crosslinked composites have better mechanical properties. An increase of 200% and 395% for tensile strength and Young's modulus respectively was observed. The non-crosslinked composites showed similar mechanical properties to pure graphene oxide films.

Increasing the amount of polymer added to the reaction, while keeping the amount of GO constant does not have an effect on the mechanical properties. This may be explained by the fact that the polymer has sufficiently crosslinked the GO sheets at low concentrations and therefore increasing the amount of polymer does not affect the mechanical properties of the composites. The amount of PAH bound to the GO in the crosslinked composites was calculated from the TGA graphs. At increasing mass percentage the amount of PAH was almost constant (~ 18 %) confirming that all the carboxylic acid groups on GO were consumed by PAH and no more were available for binding. The amount of PAH in the non-crosslinked composites (~15 % wt.) even after washing. The fact that this residual PAH present in the non-crosslinked composites has no effect on the mechanical properties proves that the chemical crosslinking of between GO and PAH is the primary reason for the enhancement of the composite strength. Table 1 summarizes the mechanical properties data.

Composite	Strength (MPa)	Young's Modulus (GPa)	Strain (%)
GO	$17.24 \pm 3.47$	$5.32 \pm 0.61$	$0.58 \pm 0.08$
Crosslinked GO/PAH	$49.04 \pm 9.0$	$8.10 \pm 0.54$	$0.64 \pm 0.04$
Non-crosslinked GO/PAH	$16.15 \pm 7.27$	$3.64 \pm 0.99$	$0.47 \pm 0.09$

#### Table 1. Summary of the mechanical properties of the composites

Controlling the rate at which the GO dispersions are filtered has a major effect on the strength of resulting papers. Slow filtration may allow the GO sheets to assemble and pack more efficiently therefore giving stronger films. In the case of our composites slow filtration doesn't seem to have an effect on their strength, however slow filtration of unmodified GO dispersions resulted in much stronger films (74 MPa, ~ 330 % increase in tensile strength compared to fast filtration of unmodified GO). Crosslinking and the presence of the polymer may affect the efficiency of packing of the GO sheets and hence give weaker films.

A different approach was used to produce stronger GO/PAH composite films where crosslinking was performed directly on dry strong GO films made by slow filtration (*in-situ crosslinking*). An aqueous solution of the coupling agent (EDC) followed by a solution of PAH (20 mL, 1 mg/mL) were deposited on the pre-made films and slowly filtered through to allow time for the reaction to take place. The films were subsequently washed with Millipore water to remove excess polymer and side products. These films showed the best mechanical properties of any composites made previously (see Fig. 6). They showed maximum values of ~146 MPa for tensile strength and ~19 GPa for Young's modulus. A control experiment was performed where GO film was made by slow filtration and PAH was subsequently filtered through, however no EDC was used. The mechanical properties of such films were similar to that of unmodified GO. We can conclude that no crosslinking reaction took place and hence no improvement of the mechanical properties.



Fig. 6. Stress-strain curves of slow-filtered GO and composites

Comparing our result with previous work on GO/PAH composites [24], our way of making the composites is different in the sense that we use carbodiimide coupling to the –COOH groups of the GO where the other method the linking is through the epoxy groups by sonication. Our composites are 28 % stronger in tensile strength. However the modulus is ~ 45 % less for our composites. Our composites show similar mechanical properties of reported GO paper modified with Ca ions [25]. Table 2 summarizes the mechanical properties data.

Tensile Strength (MPa)	Young's Modulus (GPa)	Strain (%)
$74.20 \pm 19.79$	$15.30 \pm 1.42$	$0.95 \pm 0.33$
$43.40 \pm 8.9$	$5.26 \pm 0.21$	$1.05 \pm 0.37$
$128.22 \pm 19.15$	$18.31 \pm 1.27$	$1.13 \pm 0.26$
$62.69 \pm 14.01$	$8.64 \pm 2.31$	$1.09 \pm 0.20$
	Tensile Strength (MPa) $74.20 \pm 19.79$ $43.40 \pm 8.9$ $128.22 \pm 19.15$ $62.69 \pm 14.01$	Tensile Strength (MPa)Young's Modulus (GPa) $74.20 \pm 19.79$ $15.30 \pm 1.42$ $43.40 \pm 8.9$ $5.26 \pm 0.21$ $128.22 \pm 19.15$ $18.31 \pm 1.27$ $62.69 \pm 14.01$ $8.64 \pm 2.31$

#### Table 2. Mechanical properties of slow filtered composites

#### 4. Conclusions

We have demonstrated a new way of making graphene oxide-polymer composites by covalent chemical bonding. The crosslinking of GO with the polymer enhances the mechanical properties of the composites compared to unmodified GO films made by filtration. Slow filtration of the unmodified GO dispersions allows efficient packing of the GO sheets resulting in mechanically stronger films. However, slow filtration of GO/PAH dispersions has no influence on the strength of the resulting paper due to inefficient packing. In-situ crosslinking reaction produced GO/PAH composites with enhanced mechanical properties to produce the strongest composites. Further work on these composites is on going to produce conductive strong composites by reduction of the GO or incorporation of metallic nanoparticles or both.

### Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the Department of Physics, Trinity College Dublin for allowing access and use of tensile tester. Thanks to Dr. Karsten Rode for XRD measurements. Also thanks to Science Foundation Ireland (SFI) and CRANN for financial support.

### References

[1] Geim AK, Novoselov KS. The rise of graphene. Nat Mater. 2007 Mar;6(3):183-91.

[2] Lee C, Wei XD, Kysar JW, Hone J. Measurement of the elastic properties and intrinsic strength of monolayer graphene. Science. 2008 Jul;321(5887):385-8.

[3] Novoselov KS, Geim AK, Morozov SV, Jiang D, Zhang Y, Dubonos SV, et al. Electric Field Effect in Atomically Thin Carbon Films. Science. 2004 October 22, 2004;306(5696):666-9.

[4] van den Brink J. Graphene - From strength to strength. Nat Nanotechnol. 2007 Apr;2(4):199-201.

[5] Wu JS, Pisula W, Mullen K. Graphenes as potential material for electronics. Chem Rev. 2007 Mar;107(3):718-47.

[6] Patchkovskii S, Tse JS, Yurchenko SN, Zhechkov L, Heine T, Seifert G. Graphene nanostructures as tunable storage media for molecular hydrogen. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2005 Jul;102(30):10439-44.

[7] Becerril HA, Mao J, Liu Z, Stoltenberg RM, Bao Z, Chen Y. Evaluation of solution-processed reduced graphene oxide films as transparent conductors. ACS Nano. 2008 Mar;2(3):463-70.

[8] Wang X, Zhi LJ, Mullen K. Transparent, conductive graphene electrodes for dye-sensitized solar cells. Nano Lett. 2008 Jan;8(1):323-7.

[9] McAllister MJ, Li JL, Adamson DH, Schniepp HC, Abdala AA, Liu J, et al. Single sheet functionalized graphene by oxidation and thermal expansion of graphite. Chem Mat. 2007 Sep;19(18):4396-404.

[10] Forbeaux I, Themlin JM, Debever JM. Heteroepitaxial graphite on 6H-SiC(0001): Interface formation through conduction-band electronic structure. Phys Rev B. 1998 Dec;58(24):16396-406.

[11] Novoselov KS, Jiang D, Schedin F, Booth TJ, Khotkevich VV, Morozov SV, et al. Twodimensional atomic crystals. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2005 Jul;102(30):10451-3.

[12] Hernandez Y, Nicolosi V, Lotya M, Blighe FM, Sun ZY, De S, et al. High-yield production of graphene by liquid-phase exfoliation of graphite. Nat Nanotechnol. 2008 Sep;3(9):563-8.

[13] Kovtyukhova NI, Ollivier PJ, Martin BR, Mallouk TE, Chizhik SA, Buzaneva EV, et al. Layerby-layer assembly of ultrathin composite films from micron-sized graphite oxide sheets and polycations. Chem Mat. 1999 Mar;11(3):771-8.

[14] Stankovich S, Dikin DA, Dommett GHB, Kohlhaas KM, Zimney EJ, Stach EA, et al. Graphenebased composite materials. Nature. 2006 Jul;442(7100):282-6.

[15] Stankovich S, Dikin DA, Piner RD, Kohlhaas KA, Kleinhammes A, Jia Y, et al. Synthesis of graphene-based nanosheets via chemical reduction of exfoliated graphite oxide. Carbon. 2007 Jun;45(7):1558-65.

[16] Chen H, Muller MB, Gilmore KJ, Wallace GG, Li D. Mechanically strong, electrically conductive, and biocompatible graphene paper. Adv Mater. 2008 Sep;20(18):3557-+.

[17] Gilje S, Han S, Wang M, Wang KL, Kaner RB. A chemical route to graphene for device applications. Nano Lett. 2007 Nov;7(11):3394-8.

[18] Gomez-Navarro C, Weitz RT, Bittner AM, Scolari M, Mews A, Burghard M, et al. Electronic transport properties of individual chemically reduced graphene oxide sheets. Nano Lett. 2007 Nov;7(11):3499-503.

[19] Park S, An JH, Jung IW, Piner RD, An SJ, Li XS, et al. Colloidal Suspensions of Highly Reduced Graphene Oxide in a Wide Variety of Organic Solvents. Nano Lett. 2009 Apr;9(4):1593-7.

[20] Stankovich S, Piner RD, Chen XQ, Wu NQ, Nguyen ST, Ruoff RS. Stable aqueous dispersions of graphitic nanoplatelets via the reduction of exfoliated graphite oxide in the presence of poly(sodium 4-styrenesulfonate). J Mater Chem. 2006;16(2):155-8.

[21] Lerf A, He HY, Forster M, Klinowski J. Structure of graphite oxide revisited. J Phys Chem B. 1998 Jun;102(23):4477-82.

[22] Niyogi S, Bekyarova E, Itkis ME, McWilliams JL, Hamon MA, Haddon RC. Solution properties of graphite and graphene. J Am Chem Soc. 2006 Jun;128(24):7720-1.

[23] Stankovich S, Piner RD, Nguyen ST, Ruoff RS. Synthesis and exfoliation of isocyanate-treated graphene oxide nanoplatelets. Carbon. 2006 Dec;44(15):3342-7.

[24] Kotov NA, Dekany I, Fendler JH. Ultrathin graphite oxide-polyelectrolyte composites prepared by self-assembly: Transition between conductive and non-conductive states. Adv Mater. 1996 Aug;8(8):637-&.

[25] Szabo T, Szeri A, Dekany I. Composite graphitic nanolayers prepared by self-assembly between finely dispersed graphite oxide and a cationic polymer. Carbon. 2005;43(1):87-94.

[26] Dekany I, Kruger-Grasser R, Weiss A. Selective liquid sorption properties of hydrophobized graphite oxide nanostructures. Colloid Polym Sci. 1998 Jul;276(7):570-6.

[27] Bourlinos AB, Gournis D, Petridis D, Szabo T, Szeri A, Dekany I. Graphite oxide: Chemical reduction to graphite and surface modification with primary aliphatic amines and amino acids. Langmuir. 2003 Jul;19(15):6050-5.

[28] Dikin DA, Stankovich S, Zimney EJ, Piner RD, Dommett GHB, Evmenenko G, et al. Preparation and characterization of graphene oxide paper. Nature. 2007 Jul;448(7152):457-60.

[29] Park S, Lee KS, Bozoklu G, Cai W, Nguyen ST, Ruoff RS. Graphene oxide papers modified by divalent ions - Enhancing mechanical properties via chemical cross-linking. ACS Nano. 2008 Mar;2(3):572-8.

[30] Park S, Dikin DA, Nguyen ST, Ruoff RS. Graphene Oxide Sheets Chemically Cross-Linked by Polyallylamine. J Phys Chem C. 2009 Sep;113(36):15801-4.

[31] Hummers WS, Offeman RE. Preparation of Graphitic Oxide. J Am Chem Soc. 1958;80(6):1339-

### List of Captions for Figures and Tables

Fig. 1. FT-IR spectra of crosslinked GO/PAH composites, GO and PAH

Fig. 2. D-TGA plot of unmodified graphene oxide GO/PAH and PAH

Fig. 3. . XRD of GO/PAH composite

Fig. 4. SEM images of crosslinked (*left*) and non-crosslinked (*right*) composites. The polymer is visible

at the surface of the crosslinked composites where it is washed away in the non-crosslinked one.

Fig. 5. Stress-strain curves of crosslinked and non-crosslinked GO/PAH composites and GO

Fig. 6. Stress-strain curves of slow-filtered GO and composites

**Table 1**. Summary of the mechanical properties of the composites

**Table 2.** Mechanical properties of slow filtered composites