# Ergometer training volume and previous injury predict back pain in rowing; strategies for injury prevention and rehabilitation

Fiona Wilson, <sup>1</sup> Conor Gissane, <sup>2</sup> Alison McGregor<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Discipline of Physiotherapy, School of Medicine, Trinity College Dublin, Ireland <sup>2</sup>School of Sport, Health and Applied Science, St Mary's University, Twickenham, Middlesex, London, UK <sup>3</sup>Department of Surgery and Cancer, Faculty of Medicine, Imperial College London Charing Cross Hospital, London, UK

### Correspondence to

Dr Fiona Wilson, Discipline of Physiotherapy, School of Medicine, Trinity College Dublin, Ireland; wilsonf@tcd.ie

Accepted 3 September 2014

### **ABSTRACT**

The most commonly reported injury site in rowers is the lower back. Research in recent years has focused on epidemiology and biomechanical analyses to try and understand mechanisms that contribute to this injury's onset. Injury surveillance mainly comprises retrospective questionnaires and reviews of medical records with a lack of prospective data. Of studies that reported 12month data, the incidence of low back pain ranged from 31.8 to 51% of the cohort. Of the limited studies that specifically examined low back pain in rowers, (1) history of lumbar spine injury and (2) volume of ergometer training were the most significant risk factors for injury onset. Studies of technique on the rowing ergometer have indicated the importance of lumbopelvic rotation during rowing. Greater pelvic rotation at either end of the stroke is ideal—as opposed to lumbar flexion and extension; this tends to be poorly demonstrated in novice rowers on ergometers. Furthermore, technique can deteriorate with the demands of rowing intensity and duration, which puts the rower returning from injury at additional risk.

Rowing has evolved in terms of technique, training and equipment, particularly in the latter half of the 20th century. Modern boats are light and streamlined with hydrodynamics that optimise the forces generated by the rower. Modern oars increase the force the rower is able to apply in the water by reducing slippage and giving better purchase. Rowing is a sport that uses levers, so rowers are tall, strong individuals with long limbs relative to trunk size. Their muscles are predominately slow twitch fibres and their physiology is suited to endurance tasks.

Rowers complete high volumes of training, particularly at international level. Rowing training combines land and water activities that vary throughout the year. Land training emphasises rowing ergometer work although there are differences in kinematics between water and ergometer rowing. Assessment in rowing is difficult and rowing ergometer performance is frequently used in team selection and performance monitoring. For this reason, it remains a crucial part of the rower's training programme.

The biomechanics of rowing are complex and many variables contribute to the speed of the boat. A number of body segments must work simultaneously. High forces are generated at several specific points of loading on the rower's body. Owing to the cyclical movement pattern and high volume of training, these forces are repeated hundreds of

times during a typical training session. This combination of high forces acting on the rower, large training volume and type of training places the rower at risk of injury. The aim of this review is to examine factors associated with the onset of low back pain in rowers.

### LOW BACK PAIN IN ROWING

The first stage in understanding an injury is a well-designed surveillance programme, using a prospective cohort method. The most commonly cited injury identified through rowing surveillance is to the lumbar spine. However, the aim of any injury surveillance programme is not just to identify injury rates but also to establish predictors of injury and a number of studies have attempted to do this in rowing.

### Studies of general injury profile in rowing

A search was carried out using a combination of the terms: rowing, injury, rowers. EMBASE, Pubmed, PEDro and AMED were searched. The final search was carried out in May 2014. No date or language limits were set. Two authors, (FW and AM) screened titles (initially) and abstracts and papers independently to decide inclusion. A third author (CG) was available where they may be disagreement. One hundred and sixty-four studies were accessed initially. Of these, the following were excluded: review papers/letters and editorials (38); studies of stress fractures only (26); studies that were not specific to rowing such as the use of rowing as rehabilitation in spinal cord injury (27); and studies of single injury in rowers (48). This left a small number of studies; of these, 11 were specifically studies of injury in rowing.<sup>2-12</sup> Five studies used a retrospective questionnaire of injury rate,<sup>2</sup> 4 6 12 and three studies a retrospective review of athlete attendances at a sports injury clinic.<sup>5</sup> 8-10 Furthermore, the population group was frequently limited to elite rowers, most of whom were international standard at a specific training camp or international competition.<sup>2 4 7-12</sup> These studies are summarised in table 1.

The varied methodologies of the studies make comparisons inappropriate. Of the 11 studies, only 3 collected data prospectively and of those, only 2 studies<sup>6</sup> <sup>11</sup> reported the injury incidence/1000 h at 1.5 and 3.67, respectively. Of the 10 studies<sup>2–12</sup> that reported location of injury, nine<sup>2–12</sup> cited the lumbar spine as the most commonly reported site in rowing, ranging from 2.4% (of all sports) to 51% of injuries.

**To cite:** Wilson F, Gissane C, McGregor A. *Br J Sports Med* Published Online First: [*please include* Day Month Year] doi:10.1136/ bjsports-2014-093968

 
 Table 1
 Summary of prospective and retrospective studies examining injury in rowers
 Training and Severity of Sample injury competition exposure Injury rate / **Injuries** Mechanism of Most common Factors associated Authors Type of study size **Participants** measured? measured? 1000 h classified? injury reported? injury site with injury onset Deveraux and Prospective survey of 1186 Recreational athletes No No Not Yes No Lumbar spine (2.4% Not measured Lachman<sup>5</sup> clinic attendance, 2 years of total) measured Budgett and Fuller<sup>2</sup> Retrospective survey of International male Yes Lumbar spine (51%) 69 Yes Training estimated 0.4 (rowing) Yes Weight training all injuries, 1 year. rowers 4 (land) Reid et al<sup>7</sup> 275 Yes Time of year and Retrospective survey of International female No No Not Lumbar spine (25%) Yes clinic attendance, 4 yrs weight training rowers measured Boland and Hosea<sup>3</sup> Retrospective survey of 180 College male rowers No Not Yes No Knee (25%) Not measured all injuries, 3 years. measured Edgar<sup>10</sup> No Lumbar spine (30% Retrospective survey of 44 International junior No No Not Yes Not reported clinic attendance, 5 of total) rowers measured weeks Coburn and Retrospective survey of 54 Elite rowers No No Yes Yes Lumbar spine (45% Weight training not measured Wajswelner<sup>8</sup> clinic attendance, 1 yr of total) Hickey et al9 Retrospective survey of 172 Elite rowers No No Not Yes Yes Chest (22.6%) Time of year clinic attendance, 10 yrs measured female, Lumbar spine (25%) male Parkkari *et al*6 Prospective survey of all 3363 General population Classed as 'participation 1.5, 95% CI Yes Yes Not reported Not reported Yes injuries, 1 year time' 0.6 to 3.9 Smoljanovic et al<sup>4</sup> Retrospective survey of 398 International iunior Yes Yes 2.1 (training) Yes Yes Lumbar spine More than 7 training all injuries, 1 year rowers (32.3%)sessions/week Wilson et al<sup>11</sup> Prospective study of all Ergometer training 20 International male Yes 3.67 Yes Lumbar spine Yes Yes (31.8% of total) injuries, 1 year and female rowers load. Winzen et al<sup>12</sup> Retrospective survey of 67 Elite male and No Yes Not Yes Yes Lumbar spine (50% Not reported all injuries, 1 year female rowers measured of interviewees) (reported as 'overuse')

While there is a need for additional, well-constructed, prospective injury surveillance in rowing, there is consensus that the most common injury is to the lumbar spine. Such data have more meaning when put in the context of reported incidence in the general population. Of the studies that reported 12-month incidence, <sup>1</sup> <sup>2</sup> <sup>4</sup> <sup>8</sup> <sup>12</sup> the incidence of low back pain ranged from 32 to 51% of the cohort. The most recent systematic review of 12 month incidence of low back pain in the general population ranged from 6.3 to 15.4% (first ever episode) to 1.5 to 36% for 'first ever or recurrent episode'. <sup>13</sup> So, the incidence of low back pain in rowers is likely to be higher than that of the general population, particularly when other risk factors for back pain, such as obesity and psychosocial factors, are taken into account.

# Studies specifically examining lumbar spine injury

Few studies <sup>14–19</sup> have specifically examined back pain in rowing. A common issue with all studies is the variation (or absence) of a clear definition of low back pain. Indeed this is observed in many other studies of low back pain, which is not surprising when it is considered that it is a symptom rather than a disease.

Bahr et  $at^{1.5}$  compared the prevalence of low back pain retrospectively in endurance athletes in several different sports. Over half (55%) of the rowers reported low back pain in the previous 12 months, which compared with 63% in skiing, 49.8% in orienteering and 47.5% in the control group. Of note, the rowers reported the most missed training sessions because of injury and the greatest number of injuries requiring hospitalisation compared to the other groups.

A survey carried out on a large cohort of intercollegiate rowers is limited in some aspects of methodology but permits some useful conclusions. Former intercollegiate rowers (N=1632) were surveyed to investigate training methods and back pain before and during college rowing. Thirty two percent of respondents reported back pain that developed during college rowing. Factors significantly associated with the development of back pain included age at the time of the survey; history of rowing before age 16; use of a hatchet oar; training with free weights, weight machines and ergometers; and ergometer training sessions lasting longer than 30 min.

Back pain was most likely to develop in the winter months (39% of cases) compared to spring (33%), autumn (25%) and summer (4%). This reflects previous research<sup>11</sup> and likely reflects the high volume of land training in winter. Many respondents associated the onset of back pain with a specific event; outdoor rowing was the most common at 72% of cases followed by weight lifting (50%) and ergometer training (29%). When training types were assessed, the only significant predictors of back pain for men were ergometer sessions that lasted longer than 30 min. Wilson et al<sup>11</sup> also found that time spent ergometer training was the most significant predictor of onset of low back pain. The 20-year period of this survey 16 is probably its greatest limitation and data is subject to recall bias. Also, the response rate of 35% of 4680 athletes originally surveyed introduces a further risk of bias. However, it did highlight an aspect of training (more than 30 min of ergometer training) that is a predictor for back pain.

The initial study was followed up to examine if rowers who developed back pain in college are more likely than the general population to have back pain later in life. Those who had developed back pain in college had more subsequent back pain later (78.9% vs 37.9%), although the lifetime prevalence of back pain in former college rowers was no different to the general population. A further survey on the same cohort noted that participants who had previous back pain before rowing

were more likely to develop back pain during their college-rowing career than participants without pre-existing pain (57.1% vs 36.6%).<sup>18</sup>

Ng et al<sup>19</sup> surveyed adolescent rowers and found a point prevalence of 64.5% (males) and 52.8% (females), compared to the mean point prevalence in the general population of 18.1%. In a self-report questionnaire, participants reported primary aggravating factors for low back pain as ergometer training, 'long row' sessions and sweep rowing (single oar rowing). This finding is in conflict with a previous study in adolescent rowers, 4 which found no significant association between mean length of ergometer training sessions and low back pain. It is notable that studies in adolescent populations found a higher incidence of low back pain in males compared to females, 4 19 with such gender differences not found in adult rowers. 16

Although all these surveys were retrospective, they are the first to establish a profile of lumbar spine injury in rowers. The studies suggest that a number of factors such as volume of ergometer training and a history of low back pain may be associated with the onset of low back injury and raise questions regarding injury predictors. Studies in the general population<sup>20</sup> and the sporting population<sup>21</sup> have also identified pre-existing back pain as one of the best predictors of future injury. There is an absence of investigation of other predictors in rowers; notably the influence of occupation. Many studies have examined international rowers, most of whom do not have another occupation. The influence of work-associated loading of the lumbar spine, combined with rowing stresses, merits research as this may identify modifiable factors, particularly in recreational rowers.

### Mechanisms that may underpin rowing-related back pain

Low back pain is clearly associated with rowing, but what are the mechanisms behind this association? Highly repetitive rowing actions require high levels of consistency, coherence, accuracy and continuity. Additionally, the rower has to draw on physical strength and endurance, and translate this through skill and coordination into performance. A number of factors are proposed to relate to causation. These include rowing technique, the associated training (such as weight-training) and the use of the rowing ergometer, as well as other issues such as changes in the design and shape of the rowing oar. To solve this conundrum relies on knowledge of how a rower's body moves during the rowing stroke. There is a growing body of work exploring how understanding rowing kinematics can help prevent injury and enhance performance.

Research has been laboratory based with a focus on rowing ergometer technique. This has the advantage of controlling for external influencing factors such as wind speed, water condition, etc, as well as allowing exploration of factors such as fatigue, but does not reflect 'on-water' technique. A number of studies<sup>25</sup> <sup>26</sup> <sup>28</sup> <sup>32</sup> highlighted the importance of rotation of the pelvis on the hips as well as the rotation of the spine (lumbopelvic motion), showing that to achieve the extreme positions of the rowing stroke necessitates good anterior rotation of the pelvis rather than extreme flexion of the lumbar spine (catch position) and posterior rotation of the pelvis as opposed to hyperextension of the lumbar spine (finish position). Rowing technique deteriorates during continuous rowing leading to increased lumbar flexion<sup>26</sup> and frontal plane motion,<sup>33</sup> which is attributed to fatigue; although this could be dependent on rowing ability and experience. There is also an indication that the ergometer exaggerates these changes in technique compared to 'on-water' rowing.<sup>29</sup>

Novice rowers use high levels of lumbar flexion with limited pelvic rotation, deteriorating further with higher work intensities.<sup>30</sup> While similar changes are seen in elite rowers, these are of a much lower magnitude.<sup>31</sup> What is particularly interesting in both groups of athletes is the drive to maintain power output at the cost of technique. This has implications with respect to injury, particularly in an athlete returning to competition following injury or a young athlete attempting to gain a place in a highly competitive team.

The relationship between poor technique and injury is as yet unclear, although a study in international junior rowers<sup>4</sup> showed that rowing experience (and thus enhanced skill) might reduce risk of injury in this group. Statistical modelling was able to use kinematic data to distinguish between rowers with and without a history of back pain.<sup>34</sup> Mathematical modelling of rowing has shown that lumbopelvic motion patterns do influence spinal loading, showing that poor lumbopelvic motion (an inability to rotate the pelvis and flex the lumbar spine in unity) is associated with higher loads and moments generation at L4/5 and L5/S1 (Buckeridge 2013—Imperial College London, PhD thesis). The challenges then remain as to how we can use this information to manage and prevent injury.

### TRANSLATING EVIDENCE INTO PRACTICE

While it is clear that there is a limited evidence base to draw from, there are consistent predictors of injury. History of back pain predicts injury and can be identified in preseason screening of rowers. This would be particularly pertinent in those choosing rowing as a recreational activity. In those who are actively involved in the sport, appropriate prehabilitation and attenuation of other predictors (see ergometer below) could be instigated.

Another predictor of injury<sup>11</sup> 16 is use of the rowing ergometer, particularly for prolonged sessions (more than 30 min). The increased risk may be explained by evidence from kinematic analysis, which has shown that technique deteriorates with extended periods of ergometer use and high-intensity rowing, with a subsequent detrimental impact on spinal loading. 26 30 31 33 Indeed in limited studies comparing lumbar motion on the water compared to an ergometer, <sup>29</sup> a different movement pattern was observed, which warrants further examination particularly in relation to its impact on spinal loading. Clearly, monitoring technique is important and the ability to perform this during water as well as ergometer sessions may prove to be invaluable to injury prevention and management. This may soon be possible with the current explosion in the development of wearable technologies and associated software. However, to date, none have undergone robust scientific evaluation.

Understanding kinematics and kinetics may aid understanding of injury onset. Changing how people move is difficult in any environment and there is a need to consider how to use research findings to steer training programmes. Clear messages regarding technique that focuses on body posture as well as force output needs to be conveyed. It is also clear that the main emphasis of research has been on lumbopelvic kinematics while there is a paucity of analysis of the mechanics of the lower limb and hip as well as analysis of muscle activity. It is likely that factors such as hip, knee and ankle joint function will influence loading at the lumbar spine, and a better understanding of this is required.

### **SUMMARY**

Low back pain is the most commonly reported rowing injury. Ergometer training and history of injury are its strongest risk factors. Biomechanical analysis has shown that correct rowing technique can have a strong influence on the loads placed on the spine and, as such, appropriate coaching and training in correct technique will have a role in reducing injury. Addressing modifiable factors such as training components (ergometer work and prolonged sessions) can reduce risk of injury. Screening of rowers should investigate previous injury and assess parameters that influence poor lumbopelvic technique, including hip flexor and hamstring flexibility and the function of muscles around the lumbopelvic region.

There is a need for further epidemiological research, with a particular emphasis on non-elite rowers. Future biomechanical analysis should address non-laboratory (water based) activity and whole body movement to enhance understanding of how forces act on the lumbar spine in a boat and explore how best to teach novice rowers correct technique.

## What this paper adds

- ► Factors associated with onset of low back pain in rowers are history of injury and ergometer training volume (sessions longer than 30 min).
- ► Lumbopelvic motion should be considered when analysing trunk movement in rowing. Excessive use of lumbar flexion and extension without accompanying pelvic tilting may lead to increased lumbar spine loading.
- ▶ In rowing training and rehabilitation there is a need to consider endurance of the trunk muscles to facilitate good lumbopelvic rhythm. Factors such as fatigue, rowing intensity and skill level will also influence trunk control.

**Contributors** FW provided epidemiology, AM biomechanics, CG, FW and AM were involved discussion, and CG edited the manuscript.

Competing interests None.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

### **REFERENCES**

- 1 Van Mechelen W. Sports injury surveillance systems—'One size fits all?'. Sports Med 1997;24:64–168.
- Budgett R, Fuller G. Illness and injury in international oarsmen. Clin J Sport Med 1989;1:57–61.
- Boland A, Hosea T. Rowing and sculling and the older athlete. Clin Sports Med 1991;10:245–56.
- 4 Smoljanovic T, Bojanic I, Hannafin J, et al. Traumatic and overuse injuries among international elite junior rowers. Am J Sports Med 2009;37:1193–9.
- 5 Deveraux M, Lachman S. Athletes attending a sports injury clinic, a review. Br J Sports Med 1983;17:137–42.
- Parkkari J, Kannus P, Natri A, et al. Active living and injury risk. Int J Sports Med 2005;25:209–16.
   Reid R, Fricker P, Kesterman O, et al. A profile of female rowers' injuries and
- illnesses at the Australian Institute of Sport. *Excel* 1989;5:17–20.

  8 Coburn P, Wajswelner H. A survey of 54 consecutive rowing injuries. *National*
- Annual Scientific Conference in Sports Medicine. Melbourne, 1993.

  9 Hickey G, Fricker P, McDonald W. Injuries to elite rowers over a 10-yr period. Med
- Sci Sports Exerc 1997;29:1567–72.

  10 Edgar M. 1992 world junior rowing championships, Montreal Canada.
- Physiotherapy report. Physiother Sport 1993;16.
- Wilson F, Gissane C, Gormley J, et al. A 12-month prospective study of injury in international rowers. Br J Sports Med 2010;44:207–14.
- Winzen M, Voigt HF, Hinrichs T, et al. Injuries of the musculoskeletal system in German elite rowers. Sportverletz-Sportschaden 2011;25:153–8.
- Hoy D, Brooks P, Blyth F, et al. The epidemiology of low back pain. Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol 2010;24:769–81.
- 14 Howell D. Musculoskeletal profile and incidence of musculoskeletal injuries in lightweight women. Am J Sports Med 1984;12:278–81.

- Bahr R, Andersen S, Loken S, et al. Low back pain among endurance athletes with and without specific back loading—a cross-sectional survey of cross-country skiers, rowers. orienteerers. and nonathletic controls. Spine 2004;29:449–54.
- Teitz C, O'Kane J, Lind B, et al. Back pain in intercollegiate rowers. Am J Sports Med 2002;30:674–9.
- 17 Teitz C, O'Kane J, Lind B. Back pain in former intercollegiate rowers—a long-term follow-up study. Am J Sports Med 2003;31:590–5.
- 18 O'Kane J, Teitz C, Lind B. Effect of preexisting back pain on the incidence and severity of back pain in intercollegiate rowers. Am J Sports Med 2003;31:80–2.
- 19 Ng L, Perich D, Burnett A, et al. Self reported prevalence, pain intensity and risk factors for low back pain in adolescent rowers. J Sci Med Sport 2014;17:266–70.
- 20 Taylor J, Goode A, George S, et al. Incidence and risk factors for first-time incidence low back pain: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Spine J 2014. doi.org/10. 1016/j.spinee.2014.01.026
- 21 Green H, Cholewicki J, Galloway M, et al. A history of low back injury is a risk factor for recurrent back injuries in varsity athletes. Am J Sports Med 2001;29:795–800.
- 22 Christiansen E, Kanstrup I. Increased risk of stress fractures of the ribs in elite rowers. Scand J Med Sci Sports 1997;7:49–52.
- 23 Hosea T, Hannafin J. Rowing injuries. Sports Health 2012;4:236–45.
- 24 Stallard M. Backache in oarsmen. Br J Sports Med 1980;14:105-8.
- 25 Bull A, McGregor A. Measuring spinal motion in rowers: the use of an electromagnetic device. Clin Biomech 2000;15:72–6.

- Holt P, Bull A, Cashman P, et al. Kinematics of spinal motion during prolonged rowing. Int J Sports Med 2003;24:597–602.
- 27 Murphy A, Chee S, Bull A, et al. The calibration and application of force measuring apparatus on the seat of a rowing ergometer. J Sports Eng Technol 2010;224:109–16.
- 28 Buckeridge E, Hislop S, Bull A, et al. Kinematic asymmetries of the lower limbs during ergometer rowing. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2012;44:2147–53.
- 29 Wilson F, Gissane C, Gormley J, et al. Sagittal plane motion of the lumbar spine during ergometer and single scull rowing. Sports Biomech 2013;12:132–42.
- 30 McGregor A, Bull A, Byng-Maddick R. A comparison of rowing technique at different stroke rates: a description of sequencing, force production and kinematics. *Int J Sports Med* 2004;25:465–70.
- 31 McGregor A, Patankar Z, Bull A. Spinal kinematics in elite oarswomen during a routine physiological "step test". Med Sci Sports Exerc 2005;37:1014–20.
- 32 McGregor A, Anderton L, Gedroyc W. The assessment of intersegmental motion and pelvic tilt in elite oarsmen. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2002;34:1143–9.
- 33 Wilson F, Gormley J, Gissane C, *et al*. The effect of rowing to exhaustion on frontal plane angular changes in the lumbar spine of elite rowers. *J Sports Sci* 2012:30:481–9
- 34 O'Sullivan F, O'Sullivan J, Bull A, et al. Modelling multivariate biomechanical measurements of the spine during a rowing exercise. Clin Biomech 2003:18:488–93.



# Ergometer training volume and previous injury predict back pain in rowing; strategies for injury prevention and rehabilitation

Fiona Wilson, Conor Gissane and Alison McGregor

Br J Sports Med published online September 25, 2014

Updated information and services can be found at:

http://bjsm.bmj.com/content/early/2014/09/25/bjsports-2014-093968

These include:

**References** This article cites 31 articles, 9 of which you can access for free at:

http://bjsm.bmj.com/content/early/2014/09/25/bjsports-2014-093968

#BIBL

Email alerting service Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up in the

box at the top right corner of the online article.

Topic Collections

Articles on similar topics can be found in the following collections

BJSM Reviews with MCQs (162) Epidemiology (157) Health education (460) Injury (928)

### **Notes**

To request permissions go to: http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions

To order reprints go to: http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform

To subscribe to BMJ go to: http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/