Eutrophication weakens stabilizing effects of diversity in natural grasslands

² Yann Hautier^{1,2*}, Eric W. Seabloom¹, Elizabeth T. Borer¹, Peter Adler³, W. Stanley Harpole⁴, 3 Helmut Hillebrand⁵, Eric M. Lind¹, Andrew S. MacDougall⁶, Carly Stevens⁷, Jonathan D. 4 Bakker⁸, Yvonne Buckley^{9,10}, Chengjin Chu¹¹, Scott L. Collins¹², Pedro Daleo¹³, Ellen I. 5 Damschen¹⁴, Kendi Davies¹⁵, Philip A. Fay¹⁶, Jennifer Firn¹⁷, Daniel S. Gruner¹⁸, Virginia L. 6 Jin¹⁹, Julia A. Klein²⁰, Johannes M. H. Knops²¹, Kimberly J. La Pierre²², Wei Li²³, Rebecca L. 7 McCulley²⁴, Brett Melbourne¹⁴, Joslin L. Moore²⁵, Lydia R. O'Halloran²⁶, Suzanne M. Prober²⁷, 8 Anita C. Risch²⁸, Mahesh Sankaran^{29,30}, Martin Schuetz²⁸, Andy Hector³¹ 9 10 ¹ Department of Ecology, Evolution, and Behavior, University of Minnesota, St. Paul, MN, USA. 11 ² Institute of Evolutionary Biology and Environmental Studies, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland. 12 ³ Department of Wildland Resources and the Ecology Center, Utah State University, Logan, UT, USA. 13 ⁴ Department of Ecology, Evolution, and Organismal Biology, Iowa State University, Ames, IA, USA. 14 ⁵ Institute for Chemistry and Biology of the Marine Environment, Carl-von- Ossietzky University Oldenburg, 15 Germany. 16 ⁶ Department of Integrative Biology, University of Guelph, Guelph, Ontario, Canada. 17 ⁷ Lancaster Environment Center, Lancaster University, Lancaster, UK. 18 ⁸ School of Environmental and Forest Sciences, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA. 19 ⁹ ARC Centre of Excellence for Environmental Decisions, School of Biological Sciences, The University of 20 21 Queensland, Queensland, Australia. ¹⁰ School of Natural Sciences, Department of Zoology, Trinity College Dublin, Dublin 2, Ireland 22 23 ¹¹ State Key Laboratory of Grassland and Agro-Ecosystems, Research Station of Alpine Meadow and Wetland Ecosystems, School of Life Sciences, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou, China. 24 ¹² Biology, MSC03-2020, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, NM 87131, USA. 25 ¹³ Instituto de Investigaciones Marinas y Costeras (IIMyC), Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y 26 27 Técnicas (CONICET), Argentina. ¹⁴ Department of Zoology, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI, USA. 28 ¹⁵ Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Colorado, Boulder CO, USA. 29 ¹⁶ USDA-ARS Grassland Soil and Water Research Lab, Temple, TX, USA. 30 ¹⁷ Queensland University of Technology, School of Biological Sciences, Brisbane, Australia. 31 ¹⁸ Department of Entomology, University of Maryland, College Park MD, USA. 32 ¹⁹ USDA-ARS Agroecosystem Management Research Unit, Lincoln, NE, USA. 33 ²⁰ Dept. Forest, Rangeland & Watershed Stewardship, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO, USA. 34 ²¹ School of Biological Sciences, University of Nebraska, Lincoln, NE, USA. 35 ²² Department of Integrative Biology, University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA. 36 37 ²³ Yunnan Academy of Biodiversity, Southwest Forestry University, Kunming, China. 38 ²⁴ Department of Plant & Soil Sciences, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY, USA. ²⁵ Australian Research Centre for Urban Ecology, Melbourne, c/o School of Botany, University of Melbourne, 39 40 Victoria, Australia. ²⁶ Department of Zoology, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR 97331, USA. 41 ²⁷ CSIRO Ecosystem Sciences, Wembley WA, Australia. 42 ²⁸ Swiss Federal Institute for Forest, Snow and Landscape Research, Birmensdorf, Switzerland. 43 ²⁹ School of Biology, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK. 44 ³⁰ National Centre for Biological Sciences, GKVK Campus, Bangalore, India. 45 ³¹ Department of Plant Sciences, University of Oxford, UK. 46 47 ^{*}To whom correspondence should be addressed. Email: hauti001@umn.edu 48

Studies of experimental grassland communities¹⁻⁷ have demonstrated that plant diversity 49 can stabilize productivity through species asynchrony, where decreases in the biomass of 50 some species are compensated by increases in others^{1,2}. However, it remains unknown 51 whether these findings are relevant to natural ecosystems, especially those where species 52 diversity is threatened by anthropogenic global change⁸⁻¹¹. Here we analyze diversity-53 stability relationships from 41 grasslands on five continents and ask how these 54 relationships are affected by chronic fertilization, one of the strongest drivers of species loss 55 globally⁸. Unmanipulated communities with more species had greater species asynchrony 56 resulting in more stable biomass production, generalizing a result from biodiversity 57 experiments to real-world grasslands. However, fertilization weakened the positive effect of 58 diversity on stability. Counter to expectations, this was not due to species loss following 59 eutrophication but rather to an increase in the temporal variation of productivity in 60 combination with a decrease in species asynchrony in diverse communities. Our results 61 demonstrate separate and synergistic effects of diversity and eutrophication on stability, 62 emphasizing the need to understand how global change drivers interactively affect the 63 reliable provisioning of ecosystem services in real-world systems. 64

65

One Sentence Summary: Experimental eutrophication weakens stabilizing effects of plant
 diversity on the productivity of natural grasslands.

68

Rapid declines in plant diversity have prompted concern over its consequences for the stability of
ecosystem functioning and the reliable provisioning of ecological services^{7,12,13}. The first
attempts to address this concern used observational studies of natural variation in diversity^{14,15}

and were limited in their ability to separate effects of diversity from other confounding factors¹⁶. 72 In response, more recent studies have directly manipulated diversity using experimentally 73 established communities (i.e. biodiversity experiments) to assess its impact on ecosystem 74 functioning, particularly aboveground net primary production (ANPP)¹⁻⁷. Numerous biodiversity 75 experiments have demonstrated that greater species diversity promotes greater stability of 76 productivity through time^{2,3,6} with asynchronous response of species to environmental 77 fluctuations as an important underlying mechanism^{1,2}. There would be no stabilizing effect if 78 species fluctuated in perfect synchrony. However, asynchrony in species response to 79 environmental fluctuations causes declines in the biomass of some species to be compensated by 80 increases in others, thus buffering temporal fluctuation in the productivity of the whole 81 community. Species asynchrony can increase the stability of aggregate functions in species-rich 82 communities because compensatory effects are more likely to occur when the species pool is 83 larger and more diverse¹⁷⁻¹⁹. 84

85

Biodiversity experiments, in turn, have their own limitations and their relevance to natural grassland ecosystems is debated^{11,12,16}. For example, experimental gradients of diversity are usually assembled randomly from a local species pool, whereas in natural systems composition and diversity are influenced by a variety of factors including nutrient availability, climatic conditions and anthropogenic land-use⁹⁻¹¹. It is also likely that diversity may not be the only, or even the primary, driver of the stability of ANPP^{20,21} but few experiments have addressed changes in both biodiversity and other aspects of global change simultaneously.

93

94 In natural grasslands, the situation is likely to be complex since anthropogenic impacts like climate change and eutrophication are likely to change diversity - with potential consequences 95 for stability - as well as having their own direct effects on stability^{4,13,22,23}. In particular, 96 anthropogenic increases in nutrient inputs into grasslands (via direct organic and inorganic 97 fertilization and atmospheric deposition) affect the structure and functioning of natural 98 ecosystems worldwide^{8,24,25}. For instance, nutrient enrichment usually increases productivity and 99 reduces plant diversity^{24,25}. However, the effect of eutrophication on the stability of productivity 100 in natural grasslands remains unclear. Based on theory and results limited to single-site 101 experiments^{22,23}, we expect eutrophication to reduce the stability of productivity since the well-102 known negative effects of nutrient enrichment on diversity^{24,25} could in turn reduce species 103 asynchrony and stability^{1,6,14,22,26}. However, eutrophication may have additional impacts on 104 stability independent of any changes in diversity. The temporal stability of ANPP is the ratio of 105 the temporal mean to the temporal standard deviation; hence an increase in stability can result 106 from an increase in the mean, a decrease in the standard deviation, or both. Since eutrophication 107 is expected to increase productivity it may have a stabilizing effect by increasing the temporal 108 mean. However, there is also the potential for effects of eutrophication on stability via changes in 109 the temporal standard deviation but these are less well understood. We therefore require a better 110 picture of how global change drivers affect ecosystem stability both via changes in diversity and 111 via other routes. Here, we compare the relationship between diversity and stability found in 112 grassland biodiversity experiments with those in fertilized and unfertilized plots in natural 113 grasslands. We also assess the effects of eutrophication on the diversity-stability relationship 114 both through changes in diversity and via other routes. 115

116

117 We evaluated the relationships between species diversity, species asynchrony and stability of ANPP across 41 naturally-assembled grassland ecosystems on five continents (Extended Data 118 Fig. 1, Extended Data Table 1) using data from the Nutrient Network (NutNet, nutnet.org) 119 collaborative experiment^{27,28}. We used standardized methods to assess plant diversity and ANPP 120 at each site in both unmanipulated controls and experimentally fertilized plots in a well-121 replicated design. We quantified diversity as the average plant species richness in standard 1 m² 122 plots over a three-year period. Stability can take a variety of meanings in the ecological 123 literature^{29,30}; here we focus on temporal stability of community-level, aboveground live plant 124 biomass from all species in a plot (a measure of ANPP) over three years. We define temporal 125 stability for each plot as the temporal mean of ANPP divided by its temporal variability – that is 126 the temporal standard deviation over a common time period (see Methods). 127

128

Stability of ANPP was positively associated with plant diversity in the unmanipulated 129 communities (Fig. 1a). Using a hierarchical sampling design and statistical model we found that 130 stability increased with diversity consistently within and among sites resulting in parallel 131 relationships (colored and black lines respectively in Fig. 1a). The consistent relationship 132 between diversity and stability is concordant with experimental results obtained in grasslands 133 across $Europe^{1}$ and experiments and observations at single locations^{2,3,6,21,26}. We used multiple 134 regression to evaluate the influence of plant diversity and key biotic and abiotic factors, on 135 stability in our 41 grasslands. Stability was still associated with diversity after using covariates to 136 control for differences in average site productivity and climatic conditions including annual 137 trends, seasonality and extreme or limiting environmental factors (Extended Data Table 1 and 2). 138

Together these results demonstrate that temporal stability of ANPP was positively related to
variation in plant diversity in our 41 naturally-assembled grassland ecosystems.

141

We determined the role of species asynchrony as a mechanism promoting stability by using a 142 community-wide measure that allows direct comparison among communities with different 143 species numbers¹⁷⁻¹⁹. Because the biomass of individual plant species was available at few sites, 144 we used estimates based on our three-year record of the percent cover of individual plant species 145 in each plot (see Methods). Our analysis of potential stability mechanisms showed that species 146 asynchrony was positively related to plant diversity (Fig. 1b) and stability (Fig. 2a), consistent 147 with theory on the stabilizing effects of species asynchrony in species-rich communities. Greater 148 stability at higher diversity can also result from an increase in the temporal mean of ANPP with 149 150 diversity (a 'performance-enhancing effect' that results in a higher ratio of the temporal mean relative to the temporal variation)^{1,17}. Consistent with earlier NutNet analyses²⁷, we found that 151 the temporal mean of ANPP was not related to plant diversity (Fig. 3a). Although it is an indirect 152 test, our result provides no support for a performance-enhancing effect in stabilizing higher 153 diversity communities in our study. Instead, we found stronger support for a decrease in temporal 154 variation of ANPP (measured by the standard deviation) with diversity (Fig. 3a). In other words 155 greater stability at higher diversity resulted because diversity decreased the temporal variation of 156 ANPP relative to its mean resulting in a more stable mean-variance ratio. 157

158

159 To compare our results from naturally-assembled grasslands to results from artificially-

assembled biodiversity experiments, we calculated values of species asynchrony from the

161 BIODEPTH experiment¹. BIODEPTH is a pan-European network of grassland biodiversity

162 experiments conducted at eight field sites with a comparable hierarchical design, plot size and measurements (see Methods). Our results are comparable to BIODEPTH because both studies 163 use the same three-year experimental duration and cover a similar range of diversity levels 164 (although by design, biodiversity experiments feature many more low diversity communities 165 than observational surveys). We found that the sign and slope of the overall relationships 166 between diversity and stability and between diversity and asynchrony from our global multisite 167 study were comparable to those from the BIODEPTH network of grassland biodiversity 168 experiments (compare the solid and dashed lines in Fig 1e and 1f). 169

170

We tested the impact of eutrophication on temporal stability and species asynchrony by using 171 data from NutNet plots that were fertilized for three years with a combination of nitrogen 172 173 phosphorus, potassium and micronutrients (see Methods). Fertilization weakened the positive effect of diversity on stability and species asynchrony (compare the solid and dashed lines in Fig. 174 1c and d). We expected this result based on theory since nutrient enrichment often reduces 175 diversity^{24,25}, which could in turn reduce species asynchrony and stability^{1,6,14,22,26}. However, 176 while fertilization reduced diversity by an average of 1.3 species [95% CI = 0.7 - 1.9] per site 177 (corresponding to a reduction of diversity from 2.0% to 16.9% relative to average levels in the 178 control plots ranging from 4.4 to 32.3 species/m² (Extended Data Table 1)), counter to 179 expectations this loss of diversity did not lead to a reduction of stability through a decrease in 180 species asynchrony (Extended Data Fig. 2). Instead, the reduced slope of the diversity-stability 181 relationship in the fertilized communities (Fig. 1c) can be explained by a combination of two 182 factors. First, fertilization increased the temporal variation of ANPP in diverse communities 183 184 compared to unmanipulated communities (compare the dashed lines in Fig. 3a and b). Because

185 fertilization generally increased mean productivity compared to unmanipulated communities (compare the solid lines in Fig. 3a and b), this increased variation weakened the positive effect of 186 diversity on stability compared to unmanipulated communities. Second, fertilization resulted in a 187 decrease in species asynchrony in diverse communities compared to unmanipulated communities 188 (compare the dashed and solid lines in Fig 1d). Because fertilization did not alter the positive 189 relationship between species asynchrony and stability (Fig. 2b), this decrease in species 190 asynchrony resulted in decreased stability in diverse communities compared to unmanipulated 191 communities. In total, the results of our fertilization experiment did not show the expected 192 destabilizing effects of diversity loss. Instead, eutrophication affected stability directly via a 193 combination of diversity-dependent effects on species asynchrony and on the temporal variation 194 of productivity. These direct effects of eutrophication on the diversity-stability relationship could 195 196 not have been anticipated from studies of natural or experimental diversity gradients.

197

In conclusion, the results of our observational study of naturally-assembled grassland 198 communities are consistent with a stabilizing effect of asynchronous species responses to 199 environmental fluctuations in more diverse plant communities – a result previously restricted to 200 biodiversity experiments¹ and observational studies at single locations^{21,26}. However, the global 201 change drivers causing loss of diversity may have additional effects on stability. The results of 202 our fertilization experiment demonstrate impacts on stability that were not caused by changes in 203 204 diversity but came about through effects of eutrophication on both the temporal variation in production and on species asynchrony. However, while the effects of fertilization on stability 205 were not caused by species loss, the changes in species asynchrony and temporal variation that 206 207 were responsible were both affected by levels of community diversity. Predicting the effects of

global change drivers therefore requires a better understanding of their direct effects on
ecosystem stability as well as their indirect effects through changes in diversity. Our results point
out that while eutrophication is intended to increase average levels of productivity it can also
impact its temporal stability. Therefore, sustainable management of grassland ecosystems
requires a better understanding of the complex inter-relationships between diversity, productivity
and stability and how they are impacted by fertilization.

214

215 Methods summary

216

The 41 sites are part of the Nutrient Network Global Research Cooperative²⁸ (Extended Data Fig. 1, Extended Data Table 1, http://nutnet.org/). Experimental plots included untreated controls and plots with nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium and micronutrients added in combination (NPK). The analyses presented here include all sites with the first three years of post-treatment community-level ANPP (g m⁻² yr⁻¹) and species-level ANPP estimates based on percent cover (see Methods).

We also examined data from BIODEPTH, a consortium of coordinated biodiversity experiments that manipulated plant diversity at eight European grassland sites¹. Here, we analyze community and species-level ANPP for the three main years of this project⁸.

Ecosystem temporal stability was defined for each plot as μ/σ where μ is the temporal mean of ecosystem-level ANPP and σ its temporal standard deviation over the three year period. Species asynchrony was measured for each plot as $1 - \varphi_b$ where φ_b is species synchrony and calculated as follows: $1 - \varphi_b = 1 - \frac{\sigma^2}{(\sum_{i=1}^{S} \sigma_i)^2}$, where σ_i is the temporal standard deviation of species *i* in a plot with *S* species over the three years¹⁸. Thus, stability and species asynchrony are related such that

231	higher levels of species asynchrony are associated with greater stability of the community as a	
232	whole ¹⁴ .	

We modeled relationships with linear mixed-effects models using the lme function from the

234	nlme library in R 2.15.1. To improve normality, ecosystem temporal stability and community-
235	wide species asynchrony were log transformed before analyses. Sites and blocks nested within
236	sites for the NutNet data and sites and species composition nested within sites for BIODEPTH
237	were treated as random effects, allowing both the intercepts and slopes of the regression vs.
238	diversity to vary among sites if supported by model selection.
239	
240	Full Methods and any associated references are available in the online version of the paper at
241	www.nature.com/nature.
242	
243	References and notes

244	1	Hector, A. et al. General stabilizing effects of plant diversity on grassland productivity through
245		population asynchrony and overyielding. <i>Ecology</i> 91 , 2213-2220, (2010).
246	2	Isbell, F. I., Polley, H. W. & Wilsey, B. J. Biodiversity, productivity and the temporal stability of
247		productivity: patterns and processes. Ecol. Lett. 12, 443-451, (2009).
248	3	Tilman, D., Reich, P. B. & Knops, J. M. H. Biodiversity and ecosystem stability in a decade-long
249		grassland experiment. Nature 441, 629-632, (2006).
250	4	Reich, P. B. Elevated CO2 Reduces Losses of Plant Diversity Caused by Nitrogen Deposition.
251		Science 326 , 1399-1402, (2009).
252	5	Naeem, S., Thompson, L. J., Lawler, S. P., Lawton, J. H. & Woodfin, R. M. Declining biodiversity
253		can alter the performance of ecosystems. <i>Nature 368,</i> 734-737, (1994).

- Bezemer, T. M. & van der Putten, W. H. Ecology Diversity and stability in plant communities. *Nature* 446, E6-E7, (2007).
- 256 7 Cardinale, B. J. Biodiversity loss and its impact on humanity. *Nature* **489**, 326-326, (2012).
- 257 8 Vitousek, P. M., Mooney, H. A., Lubchenco, J. & Melillo, J. M. Human domination of Earth's
- ecosystems. *Science* **277**, 494-499, (1997).
- 259 9 Selmants, P. C., Zavaleta, E. S., Pasari, J. R. & Hernandez, D. L. Realistic plant species losses

reduce invasion resistance in a California serpentine grassland. J. Ecol. 100, 723-731, (2012).

- 261 10 Zavaleta, E. S. & Hulvey, K. B. Realistic species losses disproportionately reduce grassland
- resistance to biological invaders. *Science* **306**, 1175-1177, (2004).
- 263 11 Srivastava, D. S. & Vellend, M. Biodiversity-ecosystem function research: Is it relevant to
- 264 conservation? *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution and Systematics* **36**, 267-294, (2005).
- Loreau, M. *et al.* Ecology Biodiversity and ecosystem functioning: Current knowledge and
 future challenges. *Science* 294, 804-808, (2001).
- 13 Ives, A. R. & Carpenter, S. R. Stability and diversity of ecosystems. *Science* **317**, 58-62, (2007).
- Tilman, D. & Downing, J. A. Biodiversity and stability in grasslands. *Nature* **367**, 165-175, (1994).
- 269 15 McNaughton, S. J. Stability and diversity of ecological communities. *Nature* **274**, 251-253,
- 270 (1978).

260

- Huston, M. A. Hidden treatments in ecological experiments: Re-evaluating the ecosystem
 function of biodiversity. *Oecologia* **110**, 449-460, (1997).
- 273 17 Yachi, S. & Loreau, M. Biodiversity and ecosystem productivity in a fluctuating environment: The
- 274 insurance hypothesis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **96**, 1463-1468, (1999).
- 275 18 Loreau, M. & de Mazancourt, C. Species synchrony and its drivers: Neutral and nonneutral
- community dynamics in fluctuating environments. *American Naturalist* **172**, E48-E66, (2008).

- 277 19 Loreau, M. From Populations to Ecosystems: Theoretical Foundations for a New Ecological
 278 Synthesis. (Princeton University Press, 2010).
- 279 20 Sankaran, M. & McNaughton, S. J. Determinants of biodiversity regulate compositional stability
 280 of communities. *Nature* 401, 691 693, (1999).
- 281 21 Bai, Y., Han, X., Wu, J., Chen, Z. & Li, L. Ecosystem stability and compenatory effects in the inner
 282 Mongolia grassland. *Nature* 431, 181-184, (2004).
- 283 22 Yang, Z. L., van Ruijven, J. & Du, G. Z. The effects of long-term fertilization on the temporal
- stability of alpine meadow communities. *Plant and Soil* **345**, 315-324, (2011).
- 285 23 Yang, H. J. *et al.* Diversity-dependent stability under mowing and nutrient addition: evidence
- from a 7-year grassland experiment. *Ecol. Lett.* **15**, 619-626, (2012).
- 287 24 Stevens, C. J., Dise, N. B., Mountford, J. O. & Gowing, D. J. Impact of nitrogen deposition on the
 288 species richness of grasslands. *Science* **303**, 1876-1879, (2004).
- 289 25 Hautier, Y., Niklaus, P. A. & Hector, A. Competition for Light Causes Plant Biodiversity Loss After
 290 Eutrophication. *Science* 324, 636-638, (2009).
- 291 26 Tilman, D. Biodiversity: population versus ecosystem stability. *Ecology* **77**, 350-353, (1996).
- 292 27 Adler, P. B. et al. Productivity Is a Poor Predictor of Plant Species Richness. Science 333, 1750-
- 293 1753, (2011).
- 28 Borer, E. T. *et al.* Finding generality in ecology: a model for globally distributed experiments.
 295 *Methods Ecol. Evol.*, (2013).
- 296 29 May, R. M. *Stability and complexity in model ecosystems*. (Princeton University Press, 1973).
- 297 30 Pimm, S. L. The complexity and stability of ecosystems. *Nature* **307**, 669-674, (1984).

Supplementary Data Information is linked to the online version of the paper at
www.nature.com/nature.

302	Acknowledgments The research leading to these results has received funding from the European
303	Union Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) under grant agreement n° 298935 to Y.
304	Hautier (with A. Hector and E. Seabloom). This work was generated using data from the
305	Nutrient Network (http://nutnet.org) experiment, funded at the site-scale by individual
306	researchers. Coordination and data management have been supported by funding to E. Borer and
307	E. Seabloom from the National Science Foundation Research Coordination Network (NSF-DEB-
308	1042132), the Long Term Ecological Research program (NSF-DEB-1234162 to Cedar Creek as
309	well as other LTER sites), and the Institute on the Environment at the University of Minnesota
310	(DG-0001-13). We also thank the Minnesota Supercomputer Institute for hosting project data
311	and the Institute on the Environment for hosting Network meetings. We are grateful to Rita S. L.
312	Veiga, Forest Isbell, Raphael K. Didham, Heather McGinness and Michael O'Brien for
313	suggestions that improved the manuscript.
314	
315	Author contributions E.S., E.T.B., W.S.H. and E.L. are Nutrient Network coordinators. Y.H.
316	and A.H. developed and framed research questions. Y.H., E.S., E.T.B., P.A., W.S.H., H.H.,
317	A.S.MD., C.S., J.D.B., Y.B., C.C., S.L.C., E.I.D., K.D., P.A.F., J.F., D.S.G., V.L.J., J.A.K.,
318	J.M.H.K., K.J.L., W.L., R.L.MC., B.M., J.L.M., S.M.P., A.C.R., M.S., M.S. and A.H. collected
319	the data being used in this analysis. Y.H. and A.H. analyzed the data. E.S., E.T.B., H.H., E.L.,
320	P.D., K.J.L., J.L.M., L.R.O., and M.S. contributed to data analyses. Y.H. and A.H. wrote the
321	paper with input from all authors.
322	

- 323 Author information Reprints and permissions information is available at
- 324 www.nature.com/reprints. The authors declare no competing financial interests. Readers are
- welcome to comment on the online version of this article at www.nature.com/nature.
- 326 Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Y.H. (hauti001@umn.edu).

328	Fig. 1. Relationships of temporal stability of ANPP (upper row) and species asynchrony
329	(lower row) with species diversity in the unmanipulated (a-b) and fertilized communities (c-
330	d) of the Nutrient Network, and the BIODEPTH network of grassland biodiversity
331	experiments (e-f). Relationships of temporal stability of ANPP (temporal mean/temporal
332	standard deviation; natural log transformed for analysis) of 41 grassland sites of the Nutrient
333	Network were positive in the unmanipulated communities (a-b) (slopes and 95% CIs = 0.028
334	[0.006 - 0.050] and $0.060 [0.023 - 0.097]$), but not detectible in the fertilized communities (c-d)
335	(-0.001 [-0.025 – 0.022] and 0.008 [-0.031 – 0.047]). Relationships in the BIODEPTH network
336	were positive (e-f) (0.018 [0.003 – 0.039] and 0.073 [0.053 – 0.093]). Species asynchrony varies
337	from zero (perfect synchrony) to one (perfect asynchrony). Species richness values for the
338	Nutrient Network are average values over the three years of post-treatment data. Points are
339	values for individual plots (n=117 for Nutrient Network, n=480 for BIODEPTH). Black lines are
340	the back-transformed fixed-effect linear regression slopes among sites from the mixed-effects
341	model, while colored lines show patterns within sites. Dashed lines report regression slopes
342	among sites in the unmanipulated communities of the Nutrient Network. Colours correspond to
343	the "Colour code" column in Extended Data Table 1.

Fig. 2. Relationships of temporal stability of ANPP (natural log transformed) and species 345 asynchrony in the unmanipulated (a) and fertilized communities of 41 grassland sites of the 346 Nutrient Network (b). The temporal stability was greatest in plots where species fluctuations 347 were asynchronized in both the unmanipulated (slope and 95% CIs = 1.93 [1.70 - 2.16]) and 348 fertilized communities of 41 grassland sites of the Nutrient Network (1.90 [1.58 - 2.21]). Points 349

are values for individual plots (n=117). Colours correspond to the "Colour code" column in
Extended Data Table 1.

353	Fig. 3. Relationships of temporal mean and standard deviation of ANPP (natural log
354	transformed) with species diversity in the unmanipulated (a) and fertilized communities (b)
355	of 41 grassland sites of the Nutrient Network. Temporal mean was not related to species
356	diversity (slope and 95% CIs = $0.01 [-0.02 - 0.03]$) in the unmanipulated communities (a) while
357	standard deviation was negatively related to species diversity (-0.03 [-0.050.01]), suggesting
358	that greater stability at higher diversity in the unmanipulated communities (Fig. 1a) resulted from
359	a decrease in temporal variation. Both temporal mean (slope and 95% CIs = $0.01 [-0.02 - 0.03]$)
360	and standard deviation (slope and 95% CIs = $0.01 [-0.02 - 0.04]$) were not related to species
361	diversity in the fertilized communities (b). Fertilization increased the temporal variation in
362	diverse communities compared to unmanipulated communities resulting in reduced positive
363	effect of diversity on stability in fertilized communities (Fig. 1c). Points are values for individual
364	plots (n=117).

366 Methods

367

Site selection and experimental design. The 41 study sites are part of the Nutrient Network 368 (NutNet) Global Research Cooperative (Extended Data Fig. 1, Extended Data Table 1, 369 http://nutnet.org/). See Borer *et al.*²⁸ for a complete description of site selection, methods, and 370 measurements. To be as representative as possible of realistic grassland ecosystems, our analyses 371 included sites covering a wide range of grassland habitats (e.g. alpine grassland, prairie, pasture, 372 shrub steppe, savanna, old field). Thus, the among-site variation across NutNet sites captures a 373 globally-relevant gradient of fine-scale (1 m²) and site-level variation in factors including 374 aboveground biomass, species richness, land-use history, and environmental variables (Extended 375 Data Table 1). In some sites, human land-use (grazing, burning and mowing as part of the 376 traditional site management) is currently or has been recently carried on (Extended Data Table 377 1). However, our analyses were robust to land-use history: effects of species richness were 378 similar after we removed 13 sites with strong anthropogenic influence. 379 All sites included in the analyses presented here included control plots and plots with nitrogen 380 (N), phosphorus (P) and potassium and micronutrients (K) added in combination (NPK) (details, 381 below). 382 Treatments were randomly assigned to the 25 m² plots, and were replicated in three blocks at 383 most sites, although the number of blocks ranged from one to six among sites (Extended Data 384 Table 1). Treatments and sampling followed a standardized protocol at all sites, detailed in Borer 385 et al^{28} . Treatment application started at most sites in 2008, though eight sites started in 2009 and 386 two sites in 2010. For this study, we included all sites with three-years of post-treatment data 387 388 collection. We used data collected during the first three-years of post-treatment data collection so

that our results are independent of the time since the start of treatment application. All of our
sites had three years of post-treatment data, though 3 sites had discontinuous data collection
(Extended Data Table 1). Longer time series currently exist for only a limited number of sites,
but the results were qualitatively the same when extended to 4 and 5 years.

393

Climate data. We quantified precipitation and temperature data using the WorldClim Global Climate database³¹ (version 1.4; http://www.worldclim.org/). A Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was used to reduce the number of climatic variables, many of which were collinear, resulting in a subset of bioclimatic variables representing annual trends: mean annual temperature (°C) and precipitation (mm); seasonality: mean annual range in temperature, standard deviation in temperature, coefficient of variation of precipitation; and extreme or limiting environmental factors: mean temperature during the wettest 4 months.

401

Fertilization. Nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P) and potassium (K) were applied annually to 402 fertilized plots, prior to the beginning of the growing season, at relatively high rates, $10 \text{ g m}^{-2} \text{ y}^{-1}$. 403 These rates are comparable to other grassland experiments that aim to alter diversity³². N was 404 supplied as time release urea (NH₂)₂CO or ammonium nitrate NH₄NO₃ (the N form did not have 405 differential effects on production²⁸). P was supplied as triple super phosphate $Ca(H_2PO_4)_2$ and K 406 as potassium sulfate K₂SO₄. In addition, 100 g m⁻² y⁻¹ of a micronutrient mix (Fe, S, Mg, Mn, 407 Cu, Zn, B, Mo) was applied to the K-addition plots once at the start of the experiment but not in 408 the following years to avoid toxicity. 409

411 **Species richness and cover.** Diversity was quantified as the average plant species richness in standard 1 m² plots over the three years of post-treatment data for the analyses. We used species 412 richness as a measure of diversity because species asynchrony in response to environmental 413 fluctuations is the basis for functional compensation between species and stability theory³³; 414 decreases in the functioning of some species are partly or wholly compensated by increases in 415 other species. Cover was estimated independently for each species so that total summed cover 416 can exceed 100% for multilayer canopies. To better match theory, percent cover was converted 417 to biomass estimates for each species by assuming that each species' proportion of total cover 418 was equivalent to its proportion of total aboveground biomass³⁴, because we did not have direct 419 measures of each individual species' biomass. Our results were independent of the measure 420 chosen; results of our analyses using percent cover data did not qualitatively differ from the 421 422 results presented in the main text using estimated species' biomass data based on percent cover. 423

Productivity. We used aboveground live biomass as a measure of primary productivity; an
effective estimator of aboveground net primary production (ANPP) in herbaceous vegetation^{35,36}.
At some sites with strongly seasonal communities, cover and biomass were estimated twice
during the year to assemble a complete list of species and the summed biomass of each species
was used in the analyses (Extended Data Table 1). However, our results were retained when we
performed analyses excluding these sites.

430

431 **BIODEPTH.** The data used in our analysis are available online

432 (http://www.esapubs.org/archive/ecol/E091/155/) from Ecological Archives¹.

433 BIODEPTH comprised a consortium of eight coordinated biodiversity experiments that manipulated plant diversity at different European grassland sites^{1,37,38}. The analyses presented 434 here use data on net above ground biomass production ($g m^{-2} vear^{-1}$) of species from the 435 experimental plots at each of the eight BIODEPTH field sites for the three main years of the 436 project^{1,38}. The dataset comprises information on 480, plots each containing between 1 and 32 437 species. In total this produces 1934 data points per year, with each data point reporting the 438 biomass of a species in an individual plot. Each monoculture or species mixture was replicated in 439 two identical plots (with a few exceptions: five plant assemblages were replicated 4 times³⁸). 440 Monocultures were removed from the analysis to produce a more comparable range of species 441 richness. 442

443

444 **Stability.** Ecosystem temporal stability was defined for each plot as μ/σ , where μ is the temporal 445 mean of ecosystem-level ANPP and σ its temporal standard deviation over the three year period. 446

447 Asynchrony. Species asynchrony was measured for each plot as $1 - \varphi_b$, where φ_b is species **448** synchrony and calculated as follows: $1 - \varphi_b = 1 - \frac{\sigma^2}{(\sum_{i=1}^{S} \sigma_i)^2}$, where σ^2 is the temporal variance **449** in ecosystem function and σ_i is the temporal standard deviation in function of species *i* in a plot **450** with *S* species over the three years¹⁸. Thus, stability and species asynchrony are related such that **451** higher levels of species asynchrony are associated with greater stability of the community as a **452** whole^{18,39,40}.

453

454 **Analyses.** We modeled the relationships with linear mixed-effects models using the lme function 455 from the nlme library⁴¹ in R $2.15.1^{42}$. To improve normality, temporal stability of ANPP,

456	comm	unity-wide species asynchrony, temporal mean of ANPP and temporal standard deviation	
457	of AN	PP were log transformed before analyses. Changes in diversity, stability and asynchrony	
458	were c	alculated as the average difference per block between the fertilized and unmanipulated	
459	plots o	f the Nutrient Network. Sites and blocks nested within sites for the NutNet data and sites	
460	and sp	ecies composition nested within sites for BIODEPTH, were treated as random effects	
461	allowin	ng both the intercepts and slopes of the regression vs. diversity to vary among sites if	
462	suppor	ted by model selection. For the fixed-by-random-effects interactions, we used a model-	
463	selection approach based on minimization of BIC ⁴¹ , in which we compared models with and		
464	withou	at a given random effect to determine which level of variation was required in the model.	
465	In every case, model-selection for NutNet data retained variation between sites, but excluded		
466	variati	on due to blocks, while model-selection for BIODEPTH data retained variation between	
467	sites an	nd species composition. Inference for the fixed effects was based on 95% CIs.	
468			
469	31	Hijmans, R. J., Cameron, S. E., Parra, J. L., Jones, P. G. & Jarvis, A. Very high resolution	
470		interpolated climate surfaces for global land areas. International Journal of Climatology 25,	
471		1965-1978, (2005).	
472	32	Harpole, W. S. & Tilman, D. Grassland species loss resulting from reduced niche dimension.	
473		Nature 446 , 791-793, (2007).	
474	33	McNaughton, S. J. Diversity and stability of ecological communities: a comment on the role of	
475		empiricism in ecology. American Naturalist 111, 515-525, (1977).	
476	34	Fargione, J. et al. From selection to complementarity: shifts in the causes of biodiversity-	
477		productivity relationships in a long-term biodiversity experiment. Proceedings Of The Royal	
478		Society B-Biological Sciences 274, 871-876, (2007).	

479	35	Lauenroth, W. K., Hunt, H. W., Swift, D. M. & Singh, J. S. Estimating aboveground net primary
480		production in grasslands: A simulation approach. Ecological Modelling 33 , 297-314, (1986).
481	36	Oesterheld, M. & McNaughton, S. J. in <i>Methods in Ecosystem Science</i> (eds O. E. Sala, R. B.
482		Jackson, H. A. Mooney, & R. W. Howarth) 151–157 (Springer, 2000).
483	37	Hector, A. et al. Plant diversity and productivity experiments in European grasslands. Science
484		286 , 1123-1127, (1999).
485	38	Spehn, E. M. et al. Ecosystem effects of biodiversity manipulations in European grasslands.
486		Ecological Monographs 75 , 37-63, (2005).
487	39	de Mazancourt, C. et al. Predicting ecosystem stability from community composition and
488		biodiversity. <i>Ecol. Lett.</i> 16 , 617-625, (2013).
489	40	Loreau, M. & de Mazancourt, C. Biodiversity and ecosystem stability: a synthesis of underlying
490		mechanisms. <i>Ecol. Lett.</i> 16, 106-115, (2013).
491	41	Pinheiro, J. C. & Bates, D. M. Mixed-Effects Models in S and S-Plus. Spinger-Verlag: New York,
492		(2000).
493	42	R Development Core Team. A language and environment for statistical computing (R Foundation
494		for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, 2012).
495		
496	Exten	ded Data Figure 1 Locations of the 41 Nutrient Network sites included in this study.
497	Numbers correspond to the "Site code" column in Extended Data Table 1.	
498		
499	Exten	ded Data Figure 2 Effect of fertilization-induced changes in diversity on changes in
500	stabili	ty of ANPP (a) and changes in species asynchrony (b). Changes in stability (a) (slope
501	and 95	% CIs = $0.009 [-0.048 - 0.030]$) and changes in species asynchrony (b) (0.012 [-0.004 -

502 0.027]) were not related to changes in species richness caused by fertilization. Flat lines

503 represent the overall non-significant mean effects. Nutrient-induced changes were calculated as

the average difference per block between fertilized and unmanipulated Nutrient Network plots.

- 505 Colours correspond to the "Colour code" column in Extended Data Table 1.
- 506

507 Extended Data Table 1 | Additional information on the 41 Nutrient Network study sites.

- \$508 *Years of data collection used in the analyses.
- 509 †Number of blocks in each site.
- 510 ‡Mean annual temperature (°C)
- 511 §Mean annual precipitation (mm)
- 512 ||Mean annual range in temperature (°C)
- 513 ¶Standard deviation in temperature
- 514 #Coefficient of variation of precipitation
 515 ☆ Mean temperature during wettest 4 months (°C).
- 516
- 517 Extended Data Table 2 | Multiple regression evaluating the influence of plant diversity and

518 key biotic and abiotic factors, productivity and climate, on stability of ANPP in our 41

519 grasslands.

- 520 ‡Mean annual temperature (°C)
- 521 §Mean annual precipitation (mm)
- 522 ||Mean annual range in temperature (°C)
- 523 ¶Standard deviation in temperature
- 524 #Coefficient of variation of precipitation
- 525 $rac{}^{\diamond}$ Mean temperature during wettest 4 months (°C).