

# J2suscep: Calculation of magnetic exchange coupling and temperature dependence of magnetic susceptibility

Swetanshu Tandon<sup>1, 2</sup>, Wolfgang Schmitt<sup>1, 2</sup>, and Graeme W. Watson<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> School of Chemistry & CRANN Institute, University of Dublin, Trinity College, Dublin 2, Ireland <sup>2</sup> AMBER Centre, University of Dublin, Trinity College, Dublin 2, Ireland

DOI: [10.21105/joss.02838](https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.02838)

## Software

- [Review](#) ↗
- [Repository](#) ↗
- [Archive](#) ↗

Editor: [David P. Sanders](#) ↗

## Reviewers:

- [@mturiansky](#)
- [@mfherbst](#)

Submitted: 09 September 2020<sup>4</sup>

Published: 05 July 2021

## License

Authors of papers retain copyright and release the work under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License ([CC BY 4.0](#)).

## Statement of need

The field of molecular magnetism has attracted significant interest owing to the rising need to miniaturize magnets. Advances in this field require a deep understanding of the electronic structure of magnets, and computational approaches have played a key role in pushing the limits further ([Neese, 2009](#)). A deep understanding of the magnetic properties not only helps in making magnets smaller, but has also been instrumental for reasoning about the structure of systems that are difficult to crystallise, and about intermediate states during catalytic processes ([Krewald et al., 2016](#)).

In molecular complexes, the magnetic interaction between paramagnetic centres, called the isotropic exchange interaction, has a strong influence on the magnetic properties. Within the framework of density functional theory (DFT), using what is known as the broken symmetry approach, this interaction can be quantified; the coupling strength is called the *J*-value or coupling constant ([Noodleman & Case, 2009](#); [Ruiz et al., 1999](#)). The broken symmetry approach requires the modelling of multiple spin states using DFT but the final solution obtained can be dependent on the states modelled ([Cremades, Cano, et al., 2009](#); [Cremades, Cauchy, et al., 2009](#); [Rajeshkumar et al., 2015](#); [Tandon, Venkatesan, et al., 2020](#); [Vignesh et al., 2015](#)). To remove this dependency, more states can be modelled but this procedure results in a large number of solutions, which have to be averaged in some sensible way. Additionally, the problem of singular solutions also arises, which must be identified and removed. Until now, however, there has been no convenient way to do so.

The purpose of this package is to provide a means to accomplish this arduous task. Additionally, this package can also calculate the temperature dependence of magnetic susceptibility, thereby enabling the comparison of computational data with experiment. Although other codes like MAGPACK ([Ramos et al., 2010](#)) and PHI ([Chilton et al., 2013](#)) are available for the calculation of the magnetic susceptibility, this package provides a one-stop solution for the calculation of coupling constants and the determination of the magnetic susceptibility using these coupling constants.


## J2suscep

This package essentially contains two standalone codes written in FORTRAN 2008 – `ej_calc` and `suscep`. Both codes rely only on the LAPACK library ([Anderson et al., 1999](#)). The code `ej_calc` uses the data obtained from the DFT calculations and determines the isotropic exchange coupling between paramagnetic centres. With a completely programmable Hamiltonian, this code allows the calculation of any number of *J*-values and is only limited by the number of states modelled. It employs the spin density approach ([Paul & Misra, 2012](#)), and spin densities obtained from any approach can be used for the calculation of *J*-values.

41 The code calculates all possible solutions based on the Hamiltonian and the states modelled,  
42 removes any singular solutions and calculates an average set of coupling constants and the  
43 standard deviations. Additionally, it also calculates the energy of the different spin states  
44 relative to each other using the coupling constants, for comparison to the original DFT data.

45 The suscep code calculates the temperature dependence of magnetic susceptibility using  
46 the coupling constants. Similar to `ej_calc`, the Hamiltonian is flexible and any number of  
47 coupling constants can be provided for the calculation of the magnetic susceptibility.

48 To illustrate the use of this package, we present the example of the  $Mn_6$  complex shown in  
49 Figure 1 (a) (Tandon, Venkatesan, et al., 2020). The Mn atoms are arranged in an octahedron,  
50 resulting in each Mn being cis to 4 other Mn atoms and trans to 1 Mn atom with the Mn atoms  
51 interacting via  $Cl^-$  and phosphonate bridges. One requires 2 J-values to account for these cis-  
52 and trans- interactions between Mn centres. Modelling of 6 states for the calculation of the 2  
53 J-values results in 60 possible solutions; using `ej_calc`, the final values were determined to be  
54  $-1.28$  (cis-coupling) and  $-3.48$   $cm^{-1}$  (trans-coupling) respectively (Tandon, Venkatesan, et al.,  
55 2020). The use of the suscep code calculates the temperature dependence of susceptibility  
56 which is shown in Figure 1 (b). This package has been used in a similar manner for probing  
57 the magnetic properties of a  $Mn_8$  complex (Tandon, Soriano-López, et al., 2020).



**Figure 1:** (a) Structure of the  $Mn_6$  complex and (b) the temperature dependence of magnetic susceptibility obtained using the suscep code. Colour scheme: Mn (dark blue), P (pink), Cl (green), C (black), N (blue) and O (red). Hydrogen atoms have been removed for clarity.

## 58 Acknowledgements

59 The authors are grateful to the Irish Research Council (GOIPG/2015/2952), Science Founda-  
60 tion Ireland (12/IA/1414 and 13/IA/1896) and the European Research Council (CoG 2014-  
61 647719) for funding. The authors also acknowledge ICHEC for computational resources.

## 62 References

63 Anderson, E., Bai, Z., Bischof, C., Blackford, L. S., Demmel, J., Dongarra, J. J., Du Croz, J.,  
64 Hammarling, S., Greenbaum, A., McKenney, A., & Sorensen, D. (1999). *LAPACK users'*  
65 *guide (third ed.)*. Society for Industrial; Applied Mathematics. ISBN: 0898714478

- 66 Chilton, N. F., Anderson, R. P., Turner, L. D., Soncini, A., & Murray, K. S. (2013). PHI:  
67 A powerful new program for the analysis of anisotropic monomeric and exchange-coupled  
68 polynuclear d- and f-block complexes. *Journal of Computational Chemistry*, 34(13), 1164–  
69 1175. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jcc.23234>
- 70 Cremades, E., Cano, J., Ruiz, E., Rajaraman, G., Milios, C. J., & Brechin, E. K. (2009).  
71 Theoretical methods enlighten magnetic properties of a family of  $Mn_6$  single-molecule  
72 magnets. *Inorganic Chemistry*, 48(16), 8012–8019. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ic900992r>
- 73 Cremades, E., Cauchy, T., Cano, J., & Ruiz, E. (2009). Can theoretical methods go beyond  
74 the experimental data? The case of molecular magnetism. *Dalton Trans.*, 5873–5878.  
75 <https://doi.org/10.1039/B902745A>
- 76 Krewald, V., Retegan, M., & Pantazis, D. A. (2016). Principles of natural photosynthesis. In  
77 H. Tüysüz & C. K. Chan (Eds.), *Solar energy for fuels* (pp. 23–48). Springer International  
78 Publishing. [https://doi.org/10.1007/128\\_2015\\_645](https://doi.org/10.1007/128_2015_645)
- 79 Neese, F. (2009). Prediction of molecular properties and molecular spectroscopy with density  
80 functional theory: From fundamental theory to exchange-coupling. *Coordination Chem-*  
81 *istry Reviews*, 253(5), 526–563. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccr.2008.05.014>
- 82 Noodleman, L., & Case, D. A. (2009). Broken symmetry states of iron–sulfur clusters. In  
83 *Encyclopedia of inorganic chemistry*. American Cancer Society. <https://doi.org/10.1002/0470862106.ia618>  
84
- 85 Paul, S., & Misra, A. (2012). Interpretation and quantification of magnetic interaction through  
86 spin topology. *Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation*, 8(3), 843–853. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ct2006506>  
87
- 88 Rajeshkumar, T., Annadata, H. V., Evangelisti, M., Langley, S. K., Chilton, N. F., Murray, K.  
89 S., & Rajaraman, G. (2015). Theoretical studies on polynuclear  $Cu^{II}_5Gd^{III}_n$  clusters ( $n$   
90 = 4, 2): Towards understanding their large magnetocaloric effect. *Inorganic Chemistry*,  
91 54(4), 1661–1670. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ic502651w>
- 92 Ramos, E., Roman, J. E., Cardona-Serra, S., & Clemente-Juan, J. M. (2010). Parallel im-  
93 plementation of the MAGPACK package for the analysis of high-nuclearity spin clusters.  
94 *Computer Physics Communications*, 181(12), 1929–1940. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2010.09.007>  
95
- 96 Ruiz, E., Cano, J., Alvarez, S., & Alemany, P. (1999). Broken symmetry approach to cal-  
97 culation of exchange coupling constants for homobinuclear and heterobinuclear transi-  
98 tion metal complexes. *Journal of Computational Chemistry*, 20(13), 1391–1400. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1096-987X\(199910\)20:13%3C1391::AID-JCC6%3E3.0.CO;2-J](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1096-987X(199910)20:13%3C1391::AID-JCC6%3E3.0.CO;2-J)  
99
- 100 Tandon, S., Soriano-López, J., Kathalikkattil, A. C., Jin, G., Wix, P., Venkatesan, M., Lundy,  
101 R., Morris, M. A., Watson, G. W., & Schmitt, W. (2020). A cubane-type manganese  
102 complex with  $H_2O$  oxidation capabilities. *Sustainable Energy Fuels*, 4, 4464–4468. <https://doi.org/10.1039/D0SE00701C>  
103
- 104 Tandon, S., Venkatesan, M., Schmitt, W., & Watson, G. W. (2020). Altering the nature of  
105 coupling by changing the oxidation state in a  $Mn_6$  cage. *Dalton Trans.*, 49, 8086–8095.  
106 <https://doi.org/10.1039/D0DT01404D>
- 107 Vignesh, K. R., Langley, S. K., Murray, K. S., & Rajaraman, G. (2015). What controls  
108 the magnetic exchange interaction in mixed- and homo-valent  $Mn_7$  disc-like clusters?  
109 A theoretical perspective. *Chemistry – A European Journal*, 21(7), 2881–2892. <https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.201405679>  
110